

Chapter IV

Some facts from representation theory

This deep and important theory cannot be developed in these notes. We just give some basic results and refer, for a systematic exposition, to books like [6], [7], [13].

1 Irreducible and indecomposable modules

We consider the space \mathbb{F}^n of column vectors as a *left module* over the ring $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ with respect to the usual product of matrices. Let A be a subset of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$.

(1.1) Definition *A subspace W of \mathbb{F}^n is A -invariant if $AW \leq W$, i.e., if:*

$$aw \in W, \quad \forall a \in A, \forall w \in W.$$

Clearly W is A -invariant if and only if it is $\mathbb{F}A$ -invariant, where $\mathbb{F}A$ denotes the linear subspace of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ generated by A . Moreover, when A is a subring of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, then W is A -invariant if and only if it is a module over A .

(1.2) Lemma *Let $\mathbb{F} \leq \mathbb{K}$, a field extension. If w_1, \dots, w_m are linearly independent vectors of \mathbb{F}^n , then they are linearly independent in \mathbb{K}^n .*

Proof There exists $P \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $Pw_j = e_j$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. So assume $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i w_i = 0$, with $k_i \in \mathbb{K}$. Multiplying by P we get $\sum_{i=1}^m k_i e_i = 0$, whence $k_1 = \dots = k_m = 0$. ■

A subspace W of \mathbb{F}^n can be extended to the subspace $W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$ of \mathbb{K}^n defined as the subspace of \mathbb{K}^n generated by any basis $\mathcal{B} = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ of W , namely:

$$W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m k_j w_j \mid k_j \in \mathbb{K} \right\} \quad (\text{tensor product}).$$

\mathcal{B} is a basis of $W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$, by Lemma 1.2. Thus, if W is an A -module, also $W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$ becomes an A -module via the action:

$$a \sum_{j=1}^m k_j w_j = \sum_{j=1}^m k_j a w_j \quad \forall a \in A.$$

(1.3) Definition *Let A be a subring (or a subgroup) of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and W be an A -invariant subspace of \mathbb{F}^n . The A -module W is said to be:*

- (1) *indecomposable, if there is no decomposition $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$ into proper A -invariant subspaces W_1, W_2 ;*
- (2) *irreducible, if the only A -invariant subspaces of W are $\{0_{\mathbb{F}^n}\}$ and W ;*
- (3) *absolutely irreducible, if $W \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$ is irreducible for any field extension \mathbb{K} of \mathbb{F} .*

Accordingly, a subring (or a subgroup) A of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is said to be:

- *indecomposable, if \mathbb{F}^n is indecomposable as an A -module;*
- *irreducible, if \mathbb{F}^n is irreducible as an A -module;*
- *absolutely irreducible, if \mathbb{F}^n is absolutely irreducible as an A -module.*

Clearly an irreducible group is indecomposable. The converse is not true in general, as shown in Example 1.5 below. It is true when G is finite and \mathbb{F} has characteristic p where $p = 0$ or p does not divide $|G|$ (see Theorem 1.11).

(1.4) Example *The subgroup G of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, generated by the matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, is irreducible but not absolutely irreducible.*

Indeed g has no eigenvalue in \mathbb{R} . Thus \mathbb{R}^2 has no 1-dimensional G -submodule. But g has eigenvalues in \mathbb{C} . Thus, for example, $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ is G -invariant in \mathbb{C}^2 .

(1.5) Example *The subgroup $G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, | t \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ is reducible, but indecomposable, for any field \mathbb{F} .*

G is reducible because $\langle e_1 \rangle$ is G -invariant. Suppose $\mathbb{R}^2 = \langle v_1 \rangle \oplus \langle v_2 \rangle$ where each $\langle v_i \rangle$ is G -invariant. Then v_1, v_2 should be a basis of eigenvectors of G . Since every $g \in G$ has only the eigenvalue 1, one gets $Gv_1 = v_1, Gv_2 = v_2$, whence the contradiction $G = I$.

(1.6) Example *The subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, generated by the matrices*

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is absolutely irreducible.

Indeed the only 1-dimensional g_1 -invariant subspaces are its eigenspaces, namely $\langle e_1 \rangle$ and $\langle e_2 \rangle$, but they are not g_2 -invariant.

