

Maximal sector of analyticity for C_0 -semigroups generated by elliptic operators with separation property in L^p

Giorgio Metafune

Department of Mathematics, University of Salento
giorgio.metafune@unisalento.it

Noboru Okazawaⁱ

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science
okazawa@ma.kagu.tus.ac.jp

Motohiro Sobajima

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science
msobajima1984@gmail.com

Tomomi Yokotaⁱⁱ

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo University of Science
yokota@rs.kagu.tus.ac.jp

Received: 28.5.2013; accepted: 24.6.2013.

Abstract. Analytic continuation of the C_0 -semigroup $\{e^{-zA}\}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ generated by the second order elliptic operator $-A$ is investigated, where A is formally defined by the differential expression $Au = -\operatorname{div}(a\nabla u) + (F \cdot \nabla)u + Vu$ and the lower order coefficients have singularities at infinity or at the origin.

Keywords: Second order linear elliptic operators in L^p , analytic C_0 -semigroups, maximal sectors of analyticity.

MSC 2010 classification: primary 35J15, secondary 47D06

1 Introduction

In this paper we deal with general second order elliptic operators of the form

$$(Au)(x) := -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u(x)) + (F(x) \cdot \nabla)u(x) + V(x)u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in C^1 \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$, $F \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and the choice of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ or $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ depends on the location of the

ⁱThis work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), No.20540190.

ⁱⁱThis work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists Research (B), No. 20740079.

singularities of F and V . Under the assumption on the triplet (a, F, V) specified below we discuss the maximal sector of analyticity for the semigroup $\{T_p(t)\}$ on $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) generated by $-A$ with a suitable domain. Because the domain of A changes with the choice of Ω , we describe it when we state the respective result.

The purpose of this paper is to improve the known sector of analyticity for $\{T_p(t)\}$. In Metafune-Pallara-Prüss-Schnaubelt [10] and Metafune-Prüss-Rhandi-Schnaubelt [11], it is proved that $\{T_p(t)\}$ is analytic and contractive in $\Sigma(\eta_p)$, where

$$\Sigma(\eta) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} ; |\arg z| < \eta\},$$

$$\eta_p := \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)} + \frac{\beta^2}{4(1-\theta/p)}}$$

for some $\beta \geq 0$ (see (2.1) below) and $\theta < p$ (satisfying $\theta V \geq \operatorname{div} F$); note that η_p is smaller than

$$\omega_p := \frac{\pi}{2} - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{|p-2|}{2\sqrt{p-1}} \right)$$

which is the angle of contractivity for C_0 -semigroups generated by Schrödinger operators (see, e.g., Okazawa [12]). Using Gaussian estimates, one can construct a non-contractive holomorphic extension of $\{T_p(t)\}$ to $\Sigma(\eta)$ with $\eta \geq \eta_p$, where η is independent of p . However, an application of results in Ouhabaz [13, 14] would give $\eta = \eta_2$. We instead prove $\eta = \eta_{\bar{p}}$ for a certain \bar{p} and show that \bar{p} can be different from 2, see Remark 3 below.

2 Description of our assumption

Let $A_{p,\max}$ and A_p be the operators respectively defined as follows:

$$A_{p,\max}u := Au, \quad D(A_{p,\max}) := \{u \in L^p \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\Omega); Au \in L^p\},$$

$$A_p u := Au, \quad D(A_p) := W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap D(F \cdot \nabla) \cap D(V),$$

where $D(F \cdot \nabla) := \{u \in L^p \cap W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N); (F \cdot \nabla)u \in L^p\}$ and $D(V) := \{u \in L^p; Vu \in L^p\}$.

Now we present the basic assumption on the triplet (a, F, V) defining $A_{p,\max}$ and A_p . As in Introduction Ω stands for \mathbb{R}^N or $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

(H1) ${}^t a = a \in C^1 \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{N \times N})$ and a is uniformly elliptic on \mathbb{R}^N , that is, there exists a constant $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle \geq \nu|\xi|^2, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual Hermitian product;

(H2) $F \in C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$, $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and there exist three constants $\beta \geq 0$, γ_1 , $\gamma_\infty > 0$ and a **nonnegative** auxiliary function $U \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$|\langle F(x), \xi \rangle| \leq \beta U(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N, \quad (2.1)$$

$$V(x) - \operatorname{div} F(x) \geq \gamma_1 U(x) \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

$$V(x) \geq \gamma_\infty U(x) \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega; \quad (2.3)$$

(H3) the auxiliary function $U \geq 0$ in **(H2)** belongs to $C^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and there exist constants $c_0 \geq k_0 := \max\{\gamma_1, \gamma_\infty\} > 0$ and $c_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$V(x) \leq c_0 U(x) + c_1 \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega \quad (2.4)$$

and U satisfies an **oscillation condition** with respect to the diffusion a , that is,

$$\lambda_0 := \lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\langle a(x)\nabla U(x), \nabla U(x) \rangle^{1/2}}{(U(x) + c)^{3/2}} \right) < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

This yields a working form of the oscillation condition: for every $\lambda > \lambda_0$ there exists a constant $C_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\langle a(x)\nabla U(x), \nabla U(x) \rangle^{1/2} \leq \lambda(U(x) + C_\lambda)^{3/2}, \quad x \in \Omega. \quad (2.6)$$

In particular, if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ then $U(x)$ is assumed to tend to infinity as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Example 1 (Maeda-Okazawa [9]). Put $a_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$. Then it is possible to compute λ_0 for $U(x) := |x|^\alpha$ when $\alpha \notin (-2, 1]$.

