

# Surjective partial differential operators on real analytic functions defined on a halfspace

**Michael Langenbruch**

*Faculty V, Mathematics Dept., University of Oldenburg,  
26129 Oldenburg, Germany*  
michael.langenbruch@uni-oldenburg.de

**Abstract.** Let  $P(D)$  be a partial differential operator with constant coefficients and let  $A(\Omega)$  denote the real analytic functions defined on an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $H$  be an open halfspace. We show that  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(H)$  if and only if  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $P(D)$  has a hyperfunction elementary solution which is real analytic on  $H$ .

**Keywords:** partial differential equations, elementary solutions, surjectivity on real analytic functions

**MSC 2000 classification:** primary 35E20, secondary 35E05, 35A20, 46F15

*Dedicated to the memory of Prof. Dr. Klaus Floret*

## 1 Introduction

Since the pioneering papers of Kawai [12] and Hörmander [8], the basic question if

$$P(D) \text{ is surjective on } A(\Omega) \tag{1}$$

has been studied by many authors. Here  $P(D)$  is a partial differential operators with constant coefficients,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and  $A(\Omega)$  denotes the space of real analytic functions on  $\Omega$ . A by no means complete list of the corresponding papers is contained in the references (see Andreotti and Nacinovich [1], Kaneko [10,11], Zampieri [23], Braun [3], Braun, Meise and Taylor [4,5] and Langenbruch [13–16], see also the references given in Langenbruch [15]).

For convex  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , a characterization of (1) was obtained by Hörmander [8] using a Phragmen-Lindelöf type condition valid on the complex characteristic variety of the principal part  $P_m$  of  $P$ . For general open sets  $\Omega$ , a different characterization by means of locally regular elementary solutions was given in Langenbruch [15].

In the present paper, we will concentrate on the case of half spaces

$$\Omega := H_N := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle > 0\}, \quad 0 \neq N \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Our main result is the following (see Theorem 1 below):

$P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(H_N)$  if and only if  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $P(D)$  has a hyperfunction elementary solution  $E$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $E$  is real analytic on  $H_N$ .

This improves the corresponding results of Langenbruch [15] and Zampieri [23] considerably.

Besides the paper [8] of Hörmander, the present paper relies on the results of Langenbruch [15,16], and the main part of our proof consists in showing that  $P(D)$  has an elementary solution as above if  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(H_N)$ .

The paper is organized as follows: In the first section, our main result is stated in Theorem 1 and its proof is reduced to showing that

$$P(D)C_\Delta(Z) = C_\Delta(Z) \tag{2}$$

if  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(H_N)$ . Here

$$Z := (\mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, \infty[) \cup (H_N \times \{0\})$$

and  $C_\Delta(Z)$  are the harmonic germs defined near  $Z$ .

Since  $C_\Delta(Z)$  in a natural way is the projective limit of a projective spectrum of (DFS)-spaces, the proof of (2) relies on the theory of projective spectra of linear spaces and the corresponding  $\text{Proj}^k$ -functors which were developed by Palamodov [18,19] (see also Vogt [21] and the recent book of Wengenroth [22]).

The corresponding notions and the key result from Langenbruch [15, Theorem 1.4] (see Theorem 3) are recalled in section 2.

In the last section, the proof of our main theorem is completed using a precise result of Langenbruch [16] on the solvability of partial differential equations for harmonic germs defined near non convex sets (see Theorem 6).

## 2 The main result

In this section, we will introduce some useful notation and formulate the main result of this paper in Theorem 1. Using the results of Hörmander [8] and Langenbruch [15,16], the proof of the main theorem is then reduced to the proof of the surjectivity of  $P(D)$  on a certain space of harmonic germs (see (4) below).

In the present paper,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  always is at least 2 and  $\Omega$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The real analytic functions on  $\Omega$  are denoted by  $A(\Omega)$ .  $P(D)$  is always a partial differential operator in  $n$  variables with constant coefficients. The degree of  $P$  is  $m$  and  $P_m$  denotes the principal part of  $P$ .

Our proofs will be based on harmonic germs in  $(n+1)$  variables. Correspondingly, we will use the following notations: A point in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is written as

$(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .  $\Delta = \sum_{k \leq n} (\partial/\partial x_k)^2 + (\partial/\partial y)^2$  denotes the Laplace operator on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . The harmonic germs near a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  are denoted by  $C_\Delta(S)$ . Of course,  $P(D) = P(D_x)$  also operates on the harmonic germs, and in fact we will solve the equation  $P(D_x)f = g$  for harmonic germs  $f$  and  $g$  rather than for hyperfunctions  $f$  and  $g$ , that is, we will use the following well known representation of hyperfunctions on  $\Omega$

$$\mathfrak{B}(\Omega) := \tilde{C}_\Delta(\Omega \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})) / \tilde{C}_\Delta(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) \tag{3}$$

(see Bengel [2] and Hörmander [9, Chapter IX]). Here  $\tilde{C}_\Delta(V)$  is the space of harmonic functions on  $V$  which are even w.r.t.  $y$ .