(1.7) Lemma *$\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is absolutely irreducible for any field \mathbb{F} . Moreover its center Z coincides with the field $\mathbb{F}I_n$ of scalar matrices.*

Proof Set $A = \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and let $\{0\} \neq W$ be an A -invariant subspace of \mathbb{K}^n , where \mathbb{K} is a field extension of \mathbb{F} . Take $0 \neq w \in W$. Then there exists a non-zero component α_i of w . From $e_{i,i} \in A$, it follows that $e_{i,i}w = \alpha_i e_i \in W$. Hence $e_i \in W$. Considering in A the permutation matrices $\pi_{(i,j)}$ we get that $\pi_{(i,j)}e_i = e_j \in W$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. So W contains the canonical basis, whence $W = \mathbb{K}^n$.

By direct calculation one sees that a matrix commutes with all matrices $e_{ij} \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ if and only if it is scalar. ■

(1.8) Theorem *Let G be one of the following classical groups:*

$$\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}), \quad \mathrm{SU}_n(\mathbb{F}), \quad \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}), \quad n = 2m, \quad \Omega_n(\mathbb{F}, Q).$$

Then $\mathbb{F}G = \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, except when $G = \Omega_2(\mathbb{F}, Q)$. In particular G is absolutely irreducible and its centralizer in $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ consists of the scalar matrices.

Proof One can see that in each case, provided $G \neq \Omega_2(\mathbb{F}, Q)$, the group G contains n^2 linearly independent matrices (for instance the generators of these groups given in the previous Chapter). Hence the subspace $\mathbb{F}G$ generated by G coincides with $\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, which is absolutely irreducible. ■

(1.9) Lemma *(Schur's Lemma) Let $A \leq \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be irreducible. Then*

$$C = C_{\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}(A) := \{c \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}) \mid ca = ac, \forall a \in A\}$$

is a division algebra over $\mathbb{F}I_n$. In particular, if commutative, C is a field.

Proof It is easy to see that C is a subalgebra of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, which contains $Z = \mathbb{F}I_n$. Consider a non-zero matrix $c \in C$. The subspace $c\mathbb{F}^n$ is A -invariant, as:

$$a(c\mathbb{F}^n) = (ac)\mathbb{F}^n = (ca)\mathbb{F}^n = c(a\mathbb{F}^n) \leq c\mathbb{F}^n, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

$0_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})} \neq c \implies c\mathbb{F}^n \neq \{0_{\mathbb{F}^n}\}$. It follows $c\mathbb{F}^n = \mathbb{F}^n$, by the irreducibility of A . Since the multiplication by c is surjective, it is injective. Thus c has inverse c^{-1} . Clearly $c^{-1} \in C$.

■

Up to here we considered the natural $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ -module \mathbb{F}^n . But we may also consider the left regular module ${}_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and compare these two modules.

(1.10) Lemma *Let A be a subring of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, acting irreducibly on \mathbb{F}^n , and let $\{0\} \neq W \leq \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a minimal A -invariant subspace, in the regular action of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ on itself. Then there exists a vector e_i of the canonical basis such that $\mathbb{F}^n = We_i$. Moreover W is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}^n , as an A -module. In particular $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} W = n$.*

Proof Choose $0 \neq w \in W$. Then w has a non-zero column we_i . The subspace We_i of \mathbb{F}^n is such that $A(We_i) \leq We_i$. From $we_i \in We_i$ it follows $We_i \neq \{0\}$. Hence $We_i = \mathbb{F}^n$, by the irreducibility of A . Finally, the map $f : W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ defined by $w \mapsto we_i$ is an \mathbb{F} -isomorphism such that $f(aw) = af(w)$ for all $a \in A$. ■

(1.11) Theorem (Maschke) *Let $G \leq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a finite group, where \mathbb{F} has characteristic 0 or a prime p which does not divide $|G|$. Then every G -invariant subspace W of \mathbb{F}^n has a G -invariant complement.*

Proof Let $\mathbb{F}^n = W \oplus U$, where U is an \mathbb{F} -complement of W , and call $\pi : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow U$ the projection. Consider $\psi : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ defined by:

$$\psi(v) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} x^{-1} \pi(xv), \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{F}^n.$$

The image of ψ , namely $\psi(\mathbb{F}^n)$, is G -invariant, since for all $g \in G$ and $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$:

$$\psi(gv) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} x^{-1} \pi(xgv) = \frac{1}{|G|} g \sum_{x \in G} (g^{-1}x^{-1}) \pi(xgv) = g\psi(v).$$

Moreover, from $u - \pi(u) \in W$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}^n$, it follows that:

$$v - \psi(v) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} x^{-1} xv - \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} x^{-1} \pi(xv) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} x^{-1} (xv - \pi(xv)) \in W.$$

Thus $v = (v - \psi(v)) + \psi(v)$ for all $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$, gives $\mathbb{F}^n = W + \psi(\mathbb{F}^n)$.

