

# Generalizing the Kantor-Knuth Spreads

**Vikram Jha**

*Mathematics Dept., University of Iowa,  
Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA;*  
jha@math.uiowa.edu

**Norman L. Johnson**

*Mathematics Dept., University of Iowa,  
Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA;*  
njohnson@math.uiowa.edu

Received: 20/05/2008; accepted: 22/05/2008.

**Abstract.** The Kantor-Knuth conical flock spreads are generalized to large dimension. Any such spread is derivable and admits double Baer groups of large order.

**Keywords:** Kantor-Knuth spreads, derivable spreads, flock spreads.

**MSC 2000 classification:** 51E23 (primary), 51A40.

## 1 Introduction

The Kantor-Knuth semifield spreads are important and unusual in that they are semifield flock spreads in  $PG(3, q)$  that are derivable by a non-regulus net. Any such conical flock spread in  $PG(3, K)$ , where  $K$  is a field isomorphic to  $GF(q)$  is a union of  $q$  reguli that share a common line of  $PG(3, K)$ . The Kantor-Knuth conical flock spreads have odd order and may be represented by

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} u & \gamma t^\sigma \\ t & u \end{bmatrix}; u, t \in GF(q),$$

where  $\gamma$  is a non-square in  $GF(q)$  and  $\sigma$  is a non-trivial automorphism of  $GF(q)$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are considered 2-vectors over  $GF(q)$ .

Consider the subspread

$$D_\sigma : x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma t^\sigma \\ t & 0 \end{bmatrix}; t \in GF(q),$$

we may see that this is a derivable net that is not a regulus as follows: Change bases by the mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \gamma^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix})$  to represent the subspread in the form

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} t^\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{bmatrix}; t \in GF(q).$$

Since the associated matrices form a field isomorphic to  $GF(q)$ , it follows that this spread is a derivable partial spread. Let  $\pi$  be a flock spread that admits a derivable net that is not a regulus net. This is an extremely rare situation and the second author has shown that the Kantor-Knuth spreads are precisely the spreads with these properties. A ‘derivable flock of a quadratic cone’ is a flock whose corresponding conical flock spread admits a derivable partial spread sharing the line shared by the  $q$  reguli.

**1 Theorem.** [Johnson [5]] *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a derivable flock of a quadratic cone in  $PG(3, q)$  then  $q$  is odd and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Kantor-Knuth flock or the flock is linear.*

The uniqueness of the Kantor-Knuth spreads suggests that certain generalizations of these spreads are of interest. In this article, we give a generalization of the Kantor-Knuth spreads to spreads of larger dimension than 2, that is, whose spreads are not in  $PG(3, q)$ . (The reader is directly to the Handbook [2] or the Foundations’ text [1] for any background not directly given.)

## 2 Large Dimension Kantor-Knuth Semifield Spreads

We now show how a generalization of the Kantor-Knuth Semifield spreads might be considered using the idea of the companion semifield. The idea arose from an article dealing with a spread-only consideration of the dual of a semifield. This is as follows: Suppose we have a semifield spread of order  $p^n$  written over the prime field  $GF(p)$ , the rows of an associated matrix spread set are given in terms of linear transformations  $A_i$  of the  $n$ -dimensional  $GF(p)$ -vector space. That is, it can be shown that a semifield spread may be represented in the form:

$$y = x \begin{bmatrix} w \\ wA_2 \\ wA_2 \\ \vdots \\ wA_t \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for all } t\text{-vectors } x \text{ over } GF(p),$$

where  $w$  is an arbitrary  $t$ -vector. The semifield corresponding to the dual semifield is then shown to be

$$x = 0, y = x \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A_i \right],$$

for all  $t$ -vectors  $x$  over  $GF(p)$ , for all  $\alpha_i \in GF(p)$ ,  $A_1 = I$ .

This result is given in Jha and Johnson [3]. We call the associated spread the ‘companion semifield’ and refer to the ‘companion semifield construction’.