Let  $S^n$  denote the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The half space defined by  $N \in S^n$  is denoted by

$$H_N := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle > 0 \}.$$

For  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let

$$U_k(\xi) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x - \xi\| < k \}, U_k := U_k(0)$$

and

$$U_{k,+} := U_k \cap \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle > 1/k \}.$$

The main result of this paper is the following

**1 Theorem.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(H_N)$ .
- (b)  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $\delta < 0$  and a hyperfunction  $F$  defined on  $\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle > \delta \}$  such that

$$P(D)F = \delta \text{ on } \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle > \delta \} \text{ and } F|_{U_{j,+}} \in A(U_{j,+}).$$

- (c)  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $P(D)$  has an elementary solution  $E \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $E|_{H_N} \in A(H_N)$ .
- (d)  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for any  $g \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $g|_{H_N} \in A(H_N)$  there is  $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $f|_{H_N} \in A(H_N)$  such that  $P(D)f = g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The first characterization of surjective partial differential operators on  $A(\Omega)$  for general open sets  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has been given in Langenbruch [15]. For convex  $\Omega$ , a different characterization has been given in the pioneering work of Hörmander [8] by means of a suitable Phragmen-Lindelöf type condition valid on the complex zero variety of the principal part  $P_m$  of  $P$ . Hence, the statements in Theorem 1

are also equivalent to the corresponding statements for  $P_m$  instead of  $P$ , and also to the statements for  $-N$  instead of  $N$ , respectively.

The main feature of Theorem 1 is the implication "(a)  $\implies$  (d)". In fact, the implications "(d)  $\implies$  (c)  $\implies$  (b)" are obvious, and the equivalence of (a) and (b) easily follows from the results of Hörmander [8] and Langenbruch [15].

Thus, Theorem 1 will be proved if we can show that (a) implies (d). Taking into account the definition of hyperfunctions in (3) it is sufficient to show that

$$P(D)C_\Delta(Z) = C_\Delta(Z) \quad (4)$$

if  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(H_N)$ , where

$$Z := (\mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, \infty[) \cup (H_N \times \{0\}).$$

Indeed, a hyperfunction  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by a harmonic function  $g_+$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, \infty[$ . Since  $g|_{H_N}$  is real analytic,  $g_+$  can be extended to a harmonic germ near  $Z$ . If  $P(D)f_+ = g_+$  for some harmonic germ  $f_+$  defined near  $Z$  then  $f_+$  defines a hyperfunction  $f$  which is analytic on  $H_N$  and which solves  $P(D)f = g$ .

### 3 Surjectivity via the $\text{Proj}^1$ -functor

As was noticed in (4), we have to prove that  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $C_\Delta(Z)$  for  $Z := (\mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, \infty[) \cup (H_N \times \{0\})$ . The natural topology of this space is rather complicated and can be defined as follows: Using a strictly decreasing zero sequence  $A_K > 0$  (to be chosen later, see the remarks before Theorem 8 below) we set

$$Z_K := (V_K \times [A_K, K]) \cup (V_{K,+} \times [0, K])$$

where  $V_k$  and  $V_{k,+}$  denote the closure of  $U_k$  and  $U_{k,+}$ , respectively. Then

$$C_\Delta(Z) = \lim_{\leftarrow K} C_\Delta(Z_K),$$

that is,  $C_\Delta(Z)$  is the projective limit of the projective spectrum

$$C_\Delta^Z := \{ C_\Delta(Z_K), R_J^K \}$$

of (DFS)-spaces where the linking maps

$$R_J^K : C_\Delta(Z_J) \rightarrow C_\Delta(Z_K) \text{ for } J \geq K$$

are defined by restriction. Notice that the topology of  $C_\Delta(Z)$  is independent of the sequence  $A_K$ , while the proper choice of  $A_K$  is important for the proof of the needed properties of the projective spectrum  $C_\Delta^Z$  (see Theorem 3 below).

Since the topology of  $C_\Delta(Z)$  is so complicated the proof of (4) will rely on the theory of projective spectra of linear spaces and the corresponding  $\text{Proj}^k$ -functors which were developed by Palamodov [18,19] (see also Vogt [21] and the recent book of Wengenroth [22]). We will shortly introduce the corresponding notions and facts which we need. The reader is referred to these papers for further information.

For  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  let

$$N_P(S) := \{ C_\Delta(S) \mid P(D_x)f = 0 \}$$

and let

$$N_P^Z := \{ N_P(Z_K), R_J^K \}$$

be the projective spectrum of the kernels of  $P(D_x)$  in  $C_\Delta(Z_K)$ . We thus have the short sequence of projective spectra

$$0 \longrightarrow N_P^Z \longrightarrow C_\Delta^Z \xrightarrow{P(D)} C_\Delta^Z \longrightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

The sequence (5) of projective spectra is called exact if for any  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $J \geq K$  such that

$$P(D)C_\Delta(Z_K) \supset R_J^K(C_\Delta(Z_J)). \quad (6)$$

We now have the following key result which is essentially Theorem 5.1 of Vogt [21] in our concrete situation (see also Langenbruch [15, Proposition 1.1] for a proof which can easily be transferred to the present situation).

**2 Proposition.** *Let the sequence of projective spectra (5) be exact. Then*

$$P(D)C_\Delta(Z) = C_\Delta(Z)$$

*if (and only if)  $\text{Proj}^1(N_P^Z) = 0$ .*

The reader is referred to Palamodov [18,19], Vogt [21] or Wengenroth [22] for the definition of the  $\text{Proj}^1$ -functor. We do not need the definition here since we will only use explicit criteria from Langenbruch [15] for the vanishing of the  $\text{Proj}^1$ -functor of projective (DFS)-spectra (see Theorem 3 below). We shortly introduce the corresponding notions:

Let  $\mathfrak{X} = \{X_K, R_J^K\}$  be a projective (DFS)-spectrum, that is, a projective spectrum of (DFS)-spaces  $X_K = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_{K,k}$  with Banach spaces  $X_{K,k}$  and compact inclusion mappings from  $X_{K,k}$  into  $X_{K,k+1}$ . Let  $B_{K,k}$  be the unit ball in  $X_{K,k}$ . For  $X := \lim_{\leftarrow K} X_K$  let

$$R^K : X \longrightarrow X_K$$

be the canonical mapping.