(i) Let $U(x) := |x|^\alpha$ ($\alpha > 1$). Then $U \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\lambda_0 = 0$. In fact, we have

$$\frac{\langle a(x)\nabla U(x), \nabla U(x) \rangle^{1/2}}{(U(x) + c)^{3/2}} = \frac{\alpha|x|^{\alpha-1}}{(|x|^\alpha + c)^{3/2}} \leq \alpha c^{-1/2-1/\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \quad (c \rightarrow \infty).$$

(ii) Let $U(x) := |x|^{-\beta}$ ($\beta > 2$). Then $U \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ and $\lambda_0 = 0$. The computation is similar as above. In particular, if $\beta = 2$, then $\lambda_0 = 2$.

Remark 1. Let $\lambda > \lambda_0$ and $C_\lambda > 0$ as in (2.6) and put

$$\tilde{U}(x) := U(x) + C_\lambda > 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Then \tilde{U} plays the role of a **positive** auxiliary function for the new (formal) operator

$$\tilde{A} := A + k_0 C_\lambda$$

with modified potential

$$\tilde{V}(x) := V(x) + k_0 C_\lambda > 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

where k_0 is as in condition **(H3)**. In fact, the new triplet (a, F, \tilde{V}) satisfies the original inequalities (2.1)–(2.4) with the pair (U, V) replaced with (\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}) :

$$|\langle F(x), \xi \rangle| \leq \beta(U(x) + C_\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.1')$$

$$[V(x) + k_0 C_\lambda] - \operatorname{div} F(x) \geq \gamma_1(U(x) + C_\lambda), \quad (2.2')$$

$$V(x) + k_0 C_\lambda \geq \gamma_\infty(U(x) + C_\lambda), \quad (2.3')$$

$$V(x) + k_0 C_\lambda \leq c_0(U(x) + C_\lambda) + c_1. \quad (2.4')$$

Note further that (2.6) is also written in terms of \tilde{U} :

$$\langle a(x)\nabla\tilde{U}(x), \nabla\tilde{U}(x) \rangle^{1/2} \leq \lambda \tilde{U}(x)^{3/2} \quad \text{on } \Omega. \quad (2.6')$$

In particular, (2.1') and (2.6') yield that

$$|(F \cdot \nabla)\tilde{U}(x)| \leq \beta\lambda\tilde{U}(x)^2 \quad \text{on } \Omega. \quad (2.7)$$

3 The operators with singularities at infinity

In this section we consider the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$.

Theorem 1. *Assume that conditions **(H1)** and **(H2)** are satisfied with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Then one has the following assertions:*

(i) *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then $A_{q,\max}$ is m -sectorial in L^q , that is, $\{e^{-zA_{q,\max}}\}$ is an analytic contraction semigroup on L^q on the closed sector $\bar{\Sigma}(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} c_{q,\beta,\gamma})$, where*

$$c_{q,\beta,\gamma} := \sqrt{\frac{(q-2)^2}{4(q-1)} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{q} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{q'} \right)^{-1}} \quad (3.1)$$

and q' is the Hölder conjugate of q . Moreover, $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for $A_{q,\max}$.

(ii) *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ be arbitrarily fixed. Then the semigroup $\{e^{-zA_{p,\max}}\}$ in assertion (i) admits an analytic continuation to the open sector $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma})$, where*

$$K_{\beta,\gamma} := \min_{1 < q < \infty} c_{q,\beta,\gamma}. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $\omega_0 > 0$ such that $\{e^{-z(\omega_0 + A_{p,\max})}\}$ forms a bounded analytic semigroup on L^p :

$$\|e^{-zA_{p,\max}}\|_{L^p} \leq M_\varepsilon e^{\omega_0 \operatorname{Re} z} \quad \text{on } \bar{\Sigma}(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma} - \varepsilon). \quad (3.3)$$

Here the constant ω_0 depends only on N , $\|a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $\|\nabla a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, while the constant $M_\varepsilon \geq 1$ depends only on ε , N , ν , β , γ_1 , γ_∞ and $\|a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.

(iii) Assume further that **(H3)** is satisfied with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. If

$$(p-1)\lambda_0\left(\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{\lambda_0}{4}\right) < \frac{\gamma_1}{p} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{p'}, \quad (3.4)$$

then $A_{p,\max}$ has the so-called separation property:

$$\|\operatorname{div}(a\nabla u)\|_{L^p} + \|(F \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p} + \|Vu\|_{L^p} \leq C\|(1 + A_{p,\max})u\|_{L^p} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $u \in D(A_{p,\max})$ which implies the coincidence $A_{p,\max} = A_p$ and hence $\{e^{-zA_p}\}$ is analytic in $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma})$.

Here three remarks are in order.

Remark 2. Assertion (i) is a particular case of [15, Theorem 1.3]; note that the sector of analyticity and contraction property for $\{e^{-zA_{p,\max}}\}$ is reduced to the positive real axis (that is, $\tan^{-1} c_{p,\beta,\gamma} \rightarrow \pi/2$) as p tends to 1 or to ∞ .

Remark 3. Assertion (ii) states that $\{e^{-zA_{p,\max}}\}$ admits an analytic continuation without contraction property (in general) to a p -independent sector $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma})$ bigger than $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} c_{q,\beta,\gamma})$. Moreover, in general the constant $c_{2,\beta,\gamma}$ does not attain $\min_{1 < q < \infty} c_{q,\beta,\gamma}$ ($= K_{\beta,\gamma}$). In fact, we see by a simple calculation that

$$\frac{\partial(c_{q,\beta,\gamma})^2}{\partial q} = \frac{q(q-2)}{4(q-1)^2} + \frac{\beta^2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_\infty)}{4q^2} \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{q} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{q'}\right)^{-2}.$$

Therefore if $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_\infty$, then we have

$$\frac{\partial(c_{q,\beta,\gamma})^2}{\partial q} \Big|_{q=2} = \frac{\beta^2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_\infty)}{4(\gamma_1 + \gamma_\infty)^2} \neq 0.$$

This implies that in the case where $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_\infty$ the sector derived by L^p -theory can be bigger than the one derived by L^2 -theory. Consequently, we have $c_{2,\beta,\gamma} > K_{\beta,\gamma}$. An example with $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_\infty$ is also given later (see Example 3 below in Section 4).