For all $w \in W$ and all $x \in G$ we have $\pi(xw) = 0$. So $\psi(w) = 0$, whence $\psi(v - \psi(v)) = 0$, for all v . This gives $\psi^2 = \psi$ and $W \cap \psi(\mathbb{F}^n) = \{0\}$. Indeed, from $w = \psi(v) \in W \cap \psi(V)$, we have $\psi(v) = \psi^2(v) = \psi(w) = 0$.

We conclude that $\psi(\mathbb{F}^n)$ is a G -invariant complement of W in \mathbb{F}^n . ■

2 Representations of groups

(2.1) Definition *Let H be an abstract group.*

- (1) *A representation of H of degree n over \mathbb{F} is a homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. The representation f is said to be irreducible if \mathbb{F}^n is an irreducible $f(H)$ -module.*
- (2) *The character χ of f is the map $\chi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that*

$$\chi(h) := \mathrm{tr}(f(h)), \quad \forall h \in H.$$

- (3) *Two representations $f_i : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$, $i = 1, 2$ are said to be equivalent if there exists $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that*

$$(2.2) \quad Pf_1(h) = f_2(h)P, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

Since conjugate matrices have the same trace, equivalent representations have the same characters.

(2.3) Definition *Let H be an abstract group. The group algebra $\mathbb{F}H$ is defined as follows. The elements of H are a basis of $\mathbb{F}H$ as a vector space over \mathbb{F} . The product in $\mathbb{F}H$ is the extension, by linearity, of the product in H .*

In particular, by definition, the elements of $\mathbb{F}H$ are the formal linear combinations

$$\sum_{h \in H} \alpha_h h, \quad \alpha_h \in \mathbb{F}$$

with a finite number of non-zero coefficients. By definition, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}H = |H|$.

The extension to $\mathbb{F}H$, by linearity, of any representation $f : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ gives rise to an algebra homomorphism $f : \mathbb{F}H \rightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$. Vice versa, if $f : \mathbb{F}H \rightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is an algebra homomorphism, its restriction $f_H : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is a representation of H .

(2.4) Remark If $f : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is a representation, then \mathbb{F}^n is an H -module with respect to $hv := f(h)v$, for all $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$. Vice versa, if \mathbb{F}^n is an $\mathbb{F}H$ -module, the map $f : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ such that $f(h) = (\ he_1 \mid \dots \mid he_n \)$ is a representation.

(2.5) Lemma Two representations $f_1 : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and $f_2 : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ are equivalent if and only if the corresponding $\mathbb{F}H$ -modules $V_i = \mathbb{F}^n$ are isomorphic, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof Suppose first that f_1 and f_2 equivalent and let $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be as in point (3) of Definition 2.1. Then the multiplication by P , namely the map $\mu_P : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$, is an $\mathbb{F}H$ -isomorphism. Indeed μ_P is \mathbb{F} -linear and, for all $v \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and all $h \in H$:

$$\mu_P(f_1(h)v) = Pf_1(h)v = f_2(h)Pv = f_2(h)\mu_P(v).$$

Vice versa, if there exists an $\mathbb{F}H$ -isomorphism $\sigma : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and $P \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is the matrix of σ with respect to the canonical basis, then $Pf_1(h) = f_2(h)P$ for all $h \in H$. Thus f_1 and f_2 are equivalent. ■

Given two representations $f_i : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$, $i = 1, 2$, we may consider their *sum*, namely the representation $f : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n_1+n_2}(\mathbb{F})$, defined by:

$$f(h) := \begin{pmatrix} f_1(h) & 0 \\ 0 & f_2(h) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

Set $M_i = \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$. Clearly the subspace

$$M_1 \oplus M_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid A_1 \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n_1}(\mathbb{F}), A_2 \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n_2}(\mathbb{F}) \right\}$$

is an $f(H)$ -module. Moreover the projections

$$\pi_i : M_1 \oplus M_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$$

are $f(H)$ -homomorphisms. In particular $f(H) \mathrm{Ker} \pi_i = \mathrm{Ker} \pi_i$, for $i = 1, 2$.

