In the present paper we shall develop this point of view a little further. Therefore we investigate mappings which are not necessarily continuous but satisfy the following weaker condition.
(A) the function $D(x)=d(x, f x)$ has the following property: if $x_{n}, x_{0}$ then a subsequence $\left(x_{n_{p}}\right)$ exists such that $D\left(x_{0}\right) \leq D\left(x_{n_{p}}\right)$.

As a special case of our result we obtain a fixed point theorem of L.Ciric (Theorem 1, [2]) and a fixed point theorem of the first author (Corollary, $3_{j}$ )
2. Let $0(f, x)$ denote the orbit of $x$ under $f$, i.e. $O(f, x)=\bigcup_{0<n}\left\{f^{n} x j\right.$. We begin this section with the following definition.

DEFINITION 1 - We shall say that $x$ has bounded orbit under $f$ if $\operatorname{diam}(0(f, x))<+\infty$

LEMMA 1 - Let $\psi$ be a contractive gauge function, $t_{0}>0$. Then the sequence $\psi^{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty \quad$ (where $\psi^{n}$ is the $n-$ th iterate of $v$.

THEOREM 2 - Suppose that:
(1) for some $x_{0}$ in $M, \operatorname{diam}\left(O\left(f, x_{0}\right)\right)<+\infty$,
(2) for each $x$ in $M$ there exists a positive integer $n(x)$ and for each $n \geq n(x)$ and for each $y$ in $M$, three subsets $J_{1}(x, y, n), J_{2}(x, y, n), J_{3}(x, y, n)$ of $Z_{+} x Z_{+}$such that for each $n \geq n(x), y$ in $M$
$d\left(f^{n} x, f^{n} y\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left(\sup _{(i, j) \in J_{1}} d\left(f^{i} x, f^{j} y\right), \sup _{(r, s) \epsilon_{J_{2}}} d\left(f^{r} x, f^{s} x\right), \sup _{(l, t) \epsilon_{3}} d\left(f^{i} y, f^{t} y\right)\right)\right)$
Then $f$ nas a unique fixed point $u$ in $M$ and $\left(f^{n} x\right)$ converges to $u$ in $M$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $x$ in $M$ which has bounded orbit under $f$.

Proof. - For the $x_{0}$ in (1), let $m_{0}=n\left(x_{0}\right)$ and inductively $x_{n}=f^{m-1} x_{n-1}$, $m_{n}=n\left(x_{n}\right)$. We show that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence. It suffices to show that for a given $\varepsilon>0, \quad d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}\right)<\varepsilon$ for all $k$ positive integer, when $n$ is large enough. For this purpose, let $n$ be fixed and denote $d_{i}=\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, x_{n-i}\right)\right), m(k)=m_{n+1}+m_{n+2}+\ldots+m_{n+k}$. Then $d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}\right)=d\left(f^{m} x_{n}, f^{m}\left(f^{m(k)} x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(\max \left(\sup _{(i, j) \in J} d\left(f^{i} x_{n}, f^{j}\left(f^{m(k)} x_{n}\right)\right)\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\sup _{(r, s) \in J_{2}} d\left(f^{r} x_{n}, f^{s} x_{n}\right), \sup _{(\ell, t) \in J_{3}} d\left(f^{\ell}\left(f^{m(k)} x_{n}\right), f^{t}\left(f^{m(k)} x_{n}\right)\right)\right)\right)$

$$
\leq \psi\left(d_{0}\right),
$$

where $J_{1}\left(x_{n}, f^{m(k)} x_{n}, m_{n}\right), J_{2}\left(x_{n}, f^{m(k)} x_{n}, m_{n}\right), J_{3}\left(x_{n}, f^{m(k)} x_{n}, m_{n}\right)$ are as in (2), since $\psi$ is nondecreasing and all terms under the max and sup operations are bounded by $d_{0}$. Let $u$ and $v$ two points of $0\left(f, x_{n}\right) ; u$ and $v$ may be put in the form $u=f^{p} x_{n}, v=f^{p+q} x_{n}, q \geq 0$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
d(u, v)= & d\left(f^{p} x_{n}, f^{p+q} x_{n}\right)=d\left(f^{m} n^{+p} x_{n-1}, f^{m} n-1{ }^{t p}\left(f^{q} x_{n-1}\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(\operatorname { m a x } \left(\sup _{(i, j) \in}\right.\right.  \tag{i,j}\\
& d\left(f^{i} x_{n-1}, f^{j}\left(f^{q} x_{n-1}\right)\right), \sup _{(r, s) \in J_{2}} d\left(f^{r} x_{n-1}, f^{s} x_{n-1}\right), \sup _{(\ell, t) e J_{3}} \\
& \left.\left.d\left(f^{\ell}\left(f^{q} x_{n-1}\right), f^{t}\left(f^{q} x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(d_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $d_{0} \leq \psi\left(d_{1}\right)$. By routine calculation one can easily show that the following inequality holds

$$
d\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k+1}\right) \leq \psi^{n+1}\left(\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, x_{0}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

It follows that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence as it derives from the LEMMA? Say $u$ in $M$ such that $u=\underset{n}{\lim } x_{n}$. Now, by an argument similar to that used above, one can easily show that

$$
d\left(x_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \psi^{n}\left(\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, x_{0}\right)\right)\right)
$$

so that $\lim _{n} D\left(x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n} d\left(x_{n}, f x_{n}\right)=0$. From $(A)$, for a subsequence $\left(x_{n_{p}}\right)$, we obtain $0 \leq D(u) \leq D\left(x_{n_{p}}\right)$ and hence $D(u)=0$, i.e., $u$ is a fixed point of $f$. The uniqueness of the fixed point may be established by use of (2). It now remains to be shown the last assertion of the theorem. For this end, let $x$ in $M$ be such that has bounded orbit under $f$. As above, let $x_{0}=x, m_{0}=n\left(x_{0}\right)$ and inductively $x_{n}=f^{m-1} x_{n-1}, m_{n}=n\left(x_{n}\right)$. Since, already, we have showed that

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \psi\left(\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right)
$$

for all $n$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \psi^{n}(\operatorname{diam}(0(f, x))) .
$$

Being the sequence $\left(\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, f^{n} x\right)\right)\right)$ monotone and containing a convergent subsequence $\left(\operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)$, it follows that it is convergent and

$$
\lim _{n} \operatorname{diam}\left(0\left(f, f^{n} x\right)\right)=0,
$$

i.e., that $\left(f^{n} x\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, $\left(f^{n} x\right) \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This complete the proof.
REMARK 1. - If we set $\psi(r)=q \cdot r$ for some $q<1, \psi$ is a contractive gauge function. It follows that the L.Ciric's Theorem 1 ([2]) is a special case of Theorem 2.

REMARK 2. - We shall recall that a version of Theorem 2 is given in [3] by the first author. In [3] one assume conditions which ensure that (1) is true for every $x_{0}$ in $M$ and (2) is true for $a n=n(x)$ and $J_{1}=\{(0,0)\}, J_{2}=J_{3}=\emptyset$.
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