To state our sufficient condition for  $Proj^1(\mathfrak{X}) = 0$  from Langenbruch [15] we need two further notions: Firstly, we will use condition  $(P_3)$  defined for the spectrum  $\mathfrak{X}$  as follows (see Langenbruch [15, section 1]):

$$\forall K \exists L \forall M \exists k \forall l \exists m, C : R_L^K(B_{L,l}) \subset C(R_M^K(B_{M,m}) + B_{K,k}). \quad (7)$$

Secondly, we will need, that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is reduced in the sense of Braun and Vogt [6, p. 150], that is,

$$\forall K \exists L \forall M \geq L : \text{the closure of } R_M^K(X_M) \text{ in } X_K \text{ contains } R_L^K(X_L). \quad (8)$$

In many concrete situations the following theorem allows to check if  $Proj^1(\mathfrak{X}) = 0$ :

**3 Theorem** (Langenbruch [15, Theorem 1.4]).  *$Proj^1(\mathfrak{X}) = 0$  if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a reduced projective (DFS)-spectrum satisfying property  $(P_3)$ .*

## 4 The proofs

In this section the proof of our main result Theorem 1 is completed. From the discussion at the end of section 1, Proposition 2 and Theorem 3 we know that we have to show that the sequence of projective spectra (5) is exact (which roughly means that the equation  $P(D)f = g$  can be solved semiglobally in  $C_\Delta(Z)$ ) and that the kernel spectrum is reduced (which is a density property) and satisfies condition  $(P_3)$  (which is a decomposition with bounds in the kernel spectrum). For this, we need the following two basic Lemmata (see Lemmata 1.1 and 1.2 in Langenbruch [16]). For compact sets  $Q \subset S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  let

$$R_S^Q : C_\Delta(S) \longrightarrow C_\Delta(Q)$$

be the canonical mapping defined by restriction.

**4 Lemma.** *Let  $Q \subset S \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be compact sets such that*

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q \text{ does not have a bounded component.} \quad (9)$$

*(and the same for  $S$ ). Then*

$$P(D)C_\Delta(Q) \supset R_S^Q(C_\Delta(S))$$

*if for any bounded set  $B$  in  $C_\Delta(Q)'_b$  the set*

$$\tilde{B} := \{ \mu \in C_\Delta(Q)' \mid P(-D)\mu \in B \}$$

*is bounded in  $C_\Delta(S)'_b$ .*

**5 Lemma.** *Let  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be compact with (9). Then for any bounded set  $B$  in  $C_\Delta(Q)'_b$  the set*

$$\tilde{B} := \{ \mu \in C_\Delta(Q)' \mid P(-D)\mu \in B \}$$

*is bounded in  $C_\Delta(\text{conv}(Q))'_b$ .*

To apply Lemma 4 we need an appropriate representation for  $C_\Delta(Q)'_b$ . This is provided by the Grothendieck-Tillmann duality: Let

$$G(x, y) := -|(x, y)|^{1-n}/((n-1)c_{n+1}) \quad (10)$$

be the canonical even elementary solution of the Laplacian (see Hörmander [9], and recall that  $(n+1) \geq 3$ ). For  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  compact let

$$C_{\Delta,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q) := \{ f \in C_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q) \mid \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} f(\xi) = 0 \}$$

endowed with the topology of  $C(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q)$ .  $C_{\Delta,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q)$  is a Fréchet space. Let

$$\varkappa(\mu)(x, y) := u_\mu(x, y) := \langle \mu_{(s,t)}, G(s-x, t-y) \rangle \text{ for } \mu \in C_\Delta(Q)'_b.$$

Then we have the topological isomorphisms

$$\varkappa : C_\Delta(Q)'_b \longrightarrow C_{\Delta,0}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q) \cong C_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus Q)/C_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \quad (11)$$

by the Grothendieck-Tillmann duality (Grothendieck [7, Theorem 4], Mantovani, Spagnolo [17], Tillmann [20, Satz 6]).

We will also use the precise surjectivity results for partial differential operators on harmonic germs from Langenbruch [16], so we have to recall some notions introduced in that paper: For a compact  $X \subset \Omega$  let

$$S(X, \Omega) := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \xi + X \subset \Omega \}$$

and let  $S_0(X, \Omega)$  be the component of 0 in  $S(X, \Omega)$ . The  $\Omega$ -hull  $X_\Omega$  of a compact  $X \subset \Omega$  is defined by

$$X_\Omega := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x + S_0(X, \Omega) \subset \Omega \} = \bigcap_{\xi \in S_0(X, \Omega)} (\Omega - \xi).$$

Let

$$J(c) := [-c, c] \text{ for } c > 0.$$

**6 Theorem.** *Let  $P(D)$  be surjective on  $A(\Omega)$ . Then for any compact  $X \subset \Omega$  there is  $C > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ , any compact convex  $Y \subset \Omega$  with  $Y \supset \tilde{X}_\varepsilon := (X_\Omega + V_\varepsilon) \cap V_C$  and any  $0 < \gamma < \delta$  there is  $0 < \beta < \gamma$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} P(D)C_\Delta((X \times J(\delta)) \cup (Y \times J(\beta))) \\ \supset C_\Delta((\tilde{X}_\varepsilon \times J(\delta)) \cup (Y \times J(\gamma))) |_{(X \times J(\delta)) \cup (Y \times J(\beta))}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. This is Langenbruch [16, Theorem 2.3.a and d] in the special case where  $\eta = 0$  and  $Y$  is convex.  $\square$

We first apply the preceding result for  $\Omega := H_N$  and for  $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively.