We shall see how this idea actually generates the manner of generalization of the Kantor-Knuth spreads that we consider here.

Consider  $GF(q^2)$ ,  $q$  odd and let  $\{1, e\}$ , for  $e^2 = \theta$ , for  $\theta$  a non-square in  $GF(q)$ . Then the involutory automorphism mapping  $GF(q^2)$  to  $GF(q^2)$  and fixing  $GF(q)$  pointwise takes  $u + te$  to  $u - te$ . Represent  $u + te$  as the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} u & t\theta \\ t & u \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $\begin{bmatrix} u & t\theta \\ t & u \end{bmatrix}$  maps to  $\begin{bmatrix} u & -t\theta \\ -t & u \end{bmatrix}$ . Now let  $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2\theta \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}$ , be a non-square in  $GF(q^2)$ , so,  $\gamma_2 \neq 0$ . Note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & -t\theta \\ -t & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2\theta \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u\gamma_1 - t\gamma_2\theta & u\gamma_2\theta - t\gamma_1\theta \\ -t\gamma_1 + u\gamma_2 & -t\gamma_2\theta + u\gamma_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now take the Kantor-Knuth spread of order  $q^4$ .

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^q\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix};$$

for all  $w, r \in GF(q^2)$ . Let  $r = \begin{bmatrix} u & t\theta \\ t & u \end{bmatrix}$  and  $w = \begin{bmatrix} k & s\theta \\ s & k \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $r^q = \begin{bmatrix} u & -t\theta \\ -t & u \end{bmatrix}$ .

Now represent the Kantor-Knuth spread in its 4-dimensional representation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & s\theta & u\gamma_1 - t\gamma_2\theta & u\gamma_2\theta - t\gamma_1\theta \\ s & k & -t\gamma_1 + u\gamma_2 & -t\gamma_2\theta + u\gamma_1 \\ u & t\theta & k & s\theta \\ t & u & s & k \end{bmatrix}$$

We consider now the additive spread obtained by the span of the non-singular linear transformations mapping the 4th row into the 4th, 3rd, 2nd and 1st rows respectively, call these  $A_4 = I_4, A_3, A_2, A_1$ , respectively. Regarding  $(t, u, s, k)$  as  $t(1, 0, 0, 0) + u(0, 1, 0, 0) + s(0, 0, 1, 0) + k(0, 0, 0, 1)$ , we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} sA_3 &= s \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, (t, u, s, k) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 3rd \text{ row} \\ uA_2 &= u \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\gamma_1 & -\gamma_2\theta \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, (t, u, s, k) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\gamma_1 & -\gamma_2\theta \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2nd \text{ row} \\ tA_1 &= t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\gamma_2\theta & -\gamma_1\theta \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2\theta \\ 0 & \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, (t, u, s, k) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\gamma_2\theta & -\gamma_1\theta \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_1 & \gamma_2\theta \\ 0 & \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1st \text{ row} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$kI_4 + sA_3 + uA_2 + tA_1 = \begin{bmatrix} k & s\theta & -u\gamma_1 - t\gamma_2\theta & -u\gamma_2\theta - t\gamma_1\theta \\ s & k & u\gamma_2 + t\gamma_1 & u\gamma_1 + t\gamma_2\theta \\ u & t\theta & k & s\theta \\ t & u & s & k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} -u\gamma_1 - t\gamma_2\theta & -u\gamma_2\theta - t\gamma_1\theta \\ u\gamma_2 + t\gamma_1 & u\gamma_1 + t\gamma_2\theta \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -u & -t\theta \\ t & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2\theta \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} u & -t\theta \\ -t & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1 & \gamma_2\theta \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_1 \end{bmatrix} r^q \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that the construction maps

$$\begin{bmatrix} w & r^q\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix} \text{ to } \begin{bmatrix} w & r^q \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the latter spread does not have  $GF(q^2)$  as kernel, the second spread cannot be isomorphic to the first.