(2.6) Lemma In the above notation, suppose that the representations

$$f_i : H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}), \quad i = 1, 2$$

are irreducible and inequivalent. Let $0 \neq M$ be a minimal subspace of $M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $f(H)M = M$. Then either $\pi_1(M) = 0$ or $\pi_2(M) = 0$.

Proof Suppose, by contradiction, $M \not\subseteq \mathrm{Ker} \pi_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. It follows that the $f(H)$ -module $\mathrm{Ker} \pi_i \cap M$ is zero, $i = 1, 2$, by the minimality of M . Thus the restrictions

$$\pi_{i|M} : M \rightarrow \pi_i(M), \quad i = 1, 2$$

are \mathbb{F} -isomorphisms. In particular $n_1 = n_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} M$. Again by the minimality of M , each $\pi_i(M)$ is a minimal $f_i(H)$ -submodule of $\text{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$. It follows from Lemma 1.10 of this Chapter, with $A = f_i(H)$, $W = \pi_i(M)$, that there exist $f_i(H)$ isomorphisms $\tau_i : \pi_i(M) \cong \mathbb{F}^{n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\tau_2\tau_1^{-1} : \mathbb{F}^{n_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{n_2}$ is a isomorphism of the $f_1(H)$ -module \mathbb{F}^{n_1} onto the $f_2(H)$ -module \mathbb{F}^{n_2} , a contradiction. ■

Note that, if G is a group and V is a G -module, then $GW \leq W$ if and only if $GW = W$, for any subspace W of V . Indeed $W = 1_G W \leq GW$.

(2.7) Theorem *Let $f_i : H \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$ be irreducible pairwise inequivalent representations of a group H , with \mathbb{F} algebraically closed. Suppose that $m_i \in \text{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, are such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \text{tr}(m_i f_i(h)) = 0_{\mathbb{F}}, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

Then each $m_i = 0_{\text{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})}$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

Proof Induction on s . Suppose $s = 1$ and put $n = n_1$, $f = f_1$. The set

$$M = \{m \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}) \mid \text{tr}(mf(h)) = 0, \quad \forall h \in H\}$$

is a subspace. Moreover $f(H)M = M$ since for all $h_1, h \in H$, $m \in M$:

$$\text{tr}(f(h_1)m f(h)) = \text{tr}(f(h) f(h_1)m) = \text{tr}(f(hh_1)m) = 0.$$

We want to show that $M = \{0_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}\}$. If this is false, we may choose a non-zero subspace U of M of minimal dimension with respect to the property $f(H)U = U$. By Lemma 1.10 we have $\dim U = n$ and $Uv = \mathbb{F}^n$ for some v . If $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is a basis of U , then $\{u_1v, \dots, u_nv\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}^n . Up to conjugation we may suppose that

$$\{u_1v, \dots, u_nv\} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \quad (\text{canonical basis}).$$

For all $w \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we consider the matrix A_w with columns $A_w e_i = u_i w$, i.e.,:

$$A_w = (u_1 w \mid \dots \mid u_n w).$$

Let λ_w be an eigenvalue of A_w , with eigenvector $\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i e_i \neq 0_{\mathbb{F}^n}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} 0_{\mathbb{F}^n} &= (A_w - \lambda_w I) \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i e_i = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i (A_w - \lambda_w I) e_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i (u_i w - \lambda_w u_i v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i u_i (w - \lambda_w v). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the vectors

$$u_1(w - \lambda_w v), \dots, u_n(w - \lambda_w v)$$

are linearly dependent. Hence the space $U(w - \lambda_w v)$, generated by them, has dimension less than n . Since it is $f(H)$ -invariant, the irreducibility of \mathbb{F}^n gives:

$$U(w - \lambda_w v) = \{0_{\mathbb{F}^n}\}, \forall w \in \mathbb{F}^n.$$

In particular $u_i(e_j - \lambda_{e_j} v) = 0_{\mathbb{F}^n}$ for all i, j . Thus, setting $\lambda_{e_j} = \lambda_j$:

$$(2.8) \quad u_i e_j = \lambda_j u_i v = \lambda_j e_i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

This tells us:

$$u_i = (\lambda_1 e_i \mid \dots \mid \lambda_n e_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$0 = \text{tr}(u_i \text{id}_G) = \text{tr}(u_i) = \lambda_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

And now (2.8) gives that u_i has all columns equal to zero, hence $u_i = 0_{\mathbb{F}^n}$ for all i -s, against the assumption that u_1, \dots, u_n are linearly independent. We conclude $M = \{0_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}\}$ and the first step of induction is proved.