**7 Corollary.** *Let  $P(D)$  be surjective on  $A(H_N)$ .*

(a) *For any  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $\delta_L > 0$  such that for any  $\eta > 0$  there is  $E \in C_\Delta((V_{L,+} \times [-\delta_L, \infty]) \cup (V_L \times [\eta, \infty]))$  such that*

$$P(D)E = G \text{ near } (V_{L,+} \times [-\delta_L, \infty]) \cup (V_L \times [\eta, \infty]).$$

(b) *For any  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $L_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $d_L > 0$  such that for any  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ , any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $M \geq |\xi| \geq L_0$  and any  $\eta > 0$  there is  $E_\xi \in C_\Delta((V_L \times [-d_L, \infty]) \cup (V_M \times [\eta, \infty]))$  such that*

$$P(D)E_\xi = G(\cdot - \xi, \cdot) \text{ near } (V_L \times [-d_L, \infty]) \cup (V_M \times [\eta, \infty]).$$

PROOF. (a) (I) Let  $\Omega := H_N$  and

$$X := CN + \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle \geq A, |x| \leq B\}$$

for  $A, B, C > 0$ . Then

$$S_0(X, H_N) = S(X, H_N) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle > -A - C\}$$

and

$$X_{H_N} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle \geq A + C\} \quad (12)$$

if  $B \geq A$ , since  $N \in S^n$ .

(II) We now fix  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  and apply Theorem 6 for  $\Omega := H_N$  and

$$X := 2LN + V_{L,+} = 2LN + \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle \geq 1/L, |x| \leq L\}$$



and get  $C > 0$  from Theorem 6. Using Theorem 6 for  $\varepsilon = 1/(2L)$  and (12) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}_{1/(2L)} &= (X_{H_N} + V_{1/(2L)}) \cap V_C \\ &= (\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle x, N \rangle \geq 2L + 1/L\} + V_{1/(2L)}) \cap V_C \subset 2LN + V_{J_0,+}\end{aligned}$$

for some  $J_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . From Theorem 6 we thus get  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that (with  $Y := 2LN + W$  for  $W := \text{conv}(V_L, V_{J_0,+})$  and  $0 < \gamma := \eta/2 \leq \delta_0/4$ )

$$\begin{aligned}P(D)C_\Delta(2LN + [(V_{L,+} \times J(\delta_0)) \cup (W \times J(\beta))]) \\ \supset C_\Delta(2LN + [(V_{J_0,+} \times J(\delta_0)) \\ \cup (W \times J(\eta/2))]) \mid_{2LN + [(V_{L,+} \times J(\delta_0)) \cup (W \times J(\beta))]}\end{aligned}$$

for some  $\beta > 0$ . Since

$$G(\cdot - 2LN, \cdot + \eta) \in C_\Delta(2LN + [(V_{J_0,+} \times J(\delta_0)) \cup (W \times J(\eta/2))])$$

we may thus find

$$E_1 \in C_\Delta(2LN + [(V_{L,+} \times J(\delta_0)) \cup (W \times J(\beta))])$$

such that

$$P(D)E_1 = G(\cdot - 2LN, \cdot + \eta) \text{ near } 2LN + [(V_{L,+} \times J(\delta_0)) \cup (W \times J(\beta))].$$

We now shift the sets and the functions by  $(-2LN, \eta)$  and restrict the functions to get

$$E_2 \in C_\Delta((V_{L,+} \times (\eta + J(\delta_0))) \cup (V_L \times (\eta + J(\beta))))$$

such that

$$P(D)E_2 = G \text{ near } (V_{L,+} \times (\eta + J(\delta_0))) \cup (V_L \times (\eta + J(\beta))). \quad (13)$$

(III) Choose  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\varphi = 1$  near  $] -\infty, \eta]$  and  $\varphi = 0$  near  $[\eta + \beta/2, \infty[$ . The function  $\Delta(\varphi(y)E_2(x, y))$  may be trivially extended (i.e. by the value 0) to an infinitely differentiable function  $\tilde{h}$  defined on  $U_L \times \mathbb{R}$ . By the fundamental principle of Ehrenpreis-Palamodov we can find an infinitely differentiable function  $h$  such that

$$P(D)h = (1 - \varphi)G \text{ and } \Delta h = -\tilde{h} \text{ on } U_L \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (14)$$

Indeed,  $U_L \times \mathbb{R}$  is convex, and the relation

$$P(D)(-\tilde{h}) = \Delta((1 - \varphi)G) \quad (15)$$

is satisfied. This is trivial on  $U_L \times ] - \infty, \eta[$  and  $U_L \times ]\eta + 3\beta/4, \infty[$  while on  $U_L \times ]\eta - \beta, \eta + \beta[$  we get by (13)

$$\begin{aligned} P(D)(-\tilde{h}) &= P(D_x)\Delta(-\varphi E_2) = \Delta(-\varphi P(D_x)E_2) \\ &= \Delta(-\varphi G) = \Delta((1 - \varphi)G). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Set

$$E := \varphi E_2 + h.$$

By trivial extension of  $\varphi E_2$ ,  $E$  is then defined and harmonic on  $(U_{L,+} \times ] - 2\delta_L, \infty[) \cup (U_L \times ]\eta, \infty[)$  for  $\delta_L := \delta_0/4$  since  $\eta \leq \delta_0/2$ . Moreover,  $P(D)E = G$  by (14). This shows the claim in (a) for  $L - 1$  and  $2\eta$  instead of  $L$  and  $\eta$ , respectively.