Remark 4. It is shown in [10] that A_p is m -sectorial of type $S(\tan \omega)$ in L^p , where

$$\omega := \tan^{-1} c_{p,\beta,\gamma} > \omega_p = \tan^{-1} \frac{|p-2|}{2\sqrt{p-1}},$$

if p satisfies (3.4). Their proof is based on a perturbation technique with the separation property (3.5) under a setting similar to assertion (iii). Theorem 1 makes it clear that (3.5) is necessary only for the domain characterization of A_p .

First we describe the key lemma as Lemma 1 which plays an essential role in proving the existence of analytic continuation for $\{e^{-zA_{p,\max}}\}$. Lemma 1 transplants a bounded analytic semigroup on L^{p_0} onto L^p without changing the sector (or angle) of analyticity. Note that Lemma 1 was first proved in Ouhabaz [13] (for $A_{2,\max}$ associated with symmetric forms), and then in Arendt-ter Elst [2] and Hieber [8].

Lemma 1. *For some $p_0 \in (1, \infty)$ let $\{T_{p_0}(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on L^{p_0} .*

(i) (*Gaussian Estimate*) *Assume that $\{T_{p_0}(t)\}$ admits a Gaussian estimate with integral kernel $\{k_t\}$. For every $p \in (1, \infty)$ define the family $\{T_p(t); t \geq 0\}$ as $T_p(0)f := f$ and*

$$(T_p(t)f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_t(x, y)f(y) dy \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad f \in L^p, \quad t > 0.$$

Then the new family $\{T_p(t)\}$ forms a C_0 -semigroup on L^p .

(ii) (*Analyticity*) *Assume further that $\{e^{-\omega_0 z} T_{p_0}(z)\}$ is a bounded analytic semigroup on L^{p_0} in the sector $\Sigma(\psi_0)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $M_\varepsilon \geq 1$ satisfying*

$$\|T_{p_0}(z)\|_{L^{p_0}} \leq M_\varepsilon e^{\omega_0 \operatorname{Re} z} \quad \forall z \in \overline{\Sigma}(\psi_0 - \varepsilon). \quad (3.6)$$

Then $\{T_p(t)\}$ has almost the same property as $\{T_{p_0}(t)\}$; namely, $\{e^{-\omega_0 t} T_p(t)\}$ can be extended to a bounded analytic semigroup $\{e^{-\omega_0 z} T_p(z)\}$ in the sector $\Sigma(\psi_0)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\tilde{M}_\varepsilon \geq 1$ satisfying

$$\|T_p(z)\|_{L^p} \leq \tilde{M}_\varepsilon e^{\omega_0 \operatorname{Re} z} \quad \forall z \in \overline{\Sigma}(\psi_0 - \varepsilon)$$

(which is nothing but (3.6) with p_0 and M_ε replaced with p and \tilde{M}_ε , respectively), where the constant \tilde{M}_ε depends only on $\varepsilon, N, p_0, \psi_0, M_\varepsilon, C$ and b .

Next we note that the (analytic contraction) semigroup $\{e^{-tA_{2,\max}}\}$ admits a Gaussian estimate. The proof of the following lemma is given in [3, Theorem 4.2].

Lemma 2. *Assume that (H1), (H2) and (H3) are satisfied with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Then $\{e^{-tA_{2,\max}}\}$ admits a Gaussian estimate with **nonnegative** kernel $\{k_t\}$ satisfying*

$$0 \leq k_t(x, y) \leq Ct^{-N/2} \exp\left(\omega_0 t - \frac{|x-y|^2}{bt}\right) \quad \text{a.a. } (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where the constant ω_0 depends only on $N, \|a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\nabla a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty}$, while C, b depend only on $N, \nu, \beta, \gamma_1, \gamma_\infty$ and $\|a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty}$.

Next we state a modification of [10, Lemma 2.3]; note that the constant factors in the inequalities are figured out. It is worth noticing that under conditions (i) and (ii)

$$A_{p,\min} := A, \quad D(A_{p,\min}) := C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

is accretive in L^p (see, e.g., [10, Proposition 2.2] or [15, Theorem 1.1]).

Lemma 3. *Assume that (H1), (H2) and (H3) are satisfied with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. Put*

$$k_p(\lambda) := \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{p} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{p'} \right) - (p-1)\lambda \left(\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \right), \quad \lambda > \lambda_0,$$

and let C_λ be a constant in (2.6). If $k_p(\lambda) > 0$, then for every $\xi > k_0 C_\lambda$ ($= C_\lambda \max\{\gamma_1, \gamma_\infty\}$) and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ one has

$$\|(U + C_\lambda)u\|_{L^p} \leq \frac{1}{k_p(\lambda)} \|(\xi + A)u\|_{L^p}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (F \cdot \nabla)u \|_{L^p} + \| (V + k_0 C_\lambda)u \|_{L^p} \\ & \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{c_0 + \beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}}{k_p(\lambda)} + \frac{c_1}{\xi - k_0 C_\lambda} \right) \| (\xi + A)u \|_{L^p}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)} > 0$ depends only on N, p, ν and $\|a_{jk}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$. Moreover, let $\xi \geq 1 + k_0 C_\lambda$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \left(5 + 2 \frac{c_0 + \beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}}{k_p(\lambda)} + \frac{2c_1}{\xi - k_0 C_\lambda} \right) \|(\xi + A)u\|_{L^p}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on N, p, ν and $\|a_{jk}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$.