We may now generalize Kantor-Knuth spreads as follows:

**2 Theorem.** *Let the Kantor-Knuth spread of odd order  $q^4$  and kernel  $GF(q^2)$  be given by*

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^q\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w, r \in GF(q^2),$$

where  $\gamma$  is a non-square in  $GF(q^2)$ .

- (1) Then using the ‘companion semifield spread’ construction, the following defines a semifield spread of order  $q^4$  and kernel  $GF(q)$  (which is the dual of the Kantor-Knuth semifield plane by the main result of [3]).

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^q \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}$$

- (2) Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $GF(q^k)$ . Let  $y = xM$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of a  $2k$ -dimensional vector space on which there is a Desarguesian spread  $\Sigma$

$$x = 0, y = xm; m \in GF(q^k) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Assume further that  $y = xM$  is contained in the partial spread of non-zero squares  $S = \{y = xm^2; m \in GF(q^k) \setminus \{0\}\}$  and is not a component of  $\Sigma$ . Then the following gives a spread

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma M\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w, r \in GF(q^k).$$

- (3) If  $\sigma$  is not  $q$  or  $1$ , then the kernel of this spread is  $GF(q)$ , the right nucleus is  $GF(q) \cap \text{Fix}\sigma$ , and the middle nucleus is  $\text{Fix}\sigma$ .
- (4) This spread is the dual of the corresponding Kantor-Knuth spread if and only if  $\sigma$  is  $q$ , or  $1$ .

Note that for the Kantor-Knuth spread above, the kernel is  $GF(q^k)$ , the right nucleus is  $\text{Fix}\sigma$ , and the middle nucleus is  $\text{Fix}\sigma$ .

- (5) If  $\sigma$  is not  $q$  or  $1$  then the spread

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma M\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w, r \in GF(q^2).$$

is not isomorphic to either the Kantor-Knuth spread, the dual of the Kantor-Knuth spread or to the transpose of the Kantor-Knuth spread.

PROOF. We note that we have the subspread

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w \in GF(q^2).$$

Assume that the kernel of the new spread is isomorphic to  $GF(q^2)$ . Let  $\text{Diag}(A, A, A, A)$  be an element of the kernel. The kernel leaves each component invariant, which implies that  $AwA^{-1} = w$  and then it follows that  $A$  is in the original field  $F$  isomorphic to  $GF(q^2)$ . But, then it follows that  $r^\sigma M\gamma$  must commute with  $F$ . However, since  $r^\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are elements of  $F$ , it follows that  $M$  must commute with  $F$ , a contradiction. Hence, the kernel is the subfield of  $F$  isomorphic to  $GF(q)$ .

In order that this spread is the dual of the corresponding Kantor-Knuth spread, it must be that there is a collineation group with elements  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, yM)$ , where  $M$  belongs to a field isomorphic to  $GF(q^2)$ . It is essentially immediate that  $M = \begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix}$  for all  $v \in GF(q^2)$ . However, an easy calculation shows that this implies that

$$MrM^{-1} = r^\sigma.$$

This implies that  $\sigma$  is either  $q$  or  $q^2$ . Now since

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & t\theta \\ t & u \end{bmatrix}^q = \begin{bmatrix} u & -t\theta \\ -t & u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u & t\theta \\ t & u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

it follows that when  $\sigma = q$ , we obtain this structure is the companion spread to the Kantor-Knuth spread and is therefore the dual Kantor-Knuth spread by the main result of [3]:

When  $\sigma$  is not  $q$  or  $1$ , clearly the kernel is then  $GF(q)$ . Consider,

$$\begin{bmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma M\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} vw & vr^\sigma M\gamma \\ vr & vw \end{bmatrix},$$

which clearly implies that  $v^\sigma = v$ . So the middle nucleus is  $Fix\sigma$ .

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma M\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [c]ccv & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} wv & r^\sigma M\gamma v \\ rv & wv \end{bmatrix},$$

implies

$$r^\sigma M\gamma v = (rv)^\sigma M\gamma,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} v = v^\sigma \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies that the right nucleus is  $GF(q) \cap Fix\sigma$ .