Now suppose $s > 1$. Set $n = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$ and consider the sum $f : H \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ of the representations f_i , defined by:

$$f(h) := \begin{pmatrix} f_1(h) & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & f_s(h) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall h \in H.$$

Let M be the following subset of $\text{Mat}_{n_1}(\mathbb{F}) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{Mat}_{n_s}(\mathbb{F})$:

$$M := \left\{ m = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & c_s \end{pmatrix} \mid \text{tr}(mf(h)) = \sum_{i=1}^s \text{tr}(c_i f_i(h)) = 0, \quad \forall h \in H \right\}.$$

Clearly M is an $f(H)$ -invariant subspace and we want to show that $M = \{0_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}\}$. If this is false, we may choose a non-zero subspace U of M of minimal dimension with respect to the property $f(H)U = U$. By the assumption that the representations $f_i : H \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$ are irreducible and pairwise inequivalent, Lemma 2.6 tells us that $\pi_i(U) = 0_{\text{Mat}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})}$ for at least one i . We may suppose $i = 1$. This means that, for all

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & u_s \end{pmatrix} \in U$$

we have $u_1 = 0_{\text{Mat}_{n_1}(\mathbb{F})}$. It follows

$$0_{\mathbb{F}} = \sum_{i=1}^s \text{tr}(u_i f_i(h)) = \sum_{i=2}^s \text{tr}(u_i f_i(h)), \quad \forall h \in H.$$

By induction $u_2 = \dots = u_s = 0$, whence $U = \{0_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}\}$, a contradiction. ■

(2.9) Corollary *Let $f_i : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{F})$, $i \leq s$, be pairwise inequivalent, absolutely irreducible representations of a group G with k conjugacy classes. Then $s \leq k$.*

Proof We may suppose \mathbb{F} algebraically closed. Choose representatives g_1, \dots, g_k of the conjugacy classes of G and consider the s vectors of \mathbb{F}^k :

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(f_1(g_1)) \\ \dots \\ \text{tr}(f_1(g_k)) \end{pmatrix}, \dots, v_s = \begin{pmatrix} \text{tr}(f_s(g_1)) \\ \dots \\ \text{tr}(f_s(g_k)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i v_i = 0_{\mathbb{F}^k}$ for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$. It follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \text{tr}(f_i(g_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^s \text{tr}(\alpha_i f_i(g_j)) = 0_{\mathbb{F}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Every $g \in G$ is conjugate to a g_j and $\text{tr}(g) = \text{tr}(g_j)$. Thus:

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \text{tr}(\alpha_i f_i(g)) = 0_{\mathbb{F}}, \quad \forall g \in G.$$

By the previous Theorem $\alpha_i = 0$ for all $i \leq s$. This means that the vectors v_1, \dots, v_s are linearly independent in \mathbb{F}^k . We conclude $s \leq k$. ■

(2.10) Theorem *Let G be a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and denote by $\mathbb{F}G$ the linear subspace of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ generated by G . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) G is absolutely irreducible;
- (2) $\mathbb{F}G = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ (equivalently, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}G = n^2$);
- (3) G is irreducible and $C_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}(G) = \mathbb{F}I_n$.

Proof

(1) \implies (2) Substituting \mathbb{F} with its algebraic closure, if necessary, we may suppose \mathbb{F} algebraically closed. Let g_1, \dots, g_m be a basis of $\mathbb{F}G$ and consider the orthogonal space

$\mathbb{F}G^\perp$ with respect to the bilinear form $(g_1, g_2) = \text{tr}(g_1 g_2)$ (see (3.7) in the Exercises of this Chapter). Since this form is non-degenerate, FG^\perp has dimension $n^2 - m$. Thus, if $m < n^2$, there exists a non-zero matrix m such that $\text{tr} mg = 0$ for all $g \in G$, in contrast with Theorem 2.7.

(2) \implies (3) Any G -invariant subspace would be $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ -invariant, against the irreducibility of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$. The last claim follows from the fact that the center of $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ consists of scalar matrices.

(3) \implies (1) [6, Theorem 29.13]. ■

Point (1) of the following Lemma explains why, in the study of classical groups, one is interested in the groups of isometries of non-degenerate forms. By point (2) an absolutely irreducible group can fix at most one form, necessarily non-degenerate, up to scalars.

