

One can easily verify that every element of \mathcal{C} is a right tail of S preordered with respect to relation defined in (j). On the other hand if (S, \leq) is a preordered set and \mathcal{R} is the set of the right tails of (S, \leq) then for every $x, y \in S$ the following properties hold

$$(e) \quad x \leq y \text{ iff } x \leq y(\mathcal{R}) \text{ according to (j), and } x \leq y \text{ iff } x \leq y(\mathcal{R}_0),$$

where \mathcal{R}_0 is the set of the principal filters of (S, \leq) generated by an element of S . And hence, as a consequence of (jj), the following property holds:

$$(ee) \quad x \leq y \text{ iff } y \leq x(\mathcal{R}'_0),$$

where \mathcal{R}'_0 is the set of all set complements in S of the elements of \mathcal{R}_0 .

Now we can give the following

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ be, $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $y \in Y$. Then Y is a point closure in \mathcal{C} with respect to y iff y is minimum in Y with respect to relation defined in (j).

PROOF. In fact: y is minimum in $Y \iff \forall x \in Y : \mathcal{C}_y \subseteq \mathcal{C}_x \iff \forall x \in Y, \forall Z \in \mathcal{C}_y : Z \in \mathcal{C}_x \iff \forall x \in Y, \forall Z \in \mathcal{C}_y : x \in Z \iff \forall Z \in \mathcal{C}_y : Y \subseteq Z$.

Q.E.D.

N. 3. A CHARACTERIZATION OF V-PRIME AND STRONGLY V-PRIME ELEMENTS OF A POSET.

Henceforth let (S, \leq) be a poset. Then we can consider the function $g : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ mapping an element $x \in S$ into the set $g(x) = \{y \in S : x \nmid y\} = S - r(x)$. Clearly f is an injective function; moreover $\forall x, y \in S \quad x \leq y \iff g(x) \subseteq$

$\leq g(y)$, in fact $x \leq y$ iff the principal filter $r(x)$ includes the principal filter $r(y)$, and hence $x \leq y$ iff $g(x) = S - r(x) \subseteq S - r(y) = g(y)$; thus g is an isomorphism from (S, \leq) onto $(g(S), \subseteq)$. Now we want to prove the following.

THEOREM 2. The ordered pair $((g(S), \subseteq), g)$ is a U-proper set representation of (S, \leq) .

PROOF. Since g is an isomorphism from (S, \leq) onto $(g(S), \subseteq)$ we need only prove that $(g(S), \subseteq)$ is a U-proper set poset. Thus if $Y \subseteq S$ then $\bigcap_{y \in Y} r(y) = r(Y) = \bigcup_{x \in r(Y)} r(x)$ (see (ii) of N. 2). Whence $\bigcup_{y \in Y} (S - r(y)) = S - r(Y) = \bigcap_{x \in r(Y)} (S - r(x)) = \bigcap_{x \in r(Y)} g(x)$.

In particular if $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and $A_i = g(y_i) = S - r(y_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, n$)

then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcap_{x \in r(Y)} g(x)$$

and hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is equal to the set intersection of all $g(x)$ such that

$$g(x) \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i.$$

Q.E.D.

Now we can give the following

THEOREM 3. Let c be an element of S and let c be not minimum in (S, \leq) . Then c is strongly v-prime in (S, \leq) iff for ever U-proper set representation $((f(S), \subseteq), f)$ of (S, \leq) $f(c)$ is a point closure in $f(S)$.

PROOF. Let $f(c)$ be a point closure in $f(S)$ for every U-proper set representation $((f(S), \subseteq), f)$. Now then we consider the U-proper set representation of theorem 2; thus, as a consequence of the fact that c is not minimum in (S, \leq) , the set $\{y \in S : c \not\leq y\}$ is different from \emptyset and it is a point closure in $g(S)$.

Hence, as a consequence of theorem 1 and of (ee) in N. 2, $g(c)$ has a maximum in (S, \leq) , therefore c is a strongly v -prime element of (S, \leq) .

Conversely let c be a strongly v -prime element in (S, \leq) ; in such a case the set $\{y \in S : c \not\leq y\}$ has a maximum b . Now let $((f(S), \leq), f)$ be an arbitrary u -proper set representation of (S, \leq) . Since $c \not\leq b$ then $f(c) \not\subseteq f(b)$ and hence we can consider an element $x \in f(c) - f(b)$. We want to prove that $f(c)$ is a point closure with regard to x . In fact if Z is an element of $f(S)$ such that $x \in Z$ then there exists $z \in Z$ such that $f(z) = Z$. Now then $c \leq z$; in fact assume, ad absurdo, that $c \not\leq z$, then $z \leq b$, thus $Z = f(z) \subseteq f(b)$ and hence $x \in f(b)$. Since $c \leq z$ iff $f(c) \subseteq f(z) = Z$, the theorem follows.

Q.E.D.

THEOREM 4. An element $c \in S$ is v -prime in (S, \leq) iff a U -proper set representation $((f(S), \leq), f)$ of (S, \leq) exists such that $f(c)$ is a point closure in $f(S)$.