(b) Since  $P(D)$  is surjective on  $A(H_N)$ ,  $P(D)$  is also surjective on  $A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by Hörmander [8]. We may therefore apply Theorem 6 for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $X = V_L$ ,  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $Y := V_M$  for  $M \geq L_0 := C + 1$ . For  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $M \geq |\xi| \geq L_0$  and  $\eta > 0$  we thus obtain (with  $\gamma := \eta/2$ )

$$E_1 \in C_\Delta((V_L \times J(\delta_0)) \cup (V_M \times J(\beta)))$$

such that

$$P(D)E_1 = G(\cdot - \xi, \cdot + \eta) \text{ near } (V_L \times J(\delta_0)) \cup (V_M \times J(\beta)).$$

For  $E_2 := E_1(\cdot, \cdot - \eta)$  we thus get

$$P(D)E_2 = G(\cdot - \xi, \cdot) \text{ near } (V_L \times (\eta + J(\delta_0))) \cup (V_M \times (\eta + J(\beta))).$$

The proof of b) is now completed as in (a.III) above.  $\square$

Let  $A_K > 0$  be a strictly decreasing zero sequence such that

$$A_K \leq \delta_{2K+1}/2 \quad (17)$$

for  $\delta_{2K+1}$  from Corollary 7(a) and let

$$Z_{K,\delta} := (V_K \times [A_K - \delta, K + \delta]) \cup (V_{K,+} \times [-\delta, K + \delta]).$$

**8 Theorem.** *Let  $P(D)$  be surjective on  $A(H_N)$ . Then for any  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $J_0 > K$  such that for any  $J \geq J_0$  there is  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$  and any  $0 < \gamma < \delta$*

$$P(D)C_\Delta(Z_{K,\delta} \cup Z_{J,\gamma}) \supset C_\Delta(Z_{J_0,\delta} \cup Z_{2J,\gamma}) |_{Z_{K,\delta} \cup Z_{J,\gamma}}.$$

PROOF. (a) We will use Lemma 4 for  $S := Z_{J_0, \delta} \cup Z_{2J, \gamma}$  and  $Q := Z_{K, \delta} \cup Z_{J, \gamma}$ . Let  $B$  be bounded in  $C_\Delta(Q)'_b$  and let

$$\tilde{B} := \{ \mu \in C_\Delta(Q)' \mid P(-D)\mu \in B \}.$$

By Lemma 5,  $\tilde{B}$  is bounded in

$$C_\Delta(V_J \times [-\delta, J + \delta])'_b. \quad (18)$$

(b) Let  $J \geq J_0 \geq 2K$ . Fix  $\eta > 0$  and let

$$(x, y) \in M_1 := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid y \in [-1, A_{2J} - \gamma - \eta], \langle x, N \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2J}, |x| \leq J + 1 \}.$$

Let  $0 < \delta_0 \leq \min(A_J/2, A_K - A_J)$  and choose

$$E \in C_\Delta((V_{2J+1, +} \times [-2A_J, \infty[) \cup (V_{2J+1} \times [\eta, \infty[))$$

by Corollary 7(a) for  $L := 2J + 1$  (recall that  $A_J \leq \delta_{2J+1}/2$  by (17)). Since

$$Q - M_1 = (Z_{K, \delta} \cup Z_{J, \gamma}) - M_1 \subset (V_{2J+1, +} \times [-2A_J, \infty[) \cup (V_{2J+1} \times [\eta, \infty[))$$

we get by Corollary 7(a)

$$\begin{aligned} u_\mu(x, y) &= \langle \mu_{(s, t)}, G(s - x, t - y) \rangle = \langle \mu_{(s, t)}, P(D)E(s - x, t - y) \rangle \\ &= \langle P(-D)\mu_{(s, t)}, E(s - x, t - y) \rangle \text{ for } (x, y) \in M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\{ E(\cdot - x, \cdot - y) \mid (x, y) \in M_1 \}$$

is bounded in  $C_\Delta(Q)$  and  $B$  is bounded in  $C_\Delta(Q)'_b$ , this implies that

$$\{ u_\mu \mid \mu \in \tilde{B} \} \text{ is uniformly bounded on } M_1. \quad (19)$$

Let

$$M_2 := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid y \in [-1, -\gamma - \eta], \frac{1}{2J} \leq \langle x, N \rangle \leq \frac{1}{J_0}, |x| \leq J + 1 \}.$$

Then

$$Q - M_2 \subset (V_{2J+1, +} \times [-2A_J, \infty[) \cup (V_{2J+1} \times [\eta, \infty[))$$

and we conclude as above that

$$\{ u_\mu \mid \mu \in \tilde{B} \} \text{ is uniformly bounded on } M_2. \quad (20)$$

(c) Let  $0 < \delta_0 \leq d_{K+1}$  for  $d_{K+1}$  from Corollary 7(b). Let  $J_0 \geq L_0 + 1$ , where  $L_0$  is chosen for  $L := K + 1$  by Corollary 7(b) and set

$$R := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid J_0 \leq |\xi| \leq J + 1 \}.$$

Since  $R$  is compact we may choose  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r \in R$  such that

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^r U_1(\xi_j) \supset R.$$

Fix  $\eta > 0$  and choose

$$E_{\xi_j} \in C_\Delta((V_{K+1} \times [-d_{K+1}, \infty[) \cup (V_{J+1} \times [\eta, \infty[))$$

by Corollary 7(b). Since

$$Q - (V_1 \times [-1, -\gamma - \eta]) \subset (V_{K+1} \times [-d_{K+1}, \infty[) \cup (V_{J+1} \times [\eta, \infty[),$$

we get for  $x \in V_1$ ,  $y \in [-1, -\gamma - \eta]$  and  $j \leq r$

$$\begin{aligned} u_\mu(\xi_j + x, y) &= \langle \mu_{(s,t)}, G(s - \xi_j - x, t - y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu_{(s,t)}, P(D)E_{\xi_j}(s - x, t - y) \rangle = \langle P(-D)\mu_{(s,t)}, E_{\xi_j}(s - x, t - y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\{ E_{\xi_j}(\cdot - x, \cdot - y) \mid j \leq r, x \in V_1, y \in [-1, -\gamma - \eta] \}$$

is bounded in  $C_\Delta(Q)$ , this implies as above that

$$\{ u_\mu \mid \mu \in \tilde{B} \} \text{ is uniformly bounded on } M_3 \quad (21)$$

for  $M_3 := (V_{J+1} \setminus U_{J_0}) \times [-1, -\gamma - \eta]$ .