Part (3) follows easily since there are no  $GF(q^k)$ 's in the right, middle, or right nuclei.  $\square$

Now consider the spread

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w, r \in GF(q^2)$$

and note that, of course, we have a derivable net

$$D_{(w,w)} : x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w \in GF(q^2)$$

that feels like a regulus net, except that projectively the spread is in  $PG(7, q)$  and not in any  $PG(3, q^2)$ . which is generated by the subkernel group, sub-middle nucleus homology group and the right nucleus homology groups.

Moreover, change bases by  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix})$  to represent the spread in the form:

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} r^\sigma & \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma & u \\ & u & r \end{bmatrix}; \forall r \in GF(q^2)$$

now change bases by  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^{-1} & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix})$  to finally represent the spread in the form:

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} r^\sigma & u \\ u \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^{-1} & r \end{bmatrix}; \forall u, r \in GF(q^2).$$

Consider the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} e & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e \end{bmatrix}$ , which maps

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} r^\sigma & u \\ u \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^{-1} & r \end{bmatrix}$$

onto

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} e^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r^\sigma & u \\ u \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^{-1} & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-1} r^\sigma & u \\ u \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \gamma^{-1} & er \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now choose  $e^\sigma = e^{-1}$ , if possible. For example, if  $q = p^r$  and  $\sigma = p^c$ , for  $c$  properly dividing  $r$ , we obtain  $e^{p^c} = e^{-1}$  if and only if  $e^{p^c+1} = 1$ . Therefore, in this setting, we have a left nucleus  $GF(q)$ , middle nucleus =  $GF(p^c)$  =right nucleus and we have a Baer group of order  $p^c+1$ . Note that since the left nucleus contains the right/middle nucleus, we see that we have another Baer group of

order  $p^c+1$ , namely with elements  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Now take the generated group

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & f \end{bmatrix}; f, e \text{ of orders dividing } p^c + 1 \right\rangle.$$

Now in the special case when  $q = p^{ce}$ , where  $e$  is even, there is a subkernel group of order  $p^{2c} - 1$ . Multiplication of this kernel will produce a double-Baer group of order  $p^c + 1$ . All of this may be generalized as follows.

**3 Theorem.** *Representing the spread as*

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} r^\sigma M\gamma & u \\ u & r \end{bmatrix}; \forall r \in GF(q^2),$$

and  $\sigma : x \rightarrow x^{p^e}$ , for  $q = p^{ce}$ , and  $e > 1$ , we have a double-Baer group of order  $p^e + 1$ .

Then we see that have another derivable net

$$D_{(r^\sigma, r)} : x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} r^\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}; \forall u, r \in GF(q^2).$$

Now consider that we derive either of the derivable nets mentioned. We are now deriving a semifield plane of order  $q^4$ . It follows by Johnson [8], that the full collineation of any of these derived spreads is the inherited group.

If we derive  $D_{(w,w)}$ , we note by Johnson [6], that since the net is a regulus net, the Baer subplanes are  $GF(q^k)$ -subspaces. Hence, when we derive this spread, the kernel is still  $GF(q)$ . The right and middle nuclei associated homology groups leave invariant this derivable net, so they are inherited as collineation groups isomorphic to the multiplicative subgroups of  $GF(q) \cap Fix\sigma$  and  $Fix\sigma$ , respectively.

When we derive the  $D_{(r^\sigma, r)}$  derivable net, we note that the Baer subplanes are  $Fix\sigma$ -subspaces, by Johnson [6]. Hence, the kernel of the derived plane now becomes  $GF(q) \cap Fix\sigma$ , since the remaining components are  $GF(q)$ -subspaces. Therefore, we have proved the following about the derived spreads.

**4 Theorem.** *Assume that  $\sigma$  is not  $q$  or 1. In the spread*

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma M\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w, r \in GF(q^k),$$

there are two derivable nets  $D_{(w,w)}$  and, after a basis change,  $D_{(r^\sigma, r)}$ .