Proof. Define $\tilde{A}u := (A + k_0 C_\lambda)u$ for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and set $\eta := \xi - k_0 C_\lambda > 0$. Then $(\eta + \tilde{A})u = (\xi + A)u$ so that (3.7) and (3.8) are respectively equivalent to

$$\|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p} \leq k_p(\lambda)^{-1} \|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (F \cdot \nabla)u \|_{L^p} + \| \tilde{V}u \|_{L^p} \\ & \leq 2(1 + k_p(\lambda)^{-1} [c_0 + \beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}] + \eta^{-1} c_1) \|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $\tilde{U} = U + C_\lambda > 0$ and $\tilde{V} = V + k_0 C_\lambda > 0$ (see Remark 1).

First we prove (3.10). We use the key identity in [15, Section 1]: for every $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $v \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (Au)\bar{v} \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\langle a \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + \left(V - \frac{\operatorname{div} F}{r} \right) u \bar{v} \right] dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{v} \nabla u}{r'} - \frac{u \nabla \bar{v}}{r} \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Then it follows from (3.12) with $r := p$ and $v := \tilde{U}^{p-1}u|u|^{p-2} \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\tilde{A}u) \tilde{U}^{p-1} \bar{u} |u|^{p-2} dx \\ &= (p-1)(I_1 + I_2) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-1} |u|^{p-4} \langle a \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u} \nabla u), \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u} \nabla u) \rangle dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\tilde{V} - \frac{\operatorname{div} F}{p} \right) \tilde{U}^{p-1} |u|^p dx - \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-2} |u|^p (F \cdot \nabla) \tilde{U} dx, \quad (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-1} |u|^{p-4} \langle a \operatorname{Re}(\bar{u} \nabla u), \operatorname{Re}(\bar{u} \nabla u) \rangle dx, \\ I_2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-2} |u|^{p-2} \langle a \operatorname{Re}(\bar{u} \nabla u), \nabla \tilde{U} \rangle dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here Young's inequality and (2.6') apply to give

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 - |I_2| &\geq I_1 - I_1^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-3} \langle a \nabla \tilde{U}, \nabla \tilde{U} \rangle |u|^p dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-3} \langle a \nabla \tilde{U}, \nabla \tilde{U} \rangle |u|^p dx \\ &\geq -\frac{\lambda^2}{4} \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\eta \geq 0$. Then by virtue of (2.2'), (2.3'), (2.6') and (2.7) we can rewrite (3.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\eta u + \tilde{A}u) \tilde{U}^{p-1} \bar{u} |u|^{p-2} dx \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{\tilde{V} - \operatorname{div} F}{p} + \frac{\tilde{V}}{p'} \right) \tilde{U}^{p-1} |u|^p dx \\ & \quad - \frac{p-1}{p} \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-2} \tilde{U}^{1/2} \langle a \nabla \tilde{U}, \nabla \tilde{U} \rangle^{1/2} |u|^p dx - (p-1) \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{p} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{p'} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U} \tilde{U}^{p-1} |u|^p dx \\ & \quad - \frac{p-1}{p} \beta \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \tilde{U}^{p-3/2} \tilde{U}^{3/2} |u|^p dx - (p-1) \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\eta u + \tilde{A}u) \tilde{U}^{p-1} \bar{u} |u|^{p-2} dx \geq \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{p} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{p'} - \frac{p-1}{p} \beta \lambda - \frac{p-1}{4} \lambda^2 \right) \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Thus (3.10) is a consequence of Hölder's inequality.

Next we prove (3.11). It follows from (2.1') and (2.4') that

$$\|(F \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p} + \|\tilde{V}u\|_{L^p} \leq \beta \|\tilde{U}^{1/2} \langle a \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle^{1/2}\|_{L^p} + c_0 \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p} + c_1 \|u\|_{L^p}. \quad (3.14)$$

Applying [10, Proposition 3.3] to our diffusion a and auxiliary function $\tilde{U} \geq C_\lambda > 0$, we see that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_\varepsilon > 0$ depending only on N, p, ν and $\|a_{jk}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$ such that

$$\beta \|\tilde{U}^{1/2} \langle a \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle^{1/2}\|_p \leq \beta \varepsilon \|\operatorname{div}(a \nabla u)\|_{L^p} + \beta \tilde{C}_\varepsilon \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p}.$$

Plugging this inequality with $\varepsilon = (2\beta)^{-1}$ into (3.14), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(F \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p} + \|\tilde{V}u\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\|(F \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p} + \|\tilde{V}u\|_{L^p} \right) \\ & \quad + (c_0 + \beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}) \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p} + \left(\frac{\eta}{2} + c_1 \right) \|u\|_{L^p}, \quad \eta \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Here it is worth noticing that since $A_{p,\min}$ is accretive in L^p , $\tilde{A}_{p,\min}$ is also accretive in L^p :

$$\eta \|u\|_{L^p} \leq \|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p} \quad (\eta \geq 0). \quad (3.16)$$

Therefore, (3.11) follows from (3.15) as a consequence of (3.10) and (3.16):

