strictsolution. Mollifyng, in our case, means replacing F with

(3)
$$F_{\varepsilon}(f)(x,v,w) = q[K_{\varepsilon}(J_{1}f) \cdot (J_{2}f) - f K_{\varepsilon}J_{3}J_{1}f]$$

where

(4)
$$(K_{\varepsilon}f)(x,v,w) = \int_{x}^{+\infty} k_{\varepsilon}(x'-x)f(x',v,w)dx'$$

and

(5)
$$k_{\varepsilon}eL^{\infty}(0,+\infty)$$
; $k_{\varepsilon}(y) \ge 0$; $k_{\varepsilon}(y) = 0$ if $y \notin (0,\varepsilon)$; $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} k(y) dy = 1$

The aim of this work is to study the original problem, i.e.(1), in L^{\prime} and to find the connexion between the solution u(t) of (1) and the solution

u (t) of the mollified problem.

Precisely we prove that if $u_o \in L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}$ then (1) has a unique local "mild" solution, i.e. the integral version of (1) has a unique local solution. If $[0,\bar{t}]$ is the existence time interval of such solution u(t), we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} ||u_t(t) - u(t)|| = 0$$

uniformly respect to t in $[0,\overline{t}]$. $||\cdot||$ is the usual norm in L^{1} .

We shall use the well-known results of linear semigroup theory for which we refer to [4] Chapter 9. For the results on the non linear evolution equations (in particular for semi-linear ones) we refer to [3], [6] and [8].

2. THE ABSTRACT PROBLEM.

Denote $X = \{f = f(x,v,w); feL^{1}(R^{2}xV)\}$ and $X_{o} = \{f; feX, f(x,v,x) = 0 \text{ a.e. if } v \notin V\}$ X_{o} is a closed subspace of X and we use it to get the third relation in (1).

Define

(6)

where
$$f_x = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$
, $f_y = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ are distributional derivatives.

If we consider the linear homogeneous problem connected with (1) and use the method of characteristics, we have

(7)
$$u(x,v,w;t) = \exp \frac{t}{T} u_o(\bar{x}(t), \bar{v}(t),w)$$

where

$$\bar{x}(t) = \bar{x}(x,v,w;t) = x-wt+(w-v)T(\exp\frac{t}{T} - 1)$$

$$\bar{v}(t) = \bar{v}(x,w;t) = w -(w-v) \exp\frac{t}{T} .$$

If we denote

(8) $\left[Z(t)f\right](x,v,w) = \exp \frac{\tau}{T}f(\bar{x}(t),\bar{v}(t),w)$ teR

then we have as in [1] .

Lemma (1) . (a) $\{Z(t); teR\} \subset B(X); (b) | Z(t)f! |= | |f| |$ for feX; (c) $\{Z(t); teR\}$ is a group.

If $Z_o(t)$ is the restriction of Z(t) to the subspace X_o , $Z_o(t)$ maps X_o into itself for t > 0 and we have Lemma (2). (a) $\{Z_o(t); t \ge 0\} \subset \mathcal{B}(X_o)$ and is a semigroup (b) $||Z_o(t)f| = ||f|$, for feX_o; (c) $Z_o(t)$ is strougly continuous in t for t>0

If we denote by A_{o} the infinitesimal generator of $Z_{o}(t)$ ([4]) Chapeter 9) it is easy to prove that A_1 is the restriction of A_0 to the set $D(A_1) \in D(A_0)$ and that $Z_o(t)[D(A_1)] c D(A_1)$ (see [1]).

The natural domain of F is

$D(F) = \{f : f \in X_{o}, F(f) \in X_{o}\}$

and because this is not the whole X_{o} it is useful to introduce the following sets

$$X_{\infty} = L^{\infty}(R^2 \times \tilde{V})$$
 and

$$s(r) = \{f:feX_{o} \cap X_{o}; ||f||_{o} \leq r\}$$

where r is a positive constant and

$$||f||_{\infty} = ess sup \{|f(x,v,x)| : (x,v,w) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \overline{V}\}.$$

We have

Lemma (3). (a)
$$X_{\circ} \cap X_{\infty} = D(F)$$
; (b) $||F(f)|| \le q d||f|| ||f||_{\infty}$
if $feX_{\circ} \cap X_{\infty}$, where $d = (v_2 - v_1)^3$; (c) $s(r)$ is closed in X_{\circ} .
PROOF.

(a),(b): If
$$feX_{o}^{Y} = 0$$
 and $v \notin V$ then $F(f)(x,v,w) = 0$ a.e.
(c) If we suppose that $f_{n}e s(r)$,

 $|\{f_n - f \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{, but } f \notin s(r), \text{ then we obtain a contradiction} \\ \blacksquare \\ \underline{Remark} (1). \text{ It is useful to introduce } s(r) \text{ because } X_o \cap X_{\infty} \text{ is not closed} \\ \text{in } X_o. \\ \end{bmatrix}$

With the preceding notation, the problem (1) assumes the abstract form

(9)
$$\frac{du}{dt} = A_o u(t) + F(u(t)) \quad t>0; \lim_{t\to 0+} u(t) = u_o eD(A_o)$$

where $u : [0,+\infty) \rightarrow X_o$ and $\frac{d}{dt}$ is a strong derivative. The integral version of the problem (9) is

(10)
$$u(t) = u_1(t) + \int_{0}^{t} Z_o(t-s)F(u(s)) ds$$
 $t > 0$

where

(11) $u_1(t) = Z_o(t)u_o$

and from (b) of Lemma (2) $||u_1(t)| = ||u_0|$

Every solution of (9) is also a solution of (10), but the converse is not generally true. For this reason every solution of (10) is said to be a "mild" solution of (9) (see [3]).