- (1) Derivation of  $D_{(w,w)}$  produces a translation plane with kernel  $GF(q)$  that admits affine Baer groups isomorphic to the multiplicative subgroups of  $GF(q) \cap Fix\sigma$  and  $Fix\sigma$ , respectively.
- (2) Derivation of  $D_{(r^\sigma, r)}$ , representing the spread as

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} r^\sigma & u \\ uM\gamma^{-1} & r \end{bmatrix}; \forall u, r \in GF(q^k).$$



produces a translation plane with kernel  $GF(q) \cap Fix\sigma$ , and also admits Baer groups isomorphic to the multiplicative subgroups of  $GF(q) \cap Fix\sigma$  and  $Fix\sigma$ , respectively.

If  $\sigma : x \rightarrow x^{p^e}$ , for  $p^{ce} = q$ , and  $e > 1$ , we admit symmetric affine homology groups of orders  $p^e + 1$ .

**5 Definition.** We call any of the spreads

$$x = 0, y = x \begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma M\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix}; \forall w, r \in GF(q^k),$$

‘generalized Kantor-Knuth spreads’.

Of course, the question is, are there any new semifield spreads that may be constructed in this way. Letting  $\Sigma$  be the associated Desarguesian affine plane of order  $q^k$ , then we ask what are the various subspaces  $y = xM$  that lie within the net of non-zero squares? Of course, if  $y = xM$  is  $y = x^{q^i}z$ , where  $z$  is a square does have this property. For this set of subspaces, it is not difficult to verify that these generalized Kantor-Knuth spreads are the Knuth generalized Dickson semifields (see e.g. Handbook of Finite Translation Planes [2]). In general, any such  $y = xM$  has the general form  $\sum_{i=1}^{kr} f_i x^{p^i}$ , where  $q^k = p^{rk}$ , for  $p$  a prime and  $f_i \in GF(q^k)$ . Then the following defines the corresponding semifield spread:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, z) \circ (r, w) &= (x, z) \begin{bmatrix} w & r^\sigma M\gamma \\ r & w \end{bmatrix} = (xw + zr, xr^\sigma M\gamma + zw) \\ &= (xw + zr, \sum_{i=1}^{kr} f_i (xr^\sigma)^{p^i} + zw). \end{aligned}$$

So if  $y = xM = x^{q^i}z$ , we see the semifield is a Knuth generalized Dickson semifield.

**6 Problem.** Show that there exist subspaces  $y = xM$  within the subspread of non-zero squares of a Desarguesian affine plane of order  $q^k$  that are not of the form  $y = x^{q^i}z$ .

## References

- [1] M. BILIOTTI, V. JHA, N. L. JOHNSON: *Foundations of Translation Planes*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, **243** (2001), xvi+542.
- [2] N. L. JOHNSON, V. JHA, M. BILIOTTI: *Handbook of finite translation planes*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, **289** (2007), xxii+861.

- [3] V. JHA, N.L. JOHNSON: *Spread-Theoretic Dual of a Semifield*, Note di Mat. no. 1 **29** (2008), 145–155.
- [4] N. L. JOHNSON: *Subplane Covered Nets*, Monographs/Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, New York, vol. **222** (2000).
- [5] N. L. JOHNSON: *Translation planes covered by subplane covered nets*, Simon Stevin, no. 3-4 Vol. **66** (1991), 221–239.
- [6] N. L. JOHNSON: *Lezioni sui piani di traslazione*, Quaderni del Dipartimento di Matematica, Universita' di Lecce, Q. **3** (1986), 1–121 (in Italian).
- [7] N.L. JOHNSON: *A note on net replacement in transposed spreads*, Bull. Canad. J. Math., (4) vol. **28** (1985), 469–471.
- [8] N. L. JOHNSON: *The derivation of dual translation planes*, J. Geom. vol. **36** (1989), 63–90.
- [9] W. M. KANTOR: *Commutative semifields and symplectic spreads*, J. Algebra **270** (2003), 96–114.