(2.11) Lemma *Let $J \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be such that $J^T = J^\sigma$ (σ a field automorphism), or $J^T = -J$ and let $G \leq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ be a group of isometries of J , namely*

$$g^T J g^\sigma = J, \quad \forall g \in G.$$

(1) *if $\det J = 0$, then G is reducible;*

(2) *if G is absolutely irreducible, and J' is such that $g^T J' g^\sigma = J'$ for all $g \in G$, then $J' = \lambda J$ for some $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.*

Proof

(1) The 0-eigenspace W of J is non-zero. W is G^σ -invariant, since:

$$J g^\sigma w = (g^{-1})^T J w = 0 \implies g^\sigma w \in W, \quad \forall g \in G, w \in W.$$

From the fact that W is G^σ -invariant, it follows that $W^{\sigma^{-1}}$ is G -invariant.

(2) $J g^\sigma J^{-1} = (g^{-1})^T = J' g^\sigma J'^{-1}$ for all g gives $J'^{-1} J \in C_{\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}(G^\sigma) = \mathbb{F}I_n$. ■

3 Exercises

(3.1) Exercise *Let \mathbb{K} be a field extension of \mathbb{F} . Show that any subset $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ of \mathbb{F}^n which is linearly independent over \mathbb{F} is also linearly independent over \mathbb{K} .*

(3.2) Exercise *Let $G = \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{F})$ and $W = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}^4$. Determine:*

- i) the stabilizer G_W of W in G ;
- ii) the kernel of the restriction map defined by $h \mapsto h_W$ for all $h \in G_W$;
- iii) the group $(G_W)^W$ induced by G_W on W .

(3.3) Exercise Let $W \leq \mathbb{F}^n$, $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$. Suppose that $\dim W = m > \frac{n}{2}$ and that G_W acts irreducibly on W . Show that W is the only G_W -invariant subspace of dimension m . Deduce that $C_G(G_W) \leq G_W$.

(3.4) Exercise Show that $C_{\mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F})}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})) = \mathbb{F}I_2$.

(3.5) Exercise Show, by induction on n , that $C_{\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})) = \mathbb{F}I_n$.

Hint. For $n \geq 3$, start with any $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace W . Consider its stabilizer H in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ and note that $H^W \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{F})$ acts irreducibly on W . Deduce that, for every $c \in C_{\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})}(H)$ and for every $w \in W$

$$cw = \lambda_c w, \quad \lambda_c \in \mathbb{F}.$$

Take another $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspace $W' \neq W$. Again, for all $w' \in W'$:

$$cw' = \mu_c w', \quad \mu_c \in \mathbb{F}.$$

The conclusion follows easily from $\mathbb{F}^n = W + W'$.

(3.6) Exercise Show that the map $(,) : \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}) \times \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ defined by:

$$(3.7) \quad (A, B) := \mathrm{tr}(AB)$$

is bilinear and that it is non-degenerate.

(3.8) Exercise Let $G = \mathrm{Sym}(3)$ and set:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \mathrm{id} + (123) + (132) + (12) + (13) + (23), \\ \tau &= \mathrm{id} + (123) + (132) - (12) - (13) - (23), \\ \rho_1 &= \mathrm{id} + (12) - (13) - (123), \quad \rho_2 = \mathrm{id} + (23) - (13) - (132), \\ \zeta_1 &= \mathrm{id} + (12) - (23) - (132), \quad \zeta_2 = \mathrm{id} + (12) - (13) + (123) - (132). \end{aligned}$$

- i) Show that, with respect to the product $j(fg) := (jf)g$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $f, g \in G$:

$$\mathbb{C}G = \mathbb{C}\sigma \oplus \mathbb{C}\tau \oplus (\mathbb{C}\rho_1 + \mathbb{C}\rho_2) \oplus (\mathbb{C}\zeta_1 + \mathbb{C}\zeta_2)$$

is a decomposition of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ into 4 minimal left ideals.

- ii) Calculate explicitly the representations f_i of G afforded by these ideals and show that they are irreducible (Clearly it is enough to write $f_i(12)$ and $f_i(13)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$).
- iii) Show that 3 of them, say f_1, f_2, f_3 are inequivalent, of respective degrees 1, 1, 2.
- iv) Conclude that f_1, f_2, f_3 are the only irreducible representations of G over \mathbb{C} (use Corollary 2.9).