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(F \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p} + \|\tilde{V}u\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq \|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p} + 2(c_0 + 2\beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}) \|\tilde{U}u\|_{L^p} + (\eta + 2c_1) \|u\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{c_0 + \beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}}{k_p(\lambda)} + \frac{c_1}{\eta} \right) \|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p}, \quad \eta \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove (3.9). Condition **(H1)** and [6, Theorem 9.11] yield the well-known elliptic estimate: for every $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C(\|\operatorname{div}(a \nabla u)\|_{L^p} + \|u\|_{L^p}),$$

where C depends only on N, p, ν and $\|a_{jk}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$. Now let $\eta \geq 1$. Then we can derive from (3.8) and (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} & \leq C(\|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p} + 2\eta \|u\|_{L^p}) + C(\|(F \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p} + \|\tilde{V}u\|_{L^p}) \\ & \leq C \left(5 + 2 \frac{c_0 + \beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}}{k_p(\lambda)} + \frac{2c_1}{\eta} \right) \|(\eta + \tilde{A})u\|_{L^p}, \quad \eta \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (3.9). This completes the proof of Lemma 3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) Let $c_{q,\beta,\gamma}$ be the constant defined by (3.1). Then by [15, Theorem 1.3] we can conclude that for every $q \in (1, \infty)$, $A_{q,\max}$ is m -sectorial of type $S(c_{q,\beta,\gamma})$ in L^q , that is, $-A_{q,\max}$ generates an analytic contraction semigroup $\{e^{-zA_{q,\max}}\}$ on L^q on the closed sector $\overline{\Sigma}(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} c_{q,\beta,\gamma})$. Moreover, we see from [15, Theorem 1.2] that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for $A_{p,\max}$. In fact, by condition **(H1)** it suffices to show that there exist a nonnegative auxiliary function $\Psi_q \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a constant $\tilde{\beta} \geq 0$ such that

$$|\langle F(x), \xi \rangle| \leq \tilde{\beta} \Psi_q(x)^{1/2} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2} \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N, \quad (3.17)$$

$$V - \frac{\operatorname{div} F}{q} \geq \Psi_q \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.18)$$

Now set

$$\Psi_q(x) := \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{q} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{q'} \right) U(x), \quad \tilde{\beta} := \beta \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{q} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{q'} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then we see from conditions (2.1)–(2.3) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ that (3.17) and (3.18) are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle F(x), \xi \rangle| &\leq \beta U(x)^{1/2} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{\beta} \Psi_q(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2}, \\ \Psi_q(x) &\leq \frac{V(x) - \operatorname{div} F(x)}{q} + \frac{V(x)}{q'} \\ &= V(x) - \frac{\operatorname{div} F(x)}{q}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we can apply [15, Theorem 1.3] to the triplet (a, F, V) . The constant in (3.17) is reflected to that in (3.1). This completes the proof of assertion **(i)**.

(ii) We want to construct a q -independent analytic continuation for $\{e^{-zA_{q,\max}}\}$. By virtue of Lemma 2 we can apply Lemma 1 **(i)** with $p_0 = 2$ to $\{e^{-zA_{2,\max}}\}$. Namely, the new family $\{T_q(t); t \geq 0\}$ of bounded linear operators on L^q defined as

$$(T_q(t)f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} k_t(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad t > 0,$$

with the kernel of $e^{-tA_{2,\max}}$ forms a C_0 -semigroup on L^q for every $1 < q < \infty$. Denote by B_q the generator of $\{T_q(t)\}$ on L^q . Noting that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for $A_{q,\max}$, we deduce that $-B_q = A_{q,\max}$ and hence we obtain

$$T_q(t) = e^{-tA_{q,\max}} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

This implies by Theorem 1 **(i)** that $\{T_q(z)\} = \{e^{-zA_{q,\max}}\}$ is an analytic contraction semigroup on L^q on the closed sector $\overline{\Sigma}(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} c_{q,\beta,\gamma})$.

Next let $q_0 \in (1, \infty)$ be as defined by

$$c_{q_0, \beta, \gamma} = \min_{1 < q < \infty} c_{q, \beta, \gamma} = K_{\beta, \gamma}.$$

Then we see that $\{T_{q_0}(t)\}$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 1 (ii) with

$$(p_0, \psi_0) := (q_0, \pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta, \gamma}).$$

Therefore for every $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\{T_p(t)\}$ on L^p admits an analytic continuation to the sector $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta, \gamma})$ such that

$$\|T_p(z)\|_{L^p} \leq M_\varepsilon e^{\omega_0 \operatorname{Re} z}, \quad z \in \Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta, \gamma} - \varepsilon), \quad (3.19)$$

where the constant M_ε depends only on $\varepsilon, N, \nu, \beta, \gamma_1, \gamma_\infty$ and $\|a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty}$. Consequently, the identity theorem for vector-valued analytic functions (see, e.g., [1, Theorem A.2]) implies that $\{T_p(z)\}$ is nothing but the analytic extension of $\{e^{-zA_{p, \max}}\}$ to the sector $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta, \gamma})$ and hence using (3.19), we obtain (3.3). This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

(iii) It suffices to show that $A_{p, \max} = A_p$ if (H3) and (3.4) are satisfied with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. By definition we see that $A_p \subset A_{p, \max}$. Conversely, let $u \in D(A_{p, \max})$. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a core for $A_{p, \max}$, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u, \quad Au_n \rightarrow A_{p, \max} u \quad \text{in } L^p \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Applying Lemma 3 with $\xi = 1 + k_0 C_\lambda$, we see that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|(F \cdot \nabla)u_n\|_{L^p} + \|Vu_n\|_{L^p} \\ & \leq (C + 1) \left(5 + 2 \frac{c_0 + \beta \tilde{C}_{1/(2\beta)}}{k_p} \right) \|(\xi + A)u_n\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap D(F \cdot \nabla) \cap D(V) = D(A_p)$. This completes the proof of $A_p = A_{p, \max}$. \square

Example 2. We consider a typical one-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator

$$(A_\mu v)(x) := -v''(x) + xv'(x)$$

in L_μ^p (the L^p -space with respect to the invariant measure $e^{-x^2/2} dx$). Chill-Fašangová-Metafune-Pallara [4] show that the C_0 -semigroup on L_μ^p generated by $-A_\mu$ is analytic in the sector $\Sigma(\tilde{\omega}_p)$ and that the angle $\tilde{\omega}_p = \pi/2 - \omega_p$ of analyticity is optimal.

