

ON SOME TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF THE V-PRIME
ELEMENTS OF A PARTIALLY ORDERED SET ^(*)



Domenico LENZI ^(**)

Sunto. D. Drake e W.J. Thron hanno dato in [1] una caratterizzazione degli elementi v-irriducibili e degli elementi fortemente v-irriducibili di un reticolo distributivo (L, \leq) . Tra l'altro in [1] è stato provato che un elemento $c \in L$ è irriducibile se e solo se (L, \leq) si può identificare tramite un isomorfismo reticolare f , con un sottoreticolo (L', \leq) del reticolo delle parti $\mathcal{P}(X)$ di un opportuno insieme X in modo tale che $f(c)$ è la chiusura in L' di un certo elemento $x \in X$ (cioè $f(c)$ è il più piccolo elemento di L' , rispetto all'inclusione insiemistica, cui appartiene x).

Come è ben noto un elemento di un reticolo distributivo è v-irriducibile se e solo se esso è v-primo. Questa proprietà è usata in maniera essenziale in [1]. In questo lavoro noi prendiamo lo spunto da tale proprietà per dare una caratterizzazione degli elementi v-primi e degli elementi fortemente v-primi di un qualsiasi insieme parzialmente ordinato.

(*) This is a revised version of a paper published on "Quaderni dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Università di Lecce", Q.15-1979.

(**) Dipartimento di Matematica - Università degli Studi - LECCE

Precisiamo che qui, in analogia con una caratterizzazione degli elementi v-primi e degli elementi fortemente v-primi di un reticolo, un elemento c di un insieme ordinato (S, \leq) è detto v-primo se il sottoinsieme $D_c := \{s \in S : c \nleq s\}$ è superiormente diretto; inoltre c è detto fortemente v-primo se $D_c = \emptyset$ oppure D_c è dotato di massimo. Allora noi proviamo che un elemento $c \in S$ è v-primo in (S, \leq) se e solo se possiamo identificare (S, \leq) , tramite una biezione isotona f, con una base di chiusi di uno spazio topologico, in modo tale che $f(c)$ è la chiusura in $(f(S), \subseteq)$ di un elemento di $\bigcup_{s \in S} f(s)$; inoltre proviamo che se c è un elemento non minimo di S allora esso è fortemente v-primo in (S, \leq) se e solo se per ogni biezione f del tipo su menzionato l'insieme $f(c)$ è la chiusura in $(f(S), \subseteq)$ di un punto di $\bigcup_{s \in S} f(s)$.

INTRODUCTION. A characterization of v-irreducible elements and of strongly v-irreducible elements of a distributive lattice (L, \leq) was given by D. Drake and W.J.Thron in [1]. Among other things in [1] it was proven that an element $c \in L$ is v-irreducible iff one can identify (L, \leq) , by means of a lattice isomorphism f, with a sublattice (L', \subseteq) of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a suitable set X, in such a way that $f(c)$ is the point closure in L' of an element $x \in X$ (i.e. $f(c)$ is the minimum element in L' , with respect to the set inclusion, including x).

As is well-known, an element of a distributive lattice is v-irreducible iff it is v-prime. This property is exploited in an essential manner in [1]. Now then in our paper we took this property as a starting point for a characterization of v-prime and of strongly v-prime elements of a partially ordered set (shortly "poset"). Here, on the analogy of a characterization of v-prime elements and of strongly v-prime elements of a lattice, an element c of a poset (S, \leq) is said v-prime iff the subset $D_c = \{s \in S : c \nleq s\}$ is v-directed (i.e.

$D_c = \emptyset$ or for every $x_1, x_2 \in D_c$ there exists $t \in D_c$ such that $x_1 \leq t$ and $x_2 \leq t$); moreover c is said strongly v-prime if $D_c = \emptyset$ or D_c has a maximum element. Then we prove that an element $c \in S$ is v-prime in (S, \leq) iff we can identify (S, \leq) , by means of an order isomorphism f , with a base of the closed sets of a topological space in such a way that $f(c)$ is the point closure of an element of $\bigcup_{s \in S} f(s)$; moreover we prove that if c is a non-minimum element of S , then it is strongly v-prime in (S, \leq) iff for all function f of the above type the set $f(c)$ is the closure in $(f(S), \leq)$ of an element of $\bigcup_{s \in S} f(s)$.