PROOF. Let $((f(S), \leq), f)$ be a U -proper set representation of (S, \leq) such that $f(c)$ is a point closure in $f(S)$ with regard to x ; moreover let $y, z \in S$ such that $c \not\leq y$ and $c \not\leq z$. Then $f(c) \not\subseteq f(y)$ and $f(c) \not\subseteq f(z)$, thus $x \notin f(y)$ and $x \notin f(z)$; moreover (since $(f(S), \leq)$ is a U -proper set poset) $f(y) \cup f(z)$ is equal to set intersection of all $f(t)$ including $f(y)$ and $f(z)$. As a consequence an element $t \in S$ exists such that $f(t) \supseteq f(y) \cup f(z)$ and $x \notin f(t)$, thus $f(c) \not\subseteq f(t)$ and hence $c \not\leq t$ but $y \leq t$ and $z \leq t$. That means that the subset $\{s \in S : c \not\leq s\}$ is v -directed.

Conversely let c be a v -prime element in (S, \leq) and $((f(S), \leq), f)$ a U -proper set representation of (S, \leq) . If $f(c)$ is a point closure in $f(S)$ we have nothing to prove. If not let us consider the set $X' = XU\{X\}$,

((cfr. [1], p. 62, proof of theorem 31), where $X = \bigcup_{t \in S} f(t)$, and the function $f' : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X')$ such that for every $s \in S$ $f'(s) = f(s)$ iff $c \not\leq s$ and $f'(s) = f(s) \cup \{X\}$ iff $c \leq f(s)$. Clearly f' is an injective function and for every, $s_1, s_2 \in S$ $s_1 \leq s_2$ iff $f'(s_1) \subseteq f'(s_2)$, moreover $f'(c)$ is point closure with regard to X in $f'(S)$. Then we must only prove that $((f'(S), \subseteq), f')$ is a \mathcal{U} -proper set representation of (S, \leq) .

Now if $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ then $\bigcap_{i=1}^n f(s_i)$ is equal to the set intersection of all the elements of $f(S)$ that include every $f(s_i)$. Hence let's consider the following two cases:

CASE 1: for every $i = 1, \dots, n$: $c \not\leq s_i$. Then for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ $f'(s_i) = f(s_i)$, moreover (since c is v -prime in (S, \leq)) an element $s \in S$ exists such that $c \not\leq s$ and for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ $s_i \leq s$, thus $f'(s) = f(s)$ hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^n f'(s_i) (= \bigcap_{i=1}^n f(s_i))$ is equal to the set intersection of all the elements of $f'(S)$ that include every $f'(s_i) (= f(s_i))$.

CASE 2: for some i : $c \leq s_i$. Then $f'(s_i) = f(s_i) \cup \{X\}$; moreover for every $s \in S$ such that $f'(s)$ includes every $f'(s_i)$ one has $f'(s) \supseteq f'(s_i)$, thus $c \leq s_i \leq s$ and hence $f'(s) = f(s) \cup \{X\}$. Then in this case too we can conclude that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n f'(s_i) (= \bigcap_{i=1}^n f(s_i) \cup \{X\})$ is equal to the set intersection of all the element of $f'(S)$ including every $f(s_i)$.

Q.E.D.

REMARK.

We observe that if (S, \leq) has at least a v -prime element then a \mathcal{U} -proper set representation $((f(S), \subseteq), f)$ of (S, \leq) exists such that f maps every v -prime element of (S, \leq) in a point closure. In fact let A be the set of all v -prime elements of (S, \leq) , $((f(S), \subseteq), f)$ a \mathcal{U} -proper set representation of (S, \leq) and B the set of all the elements of A

mapped into a point closure. If $B = A$ we have nothing to prove.

Now we suppose that $B \neq A$ and

$$(m) \quad \left(\bigcup_{s \in S} f(s) \right) \cap (A-B) = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

Then we consider the function f' that maps every $s \in S$ into the set $f(s) \cup A_s$, where $A_s = \{y \in A - B : y \leq s\}$. Clearly f' is an injective function and for every $s_1, s_2 \in S$ $s_1 \leq s_2$ iff $f(s_1) \cup A_{s_1} \subseteq f(s_2) \cup A_{s_2}$.

Moreover if s_1, \dots, s_n are arbitrary elements of S then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n (f(s_i) \cup A_{s_i}) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n f(s_i) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{s_i} \right).$$

Now let Z be the set of all upper bounds of $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ in (S, \leq) .

We want to prove that $\bigcap_{z \in Z} (f(z) \cup A_z) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (f(s_i) \cup A_{s_i}) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n f(s_i) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{s_i} \right)$.

As a consequence of condition (m) $\bigcap_{z \in Z} (f(z) \cup A_z) = \left(\bigcap_{z \in Z} f(z) \right) \cup \left(\bigcap_{z \in Z} A_z \right)$;

moreover we already know that $\bigcap_{z \in Z} f(z) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n f(s_i)$ and $\bigcap_{z \in Z} A_z \supseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{s_i}$; then

it is sufficient to prove that $\bigcap_{z \in Z} A_z \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{s_i}$.

Now if $x \in \bigcap_{z \in Z} A_z$ then x is a v -prime element of (S, \leq) such that $x \leq z$ for every $z \in Z$. Moreover Z is the set of all upper bounds of $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, then as a consequence of the definition of v -prime element $x \leq s_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, thus $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{s_i}$ and hence $\bigcap_{z \in Z} A_z \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{s_i}$.

From this the enounced assertion follows.

REFERENCE

- [1] D.DRAKE and W.J.THORN "On the representations of an abstract lattice as the family of closed sets of a topological space". Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 120(1965), 57-71.

(2) The case $\left(\bigcup_{s \in S} f(s) \right) \cap (A-B) \neq \emptyset$ can easily be reconducted to condition -)