(d) The claim follows from Lemma 4 by (18)-(21) and (11).  $\square$

**9 Corollary.** *Let  $P(D)$  be surjective on  $A(H_N)$ . Then the sequence of projective spectra (5) is exact.*

**PROOF.** To check (6) we fix  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  and apply Theorem 8 for  $K + 1$  instead of  $K$  and  $J := J_0$  and set  $\tilde{J} := 2J_0$ . If  $f \in C_\Delta(Z_{\tilde{J}})$ , then  $f \in C_\Delta(Z_{2J_0, \delta})$  for some  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  and by Theorem 8 there is  $g \in C_\Delta(Z_{K+1, \delta})$  such that

$$P(D)g = f|_{Z_{K+1, \delta}}.$$

Since  $Z_{K+1, \delta}$  is a neighborhood of  $Z_K$  we can identify  $g$  with an element  $g_{Z_K} \in C_\Delta(Z_K)$  and  $P(D)g_{Z_K} = R_{\tilde{J}}^K(f)$ .  $\square$

**10 Corollary.** *Let  $P(D)$  be surjective on  $A(H_N)$ . Then the projective spectrum  $N_P^Z$  is reduced.*

PROOF. (a) To check (8), we fix  $\nu \in C_\Delta(Z_K)'$  such that  $\nu|_{N_P(Z_M)} = 0$  for some  $M \geq 2K$ , and we will show that  $\nu|_{N_P(Z_{2K})} = 0$ . In part (a.II.i) of the proof of Langenbruch [15, Proposition 4.3] we already showed that

$$P(-D)\mu = \nu \text{ for some } \mu \in C_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})'. \quad (22)$$

By Lemma 5 we have

$$\mu \in C_\Delta(V_K \times [0, K])' \quad (23)$$

since  $\text{conv}(Z_K) \subset V_K \times [0, K]$ .

Since  $C_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  is dense in  $C_\Delta(V_K \times [0, K])$ , (22) implies that

$$\langle \nu, f \rangle = \langle P(-D)\mu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, P(D)f \rangle \text{ if } f \in C_\Delta(V_K \times [0, K]) \quad (24)$$

hence

$$\langle \nu, f \rangle = 0 \text{ if } f \in N_P(V_K \times [0, K]) \quad (25)$$

(b) By Corollary 7(a) applied to  $L := 2K + 1$  there are  $\delta_{2K+1} > 0$  and  $E \in C_\Delta((V_{2K+1,+} \times [-\delta_{2K+1}, \infty]) \cup (V_{2K+1} \times [\eta, \infty]))$  such that

$$P(D)E = G \text{ near } (V_{2K+1,+} \times [-\delta_{2K+1}, \infty]) \cup (V_{2K+1} \times [\eta, \infty]). \quad (26)$$

On the other hand, by the fundamental principle of Ehrenpreis-Palamodov there is  $F \in C_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, \infty[)$  such that

$$P(D)F = G \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \times ]0, \infty[. \quad (27)$$

For  $x \in U_{K+1}$  and  $y \in ]-2, -1[$  we therefore have

$$P(D)F(\cdot - x, \cdot - y) = G(\cdot - x, \cdot - y) = P(D)E(\cdot - x, \cdot - y) \text{ near } V_K \times [0, K].$$

Hence,

$$F(\cdot - x, \cdot - y) = E(\cdot - x, \cdot - y) + h_{x,y} = 0$$

for some  $h_{x,y} \in N_P(V_K \times [0, K])$ . Since therefore  $\nu(h_{x,y}) = 0$  by (25), we get for  $x \in U_{K+1}$  and  $y \in ]-2, -1[$

$$\begin{aligned} u_\mu(x, y) &= \langle \mu_{(s,t)}, G(s-x, t-y) \rangle = \langle \mu_{(s,t)}, P(D_s)F(s-x, t-y) \rangle \\ &= \langle P(-D)\mu_{(s,t)}, F(s-x, t-y) \rangle = \langle \nu_{(s,t)}, F(s-x, t-y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \nu_{(s,t)}, E(s-x, t-y) \rangle =: v(x, y), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where (28) follows from (24).  $v$  is harmonic on

$$M_1 := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid y \in ]-2, -1[, A_{2K}, |x| < K + 1, \langle x, N \rangle < 1/(2K) \}$$

since by (17)

$$Z_K - M_1 \subset (V_{2K+1,+} \times [-\delta_{2K+1}, \infty[) \cup (V_{2K+1} \times [\eta, \infty[)$$

if  $0 < \eta < A_K - A_{2K}$ . Using also (23) we have thus shown that  $\mu \in C_\Delta(Z_{2K})'$ . Since  $C_\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$  is dense in  $C_\Delta(Z_{2K})$  we have  $P(-D)\mu = \nu$  also in  $C_\Delta(Z_{2K})'$ . Thus

$$\langle \nu, f \rangle = \langle P(-D)\mu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, P(D)f \rangle = 0 \text{ for } f \in N_P(Z_{2K}).$$