N. 1. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.

We recall that a lattice is said a set lattice (see [1] p. 57) iff its elements are subsets of a suitable set X and the order relation is the set inclusion; in particular if the lattice is a sublattice of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ then it is called a proper set lattice.

More generally we shall say that a set lattice (L', \leq) is a "U-proper set lattice" iff the lattice join is equal to the set union.

We recall also that a proper set representation of a lattice (L, \leq) is an ordered pair $(L', \leq), f$, where (L', \leq) is a proper set lattice and f is an isomorphism from (L, \leq) onto (L', \leq) . If (L', \leq) is a U-proper set we shall call $(L', \leq), f$ a "U-proper set representation".

We want to extend the previous definitions to the case of an arbitrary partially ordered set.

In the meantime we observe that in a set lattice (L', \leq) the lattice join is equal to the set union iff $L' \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is a base for the closed sets of a topology on $\bigcup_{Y \in L'} Y$ (i.e. the set complements in $\bigcup_{Y \in L'} Y$ of the elements of $L' \cup \{\emptyset\}$ are a base of a topology) on $\bigcup_{Y \in L'} Y$.

On the analogy of this fact we shall say that a poset (S, \leq) is a U-proper set poset iff its elements are subsets of a suitable set X , \leq is the set inclusion and $S \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is a base for the closed sets of a topology on X ; thus we shall say that an ordered pair $((S', \subseteq), f)$, where (S', \subseteq) is a U-proper set poset and f is a function, is a U-proper set representation of a poset (S, \leq) iff f is an order isomorphism from S onto S' . Dually we can give the notions of \cap -proper set poset and \cap -proper set representation.

Now let \mathcal{C} be a subset of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ (the power set of S), $x \in S$ and $\mathcal{C}_x = \{X \in \mathcal{C} : x \in X\}$. Then one can define, for every $x, y \in S$,

$$(i) \quad x \underset{\mathcal{C}}{\sim} y \text{ iff } \mathcal{C}_x \subseteq \mathcal{C}_y .$$

Clearly the defined relation is a preorder relation.

REMARK 1. It is easy to verify that if $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in Y$, then Y is a point closure of x in \mathcal{C} if and only if, for every $y \in Y$, $x \underset{\mathcal{C}}{\sim} y$.

We recall that a right tail of an ordered set (S, \leq) is every $Y \subseteq S$ such that $\forall x, y \in S : (x \in Y \text{ and } x \leq y) \Rightarrow y \in Y$. In particular the set $r(x) := \{y \in S | x \leq y\}$ (the "principal filter" generated by $x \in S$) is a right tail of (S, \leq) .

Obviously, if \mathcal{C}' is the set of the principal filters of a poset (S, \leq) and \mathcal{C} is the set of their set complements in S , then:

$$(j) \quad x \leq y \Leftrightarrow y \underset{\mathcal{C}}{\sim} x \quad x \underset{\mathcal{C}'}{\sim} y .$$

N. 2. A CHARACTERIZATION OF V-PRIME AND STRONGLY V-PRIME ELEMENTS

Let (S, \leq) be a poset. Then we can consider the function $g : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ mapping $x \in S$ into the set $g(x) := \{y \in S : x \nleq y\} = S - r(x)$.

REMARK 2. Clearly g is an order isomorphism between (S, \leq) and $(g(S), \subseteq)$. Moreover $((g(S), \subseteq), g)$ is a U-proper set representation of (S, \leq) . In fact the right tails of (S, \leq) are the open sets of a topology of S and the principal filters are a base for them; then, by De Morgan's properties, our assertion holds.

Now we can give the following

THEOREM 3. Let c be an element of S and let c be non-minimum in (S, \leq) . Then c is strongly v-prime in (S, \leq) iff, for every U-proper set representation $((f(S), \subseteq), f)$ of (S, \leq) , $f(c)$ is a point closure in $f(S)$.

Proof. Let $f(c)$ be a point closure in $f(S)$ for every U-proper set representation $((f(S), \subseteq), f)$ and let us consider the U-proper set representation of remark 2; thus the set $\{y \in S : c \nsubseteq y\} = g(c)$ is a point closure in $g(S)$. Hence, as a consequence of remark 1 and (j) in N. 1, $g(c)$ has a maximum element, therefore c is a strongly v-prime element of (S, \leq) .