Here, applying Theorem 1 **(ii)**, we give another derivation of their angle ω_p . Using the isometry $u \mapsto e^{-x^2/2p}u$, we can transform A_μ into A :

$$(Au)(x) := -\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)x \frac{du}{dx} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p^2}x^2 - \frac{1}{p}\right)u$$

in the usual space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus we obtain

$$a(x) \equiv 1, \quad F(x) := \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)x, \quad V(x) := \frac{p-1}{p^2}x^2 - \frac{1}{p}$$

in our notation. Setting $U(x) := x^2$, the triplet $(a, F, V+1)$ satisfies conditions **(H1)** and **(H2)** with respective constants

$$\beta = |p-2|/p, \quad \gamma_1 = (p-1)/p^2 = \gamma_\infty.$$

In fact, (2.1)–(2.3) are computed as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle F(x), \xi \rangle| &= p^{-1}|p-2|U(x)^{1/2}|\xi| \leq \beta(U(x)+1)^{1/2}|\xi|, \\ (V(x)+1) - \operatorname{div}F(x) &= \frac{p-1}{p^2}U(x) + \frac{1}{p} \geq \gamma_1(U(x)+1), \\ V(x)+1 &= \frac{p-1}{p^2}U(x) + \frac{1}{p} \geq \gamma_\infty(U(x)+1). \end{aligned}$$

This leads us to the angle ω_p introduced in Introduction:

$$K_{\beta,\gamma} = \inf_{1 < q < \infty} \sqrt{\frac{(q-2)^2}{4(q-1)} + \frac{(p-2)^2}{4(p-1)}} = \frac{|p-2|}{2\sqrt{p-1}} = \tan \omega_p.$$

This shows that the domain of analyticity in this case is at least $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \omega_p)$ in a form of sector with vertex at the origin. Moreover, $U(x)$ satisfies (2.4) and (2.5) in **(H3)** with $c_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_0 = 0$, respectively. Hence A has a separation property (3.5).

4 The operators with local singularities

In this section we deal with the case $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. In this case $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ is not a core for $A_{p,\max}$ in general. In fact, $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ is not dense in $W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ if $p > N/2$. Therefore Theorem 1 **(i)** and **(ii)** may be false if \mathbb{R}^N is replaced with $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Nevertheless we can show that Theorem 1 **(iii)** remains true even if $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ because $A_p = A_{p,\max}$ can be approximated by a family of operators $\{A_p^{(\delta)}; \delta > 0\}$ with those properties in Theorem 1 **(i)**, **(ii)** and **(iii)**.

Theorem 2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Assume that conditions **(H1)**, **(H2)** and **(H3)** are satisfied with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Let $K_{\beta,\gamma}$ be the constant determined by (3.2). If (3.4) holds, then $\{e^{-zA_p}\}$ admits an analytic continuation to the sector $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma})$. In this case A_p has the separation property (3.5).*

Before proving Theorem 2, we introduce our approximation for the lower order coefficients. This is a modified version of Yosida approximation.

Lemma 4. *Let $\delta > 0$. Under the assumption in Theorem 2 put*

$$F_\delta(x) := \begin{cases} F(x)(1 + \delta U(x))^{-2}, & x \neq 0, \\ 0, & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

$$U_\delta(x) := \begin{cases} U(x)(1 + \delta U(x))^{-1}, & x \neq 0, \\ \delta^{-1}, & x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

$$V_\delta(x) := \frac{V(x)}{1 + \delta U(x)} + \frac{\gamma_1 \delta U(x)^2}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} + \frac{2\beta\lambda\delta(U(x) + C_\lambda)^2}{(1 + \delta U(x))^3} \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (4.3)$$

where λ and C_λ are the constants in (2.6). Then

$$F_\delta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^N), \quad U_\delta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^N), \quad V_\delta \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}) \quad (4.4)$$

and the triplet (a, F_δ, V_δ) and U_δ satisfy

$$F_\delta \rightarrow F \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{R}^N), \quad V_\delta \rightarrow V \text{ in } L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}; \mathbb{R}) \quad (4.5)$$

and (2.1)–(2.3) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$|\langle F_\delta(x), \xi \rangle| \leq \beta U_\delta(x)^{1/2} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^N, \quad (4.6)$$

$$V_\delta(x) - \text{div} F_\delta(x) \geq \gamma_1 U_\delta(x) \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (4.7)$$

$$V_\delta(x) \geq \gamma_\infty U_\delta(x) \quad \text{a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (4.8)$$

Moreover, for $\delta \leq 1/C_\lambda$, one has (2.4) and (2.6) for the triplet (a, F_δ, V_δ) :

$$V_\delta(x) \leq (c_0 + \gamma_1 + 2\beta\lambda)U_\delta(x) + c_1 + 2\beta\lambda C_\lambda, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\langle a(x)\nabla U_\delta(x), \nabla U_\delta(x) \rangle^{1/2} \leq \lambda(U_\delta(x) + C_\lambda)^{3/2}. \quad (4.10)$$

