

or, equivalently, when

$$n_h \gamma_h^{1-\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^h n_j \gamma_j^{1-\sigma} + n_h \gamma_h^{1-\sigma} n_{h+1} \gamma_{h+1}^{1-\sigma} - n_{h+1} \gamma_{h+1}^{1-\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^h n_j \gamma_j^{1-\sigma} > 0 \quad (40)$$

Expression (40) is true when

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^h n_j \gamma_j^{1-\sigma}}{h-1} > \frac{n_{h+1} \gamma_{h+1}^{1-\sigma}}{n_h \gamma_h^{1-\sigma}}$$

We substitute  $n_{h+1}$  from (21) and we obtain

$$l \equiv \frac{\sum_{j=1}^h n_j \gamma_j^{1-\sigma}}{h-1} > \frac{L_R \gamma_{h+1}^{1-\sigma}}{a \gamma_h^{1-\sigma}} = \frac{L_R}{a} \left( \frac{\gamma_h}{\gamma_{h+1}} \right)^{\sigma-1}$$

where the left term in the inequality,  $l$ , is always larger than 1. Therefore, given that  $\gamma_{h+1} < \gamma_h$ , we may at least state that  $b_h > b_{h+1}$  is true, when  $\frac{L_R}{a} \left( \frac{\gamma_h}{\gamma_{h+1}} \right)^{\sigma-1} < l$ . That is when

$$1 < \left( \frac{\gamma_h}{\gamma_{h+1}} \right)^{\sigma-1} < \frac{a}{L_R} l \quad (41)$$

Expression (41) says that when the process innovation produces a reduction in  $\gamma$  which is not relatively high, then  $b_i$  decreases.

## Appendix B

Following Grossman and Helpman (1991, p. 63) we define the index of the manufactured output

$$D \equiv \left( \sum_{m=1}^i n_m x_m^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}$ , while the ideal price index of final goods is  $p_D$ .

The gross domestic product (GDP),  $G$ , is defined as the sum of the value added in manufacturing and in the R&D sector

$$G \equiv p_D D + v_i \dot{n}_i$$

We know from Grossman and Helpman (1991, p. 63) that the growth of the real GDP is equal to a weighed average of the growth rates of the manufactured good index,  $g_D$ , and of the research output,  $g_i$ , with weights given by sector's value shares. In particular, the manufactured goods share is given by  $\theta_D \equiv p_D D / (p_D D + v_i \dot{n}_i)$ . Thus the growth rate of the real GDP is

$$g_G = \theta_D g_D + (1 - \theta_D) g_i$$

We need to compute  $g_D$  for a given value of  $b_i$ .

Using (29), (26) and (17), we rewrite  $D$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha &\equiv \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \left( \frac{\alpha b_m}{n_m \gamma_m} \right)^\alpha w^{-\alpha} = \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \left( \frac{\alpha \frac{n_m \gamma_m^{1-\sigma}}{\sum_{j=1}^i n_j \gamma_j^{1-\sigma}}}{n_m \gamma_m} \right)^\alpha w^{-\alpha} = \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \left( \alpha \frac{\gamma_m^{-\sigma}}{\sum_{j=1}^i n_j \gamma_j^{1-\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} w^{-\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \gamma_m^{1-\sigma}}{\left( \sum_{j=1}^i n_j \gamma_j^{1-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}} w^{-\alpha} = \\ &= \alpha^\alpha \left( \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \gamma_m^{1-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} w^{-\alpha} = \alpha^\alpha \left( \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \gamma_m^{1-\sigma} \right)^{1-\alpha} w^{-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$D^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \equiv \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \left( \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \gamma_m^{1-\sigma} \right) w^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$$

and totally differentiating the previous expression, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} D^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}-1} \dot{D} &= -\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} w^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}-1} \dot{w} \left( \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \gamma_m^{1-\sigma} \right) + \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} w^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \gamma_i^{1-\sigma} \dot{n}_i \\ g_D &= -\hat{w} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{n_i \gamma_i^{1-\sigma}}{\left( \sum_{m=1}^i n_m \gamma_m^{1-\sigma} \right)} g_i \\ g_D &= -\hat{w} + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\alpha} b_i g_i \end{aligned}$$

We know from (17) that

$$\hat{w} = -\hat{V}_i$$

Since for any given value of  $b_i$  we know that  $\hat{V}_i = 0$ , we derive that

$$g_D = \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha} b_i g_i$$

Moreover, given our normalization for manufacturing expenditure, we know that  $E = p_D D = 1$  and

$$\theta_D = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{V_i} g_i} \tag{42}$$

Expression (42) tells us that the manufactured goods share,  $\theta_D$ , is constant if  $V_i$  is constant. We know that  $V_i$  is constant only if  $b_i$  does not change. Consequently, the real GDP grows at the following rate

$$g_G = \left[ \theta_D \frac{(1 - \alpha)}{\alpha} b_i + (1 - \theta_D) \right] g_i$$

which is constant when  $b_i$  is constant, given that we know from (37) that also  $g_i$  is constant.