The second part of the proof is an immediate consequence of the following considerations. Let X be a non-empty set and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$, moreover let C be a non-minimum and v-prime element of (\mathcal{F}, \subseteq) . Then the set $\{Y \in \mathcal{F} : C \not\subseteq Y\}$ has a maximum M . Now, for every $x \in C - M$, C is the minimum element of \mathcal{F} containing x . In fact if $x \in Z \in \mathcal{F}$ and $C \not\subseteq Z$ then $x \in Z \subseteq M$. This is absurd, since $x \notin M$.

Q.E.D.

THEOREM 4. An element $c \in S$ is v-prime in (S, \leq) iff a U-proper set representation $((f(S), \subseteq))$ of (S, \leq) exists such that $f(c)$ is a point

closure in $f(S)$.

Proof. Let $((f(S), \underline{c}), f)$ be a U-proper set representation of (S, \leq) such that $f(c)$ is the point closure in $f(S)$ of x ; moreover let $y, z \in S$ be such that $c \nleq y$ and $c \nleq z$. Then $f(c) \not\subseteq f(y)$ and $f(c) \not\subseteq f(z)$, thus $x \notin f(y)$ and $x \notin f(z)$; as a consequence since $(f(S) \cup \{\emptyset\})$ is a base of closed sets) an element $t \in S$ exists such that $f(t) \supseteq f(y) \cup f(z)$ and $x \notin f(t)$, thus $f(c) \not\subseteq f(t)$ and hence $c \nleq t$ but $y \leq t$ and $z \leq t$. This means that the subset $\{s \in S : c \nleq s\}$ is v-directed.

Conversely let c be a v-prime element in (S, \leq) and $((f(S), \underline{c}), f)$ a U-proper set representation of (S, \leq) . If $f(c)$ is a point closure in $f(S)$ we have nothing to prove. If not, it is sufficient to fix an element $x \notin \bigcup_{s \in S} f(s)$ and adjoin it to every $f(s)$ including $f(c)$ ⁽¹⁾.

Q.E.D.

We conclude with the following

THEOREM 5. *If (S, \leq) has at least a v-prime element, then there is a U-proper set representation $((f(S), \underline{c}), f)$ of (S, \leq) such that f maps every v-prime element of (S, \leq) in a point closure.*

Proof. Let P be the set of all v-prime elements of (S, \leq) , $((f(S), \underline{c}), f)$ a U-proper set representation of (S, \leq) (cfr. remark 2), and P_1 the set of all the elements of P mapped into a point closure. If $P_1 = P$ we have nothing to prove. Otherwise, for every $p \in P - P_1$, we can fix (by a bijective function) an element $x_p \notin \bigcup_{s \in S} f(s)$. Then we can consider the function f' that maps every $s \in S$ into the set $f(s) \cup X_s$,

(1) In theorem 5 we shall apply this method in a rigorous and more general manner.

where $X_s := \{x_p\}_{p \leq s}$. Clearly f' is an injective and isotone function. Now let U be the set of all upper bounds of $\{s_1, s_2\} \subseteq S$; we shall prove that $\bigcap_{u \in U} (f(u) \cup X_u) = (f(s_1) \cup X_{s_1}) \cup (f(s_2) \cup X_{s_2})$. Indeed $\bigcap_{u \in U} (f(u) \cup X_u) = (\bigcap_{u \in U} f(u)) \cup (\bigcap_{u \in U} X_u)$, moreover $\bigcap_{u \in U} f(u) = f(s_1) \cup f(s_2)$ (since $f(S) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is a base) and $\bigcap_{u \in U} X_u \supseteq X_{s_1} \cup X_{s_2}$; then it is sufficient to prove that $\bigcap_{u \in U} X_u \subseteq X_{s_1} \cup X_{s_2}$. Now if $x_p \in \bigcap_{u \in U} X_u$, then p is an element of $P - P_1$ such that $p \leq u$ for every $u \in U$. As a consequence, since p is v-prime and U is the set of all the upper bounds of $\{s_1, s_2\}$, then $p \leq s_1$ or $p \leq s_2$, hence $x_p \in X_{s_1} \cup X_{s_2}$. One can easily verify that $((f'(S), \subseteq), f')$ is the requested U -proper set representation.

Q.E.D.

REFERENCE

- [1] D. DRAKE - W.J. THRON: "On the representations of an abstract lattice as the family of closed sets of a topological space". Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 120(1965), 57-71.

Lavoro pervenuto alla Redazione il 14 Giugno 1982
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 16 Aprile 1983
su parere favorevole di D. Demaria ed M. Dolcher