QED

We finally must check that the projective spectrum  $N_P^Z$  satisfies the property  $(P_3)$  (see (7)). For this we need to specify the (DFS)-structure of the step spaces  $N_P(Z_K)$ : For  $K, k, c > 0$  let

$$\tilde{U}_c := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid |\xi| < c \} \text{ and } Z_K(k) := Z_K + \tilde{U}_{1/k}.$$

For an open set  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  let

$$CB_\Delta(W) := \{ f \in C_\Delta(W) \mid f \text{ is bounded on } W \}$$

and

$$NB_P(W) := N_P(W) \cap CB_\Delta(W).$$

Then the (DFS)-structure of  $N_P(Z_K)$  is given by

$$N_P(Z_K) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} NB_P(Z_K(k)).$$

**11 Theorem.** *Let  $P(D)$  be surjective on  $A(H_N)$ . Then the projective spectrum  $N_P^Z$  satisfies property  $(P_3)$ .*

PROOF. The proof is similar as for Langenbruch [15, Theorem 4.5]. It is based on Theorem 8: We will first decompose functions in  $N_P(Z_{L+1}(l))$  as harmonic functions (see (a) below) and then use Theorem 8 (see (c)) to obtain a decomposition as harmonic zero solutions of  $P(D)$  (in (d)).

In the proof below we will often use the notation from section 2.

(a) For any  $L, l \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a continuous linear operator

$$R = (R_1, R_2) : CB_\Delta(Z_{L+1}(l)) \longrightarrow CB_\Delta(Y_{1,1}) \times CB_\Delta(Y_{1,2})$$

such that  $R_1(f) + R_2(f) = f$  on  $\text{int}(Z_{L+1,2l})$ . Here

$$Y_{1,1} := U_{L+1,+} \times ] - \infty, 0[ \cup \text{int}(Z_{L+1,2l})$$

and

$$Y_{1,2} := \mathbb{R}^n \times ] - 1/(2l), \infty[ .$$

PROOF. Choose  $\varphi \in D(Z_{L+1}(l))$  with  $\varphi = 1$  near  $Z_{L+1,2l}$ . For  $f \in CB_\Delta(Z_{L+1}(l))$ ,  $\varphi f$  can be considered as a function on  $Y_{1,2}$ , and

$$\tilde{f} := \Delta(\varphi f) |_{Y_{1,2}}$$

defines a function  $f_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by trivial extension (i.e. by setting  $f_1 \equiv 0$  outside  $Y_{1,2}$ ).  $f_1$  is bounded and has compact support. Thus,

$$R_1(f) := G * f_1 |_{Y_{1,1}}$$

and

$$R_2(f) := (\varphi f - G * f_1) |_{Y_{1,2}}$$

have the required properties.  $\square$

(b) For  $f \in NB_P(Z_{L+1}(l))$  we have by (a)

$$P(D)R_1(f) = -P(D)R_2(f) \text{ on } Y_{1,1} \cap Y_{1,2} = \text{int}(Z_{L+1,2l}).$$

Thus, a continuous and linear operator

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R} : NB_P(Z_{L+1}(l)) &\longrightarrow C_\Delta(Y_1), \\ Y_1 := Y_{1,1} \cup Y_{1,2} &= (\mathbb{R}^n \times ] - 1(2l), \infty[) \cup (U_{L+1,+} \times \mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

is defined by

$$\tilde{R}(f) := P(D)R_1(f) \text{ on } Y_{1,1}$$

and

$$\tilde{R}(f) := -P(D)R_2(f) \text{ on } Y_{1,2}.$$

(c) Fix  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  and choose  $J_0 =: L$  for  $K + 2$  instead of  $K$  by Theorem 8. Let  $M \geq L$  and fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$k \geq \max(1/\delta_0, (K + 2)^2, 1/(A_K - A_L)),$$

where  $\delta_0$  is chosen for  $J := M + 2$  by Theorem 8. Then for  $l \geq k + (M + 2)^2$  there is a continuous linear operator

$$S : C_\Delta(Y_1) \longrightarrow CB_\Delta(Y), Y := Z_K(k + 2) \cup Z_M(5l),$$

such that

$$P(D)S(f) = f \text{ on } Y \text{ for } f \in C_\Delta(Y_1) \tag{29}$$

PROOF. Let  $W := Z_{K+2,1/k} \cup Z_{M+2,1/(3l)}$ . Since

$$Y_1 \supset Z_{L,1/k} \cup Z_{2(M+2),1/(3l)},$$

the mapping

$$P(D)^{-1} : C_\Delta(Y_1) \longrightarrow C_\Delta(W)/N_P(W)$$

is defined, linear and continuous by Theorem 8 and the closed graph theorem.

For an open set  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  let

$$(L_2)_\Delta(Y) := L_2(Y) \cap C_\Delta(Y).$$

$(L_2)_\Delta(Y)$  is a Hilbert space and

$$(L_2)_P(Y) := (L_2)_\Delta(Y) \cap \ker(P(D))$$

is a closed subspace. By the choice of  $k$  and  $l$ ,

$$Y_2 := Z_{K+1}(k+1) \cup Z_{M+1}(4l) \subset\subset \text{int}(W),$$

and the restriction defines a continuous linear mapping

$$J_1 : C_\Delta(W)/N_P(W) \longrightarrow (L_2)_\Delta(Y_2)/(L_2)_P(Y_2).$$

Let

$$\Pi : (L_2)_\Delta(Y_2)/(L_2)_P(Y_2) \longrightarrow ((L_2)_P(Y_2))^\perp$$

be the canonical topological isomorphism. Since

$$Y = Z_K(k+2) \cup Z_M(5l) \subset\subset Y_2,$$

the restriction

$$J_2 : ((L_2)_P(Y_2))^\perp \longrightarrow CB_\Delta(Y)$$

is defined and continuous. Then

$$S := J_2 \circ \Pi \circ J_1 \circ P(D)^{-1} : C_\Delta(Y_1) \longrightarrow CB_\Delta(Y)$$