Proof. We can verify (4.4) and (4.5) by a simple computation. Now we prove conditions **(H2)** and **(H3)** for the approximated triplet (a, F_δ, V_δ) . Since the original triplet (a, F, V) satisfies conditions (2.1) and (2.3) with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, we see that (4.6) and (4.8) are satisfied: the case of $x = 0$ is clear and

$$|\langle F_\delta(x), \xi \rangle| = \frac{|\langle F(x), \xi \rangle|}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} \leq \frac{\beta U(x)^{1/2} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2}}{(1 + \delta U(x))^{1/2}} = \beta U_\delta(x)^{1/2} \langle a(x)\xi, \xi \rangle^{1/2},$$

$$V_\delta(x) \geq \frac{V(x)}{1 + \delta U(x)} \geq \frac{\gamma_\infty U(x)}{1 + \delta U(x)} = \gamma_\infty U_\delta(x).$$

Furthermore, combining (2.2) and (2.7), we obtain (4.7):

$$\begin{aligned}
& V_\delta(x) - \operatorname{div} F_\delta(x) \\
& \geq \frac{V(x) - \operatorname{div} F(x)}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{\delta U(x)^2}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} + 2\delta \frac{\beta \lambda \tilde{U}(x)^2 - |(F \cdot \nabla) \tilde{U}(x)|}{(1 + \delta U(x))^3} \\
& \geq \gamma_1 \frac{U(x)}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} + \gamma_1 \frac{\delta U(x)^2}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} \\
& = \gamma_1 U_\delta(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove (4.9) and (4.10). We see from (2.4) that for every $\delta \in (0, 1/C_\lambda]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
V_\delta(x) & \leq (c_0 + \gamma_1) U_\delta(x) + c_1 + 2\beta\lambda \left(\frac{\delta C_\lambda + \delta U(x)}{1 + \delta U(x)} \right) \frac{U(x) + C_\lambda}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} \\
& \leq (c_0 + \gamma_1 + 2\beta\lambda) U_\delta(x) + c_1 + 2\beta\lambda C_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the estimate (2.6) for the original triplet (a, F, V) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle a(x) \nabla U_\delta(x), \nabla U_\delta(x) \rangle^{1/2} & = \frac{\langle a(x) \nabla U(x), \nabla U(x) \rangle^{1/2}}{(1 + \delta U(x))^2} \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{(1 + \delta U(x))^{1/2}} \left(\frac{U(x) + C_\lambda}{1 + \delta U(x)} \right)^{3/2} \\
& \leq \lambda (U_\delta(x) + C_\lambda)^{3/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of (3.4) we fix $\lambda > \lambda_0$ satisfying

$$(p-1)\lambda \left(\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{\lambda}{4} \right) < \frac{\gamma_1}{p} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{p'}.$$

For $\delta > 0$ let F_δ , V_δ and U_δ be as (4.1)–(4.3). Then Lemma 4 implies that the approximate triplet (a, F_δ, V_δ) satisfies **(H2)** and **(H3)** with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ and (3.4). Thus the triplet (a, F_δ, V_δ) satisfies the assumption in Theorem 1 **(iii)**. Therefore we can define a family $\{A_p^{(\delta)}; \delta > 0\}$ approximate to A_p in L^p :

$$\begin{cases} D(A_p^{(\delta)}) := W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ A_p^{(\delta)} u := -\operatorname{div}(a \nabla u) + (F_\delta \cdot \nabla) u + V_\delta u, \quad u \in D(A_p^{(\delta)}). \end{cases}$$

Let ω_0 be the constant as in Theorem 1 **(ii)** depending only on N , $\|a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\nabla a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty}$. Then $-A_p^{(\delta)}$ generates a bounded analytic semigroup $\{e^{-z(\omega_0 + A_p^{(\delta)})}\}$ in the open sector $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma})$, with two norm bounds:

$$\|e^{-z A_p^{(\delta)}}\|_{L^p} \leq 1, \quad z \in \overline{\Sigma}(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} c_{p,\beta,\gamma}),$$

and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $M_\varepsilon \geq 1$ such that

$$\|e^{-zA_p^{(\delta)}}\|_{L^p} \leq M_\varepsilon e^{\omega_0 \operatorname{Re} z}, \quad z \in \Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta, \gamma} - \varepsilon), \quad (4.11)$$

where M_ε depends only on ε , N , ν , β , γ_1 , γ_∞ and $\|a_{jk}\|_{L^\infty}$. Moreover, $A_p^{(\delta)}$ has the separation property (3.5): for every $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($= D(A_p^{(\delta)})$),

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|(F_\delta \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p} + \|U_\delta u\|_{L^p} \leq C\|u + A_p^{(\delta)}u\|_{L^p}, \quad (4.12)$$

where C is independent of $\delta \in (0, 1/C_\lambda]$.

Next we prove the m -sectoriality of A_p . Let $v \in D(A_p)$. Then by the definition of $A_p^{(\delta)}$ we have $v \in D(A_p^{(\delta)})$ and $A_p^{(\delta)}v \rightarrow A_p v$ ($\delta \downarrow 0$) in L^p . We see from the sectoriality of $A_p^{(\delta)}$ that A_p is also sectorial in L^p . It remains to prove the maximality: $R(I + A_p) = L^p$. Let $f \in L^p$. We see from the m -accretivity of $A_p^{(\delta)}$ that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $u_\delta \in D(A_p^{(\delta)})$ such that

$$u_\delta - \operatorname{div}(a \nabla u_\delta) + (F_\delta \cdot \nabla)u_\delta + V_\delta u_\delta = f.$$

Hence (4.12) yields that for every $\delta \in (0, 1/C_\lambda]$,

$$\|u_\delta\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|(F_\delta \cdot \nabla)u_\delta\|_{L^p} + \|U_\delta u_\delta\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}. \quad (4.13)$$