is defined, linear and continuous and satisfies (29).  $\square$

(d) Since

$$Z_K(k+2) \subset Y_{1,1} \text{ and } Z_M(5l) \subset Y_{1,2}$$

by the choice of  $k$ , we may use the operators constructed in b) and (c) to define

$$T = (T_1, T_2) : NB_P(Z_{L+1}(l)) \longrightarrow NB_P(Z_K(k)) \times NB_P(Z_M(5l))$$



by

$$T_1(f) := (R_1(f) - S \circ \tilde{R}(f))|_{Z_K(k+2)}$$

and

$$T_2(f) := (R_2(f) + S \circ \tilde{R}(f))|_{Z_M(5l)}$$

for  $f \in NB_P(Z_{L+1}(l))$ . Notice that  $P(D)T = 0$  by the definition of  $\tilde{R}$  in b) and by (29). By (a) it is clear that

$$T_1(f) + T_2(f) = f \text{ on } Z_K(k+2) \cap Z_M(5l)$$

if  $f \in NB_P(Z_{L+1}(l))$ . This proves  $(P_3)$  since  $T$  is continuous.  $\square$

Using the remarks at the end of section 1, the proof of Theorem 1 is now completed by the following

**12 Theorem.** *Let  $P(D)$  be surjective on  $A(H_N)$ . Then*

$$P(D)C_\Delta(Z) = C_\Delta(Z).$$

PROOF. The sequence of projective spectra (5) is exact by Corollary 9.  $N_P^Z$  is a reduced projective spectrum satisfying property  $(P_3)$  by Corollary 10 and Theorem 11. The claim thus follows from Proposition 2 and Theorem 3.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. ANDREOTTI, M. NACINOVICH: *Analytic Convexity and the Principle of Phragmen-Lindelöf*, Scuola Norm. Sup., Pisa 1980.
- [2] G. BENDEL: *Das Weylsche Lemma in der Theorie der Hyperfunktionen*, Math. Z., **96**, (1967), 373–392.
- [3] R.W. BRAUN: *The surjectivity of a constant coefficient homogeneous differential operator on the real analytic functions and the geometry of its symbol*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **45**, (1995), 223–249.
- [4] R.W. BRAUN, R. MEISE, B.A. TAYLOR: *The geometry of analytic varieties satisfying the local Phragmen-Lindelöf condition and a geometric characterization of the partial differential operators that are surjective on  $A(\mathbb{R}^4)$* , Transactions AMS, to appear.
- [5] R.W. BRAUN, R. MEISE, B.A. TAYLOR: *Surjectivity of constant coefficient partial differential operators on  $A(\mathbb{R}^4)$  and Whitney's  $C_4$ -cone*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Liege, **70**, (2001), 195–206.
- [6] R.W. BRAUN AND D. VOGT: *A sufficient condition for  $\text{Proj}^1 \mathfrak{X} = 0$* , Michigan Math. J., **44**, (1997), 149–156.
- [7] A. GROTHENDIECK: *Sur les espaces des solutions d'une classe générale d'équations aux dérivées partielles*, J. Analyse Math., **2**, (1952/53), 243–280.
- [8] L. HÖRMANDER: *On the existence of real analytic solutions of partial differential equations with constant coefficients*, Invent. Math., **21**, (1973), 151–183.

- [9] L. HÖRMANDER: *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I+II*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Bde. 256+257, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York 1983.
- [10] A. KANEKO: *On the global existence of real analytic solutions of linear partial differential equations on unbounded domain*, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo, **32**, (1985), 319–372.
- [11] A. KANEKO: *A sharp sufficient geometric condition for the existence of global real analytic solutions on a bounded domain*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **39**, (1987), 163–170.
- [12] T. KAWAI: *On the global existence of real analytic solutions of linear differential equations I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **24**, (1972), 481–517.
- [13] M. LANGENBRUCH: *Localizations of partial differential operators and surjectivity on spaces of real analytic functions*, Studia Math., **140**, n. 1, (2000), 15–40.
- [14] M. LANGENBRUCH: *Surjective partial differential operators on real analytic functions defined on convex open sets*, Manuscr. Math., **103**, (2000), 241–263.
- [15] M. LANGENBRUCH: *Characterization of surjective partial differential operators on spaces of real analytic functions*, Studia Math., **162**(1), (2004), 53–96.
- [16] M. LANGENBRUCH: *Inheritance of surjectivity for partial differential operators on spaces of real analytic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **297**, (2004), 696–719.
- [17] F. MANTOVI AND S. SPAGNOLO: *Funzionali analitici reali e funzioni armoniche*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa, Ser. III, (1964), 475–513.
- [18] V.P. PALAMODOV: *The projective limit functor in the category of linear topological spaces*, Math. USSR-Sb., **4**, (1968), 529–558.
- [19] V.P. PALAMODOV: *Homological methods in the theory of locally convex spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys, **26**, (1971), 1–64.
- [20] H.G. TILLMANN: *Dualität in der Potentialtheorie*, Port. Math., **13**, (1954), 55–86.
- [21] D. VOGT: *Topics on projective spectra of (LB)-spaces*, In: T. Terzioglu, editor, *Advances in the Theory of Fréchet-spaces*, of *NATO ASI Series C*, **287**, 11–27, Kluwer, 1989.
- [22] J. WENGENROTH: *Derived Functors in Functional Analysis*, LN in Math. 1810, Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York 2003.
- [23] G. ZAMPIERI: *Propagation of singularity and existence of real analytic solutions of locally hyperbolic equations*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Ser. IA Math., **31**, (1984), 373–390.