It follows from (4.13) that there exist a subsequence $\{u_{\delta_m}\}_m$ with $\delta_m \downarrow 0$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$) and a function $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap D(U)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\delta_m} &\rightarrow u \quad (m \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{weakly in } W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ U_{\delta_m} u_{\delta_m} &\rightarrow Uu \quad (m \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{weakly in } L^p(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.4) that $Vu \in L^p$. The Rellich-Kondrachov theorem implies that

$$u_{\delta_m} \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Using Fatou's lemma, we see that

$$\|(F \cdot \nabla)u\|_{L^p}^p \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|(F_{\delta_m} \cdot \nabla)u_{\delta_m}\|_{L^p}^p \leq C^p \|f\|_{L^p}^p.$$

Thus we have $u \in D(A_p)$. By (4.5) in Lemma 4 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (F_{\delta_m} \cdot \nabla)u_{\delta_m} &\rightarrow (F \cdot \nabla)u \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}), \\ V_{\delta_m} u_{\delta_m} &\rightarrow Vu \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence we obtain $u + A_p u = f$, that is, $R(I + A_p) = L^p$. This completes the proof of the m -sectoriality of A_p .

Consequently, the Hille-Yosida generation theorem modified by Goldstein [7, Theorem 1.5.9] implies that $-A_p$ generates an analytic contraction semigroup $\{e^{-tA_p}\}$ on L^p . Furthermore, applying Trotter's convergence theorem (see, e.g., [5, Theorem III.4.8]), we deduce that for every $f \in L^p$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$e^{-tA_p^{(\delta)}} f \rightarrow e^{-tA_p} f \text{ in } L^p.$$

Finally, by Vitali's theorem (see, e.g., [1, Theorem A.5]) we see from (4.11) that $\{e^{-tA_p}\}$ admits an analytic continuation to the sector $\Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma})$. Moreover,

$$\|e^{-zA_p}\|_{L^p} \leq 1, \quad z \in \overline{\Sigma}(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} c_{p,\beta,\gamma}),$$

and for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|e^{-zA_p}\|_{L^p} \leq M_\varepsilon e^{\omega_0 \operatorname{Re} z}, \quad z \in \Sigma(\pi/2 - \tan^{-1} K_{\beta,\gamma} - \varepsilon). \quad (4.14)$$

Noting that (4.14) implies the continuity at the origin, we finish the proof. \square

Example 3 (A case where $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_\infty$). We consider the following operator

$$Au = -\Delta u + \frac{bx}{|x|^2} \cdot \nabla u + \frac{c}{|x|^2},$$

that is, (a, F, V) and Ω in our notation are given by

$$a_{jk}(x) := \delta_{jk}, \quad F(x) := \frac{bx}{|x|^2}, \quad V(x) := \frac{c}{|x|^2}, \quad \Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\};$$

note that this operator has a singularity at the origin. Taking the auxiliary function U as $U(x) := |x|^{-2}$, we can see that the respective constants in **(H2)** are given by

$$\beta = |b|, \quad \gamma_1 = c - b(N-2), \quad \gamma_\infty = c.$$

Thus $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_\infty$ if $N \neq 2$ and $b \neq 0$. We also have $\lambda_0 = 2$ (see Example 1). Hence if b, c and p satisfy (3.4), that is, if

$$p - 1 + \frac{2}{p}|b| = (p-1)\lambda_0 \left(\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{\lambda_0}{4} \right) < \frac{\gamma_1}{p} + \frac{\gamma_\infty}{p'} = c - \frac{b(N-2)}{p}$$

holds, then we can apply Theorem 2 to the operator A and hence the conclusion of Remark 3 yields that $c_{2,\beta,\gamma} > K_{\beta,\gamma}$.

References

- [1] W. ARENDT, C. J. K. BATTY, M. HIEBER, F. NEUBRANDER: *Vector-Valued Laplace Transform and Cauchy Problems*, Monographs in Mathematics **96**, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
- [2] W. ARENDT, A. F. M. TER ELST: *Gaussian estimates for second order elliptic operators with boundary conditions*, J. Operator Theory **38** (1997), 87–130.
- [3] W. ARENDT, G. METAFUNE, D. PALLARA: *Schrödinger operators with unbounded drift*, J. Operator Theory **55** (2006), 185–211.
- [4] R. CHILL, E. FAŠANGOVÁ, G. METAFUNE, D. PALLARA: *The sector of analyticity of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup on L^p spaces with invariant measure*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **71** (2005), 703–722.
- [5] K.J. ENGEL, R. NAGEL: *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **194**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [6] D. GILBARG, N. S. TRUDINGER: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [7] J.A. GOLDSTEIN: *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications*, Oxford Math. Monogr., The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- [8] M. HIEBER: *Gaussian estimates and holomorphy of semigroups on L^p spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. 2nd Ser. **54** (1996), 148–160.
- [9] Y. MAEDA, N. OKAZAWA: *Holomorphic families of Schrödinger operators in L^p* , SUT J. Math. **47** (2011), 185–216.
- [10] G. METAFUNE, D. PALLARA, J. PRÜSS, R. SCHNAUBELT: *L^p -theory for elliptic operators on \mathbb{R}^d with singular coefficients*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen **24** (2005), 497–521.
- [11] G. METAFUNE, J. PRÜSS, A. RHANDI, R. SCHNAUBELT: *L^p -regularity for elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients*, Adv. Differential Equations **10** (2005), 1131–1164.
- [12] N. OKAZAWA: *Sectorialness of second order elliptic operators in divergence form*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **113** (1991), 701–706.
- [13] E. M. OUHABAZ: *Gaussian estimates and holomorphy of semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 1465–1474.
- [14] E. M. OUHABAZ: *Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser. **31**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [15] M. SOBAJIMA: *L^p -theory for second order elliptic operators with unbounded coefficients*, J. Evol. Equ. **12** (2012), 957–971.

