

GROUPS WHOSE INFINITE PROPER SUBGROUPS ARE T-GROUPS

MARIA ROSARIA CELENTANI, ULDERICO DARDANO

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

A group is said to be a T -group if its subnormal subgroups are normal. Classes of «generalized» T -groups have been the object of much attention and have been studied intensively. In [10] D.J.S. Robinson studied the class of non- T groups all of whose *proper* subgroups are T -groups. In the usual terminology for group classes these are minimal-non- T groups. It is a well established pattern to study group classes by studying minimal (in the above sense) classes. This kind of investigations began with a paper by G.A. Miller and H.C. Moreno [6].

Under (weak) hypotheses of generalized solubility or finiteness minimal-non- T groups turn out to be finite, whence soluble. In the case of p -groups they coincide with minimal-non-abelian groups if we substitute for the quaternion group \mathcal{Q}_8 of order 8 that of order 16 (type I). In the non-primary cases they also have a restricted structure as their order is divisible only by two primes p, q . In fact they have the form $F = \langle x \rangle \rtimes P$ where x has order q^m and P is a Sylow p -subgroup of F which is either isomorphic to \mathcal{Q}_8 (type II) or non-cyclic elementary abelian, the only G -subgroups of P are the characteristic ones (type II and IV), unless $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and P has order p^2 (type III) (see [10]).

This paper, inspired by the above-quoted of Robinson, tries to show to which extent similar ideas work in infinite groups. We study the class of non- T groups whose *proper infinite* subgroups are T -groups. If we call \tilde{T} -groups the groups whose *all infinite* subgroups are T -groups, we can say that we are interested in minimal-non- \tilde{T} groups (see [1]). We are able to give a description of these groups under solubility assumption. Observe that any direct product of a Tarski p -group by a dihedral group of order 8 is a minimal-non- \tilde{T} group, as in any Hall extension of a Prüfer group by a minimal-non- T group. We will see that, in fact, with the exception of type II, all minimal-non- T groups are subgroups of minimal-non- \tilde{T} groups also in less trivial ways. This enables us to recall some more detailed information on minimal-non- T groups while stating Theorem A, our main result. In the last section of the paper we will describe non-abelian (infinite) soluble groups whose proper infinite subgroups are abelian (see Theorem B), a result of independent interest.

Notation and terminology are mostly standard. We refer to [2] and [5]. In particular:

- letters p, q, r denote only prime numbers,
- $n|m$ means that n divide m ,
- $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ is the ring $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$,
- $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ is a Prüfer p -group containing $\mathbb{Z}(p)$,
- \mathbb{Z}_p is the ring of p -adic integers, i.e. the endomorphism ring of $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$,
- \mathbb{Q}_p is the field of fractions of \mathbb{Z}_p ,

- $|x|$ is the order of the element x ,
- $Soc\ G$ is the subgroup generated by all elements with prime order of the abelian group G ,
- $\pi(G)$ is the set of prime numbers p for which the group G has an element of order p ,
- if N is a normal subgroup a group G such that $\pi(N) \cap \pi(G/N) = \emptyset$ then we say that N is a *Hall subgroup* of G or that G is a *Hall extension* of N ,
- a *power automorphism* of a group is an automorphism mapping every subgroup onto itself.

Let us state now our main result:

Theorem A. *An infinite soluble group is a non- T group whose infinite proper subgroups are T -groups if and only if it is of one of the following four types. Such groups are all Chernikov groups.*

1. *Non-(Prüfer-by-finite) groups.* Groups with the form $G = \langle x \rangle R$, where R is a radical abelian p -group with finite rank $n > 1$ and is normal in G , x has order q^m and acts on R by means of the matrix:

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -\alpha_1 & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_3 & \dots & -\alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

with entries in \mathbb{Z}_p , which is the companion matrix of the minimal polynomial $\mu(t) = t^n + \alpha_n t^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1$ of a q^f -th primitive root of 1 where $f \leq m$ is the greatest positive integer such that q^{f-1} divides $p - 1$ (see section 2 for details).

We recall that the degree n of $\mu(t)$ is equal to the multiplicative order of $p \bmod q^f$ if $q \neq p$ and to $p - 1$ otherwise. Moreover, soluble minimal-non- T groups of type IV, have all the form $F = \langle x \rangle P$ where $P = Soc\ R$ and $G = \langle x \rangle R$ is above. We have Robinson's subtypes IVa and IVb according to $f = 1$ or $f > 1$. Observe that G cannot be a 2-group, since $p = q = 2$ implies $n = 1$.

2. *Prüfer-by-finite non-primary groups.* Groups of one of the following subtypes where R is a Prüfer p -group:

- (a) Hall extensions of R by a minimal-non- T group;
- (b) $G = F \times R$ where F is a non-primary minimal-non- T group such that $p \in \pi(F)$ and which is of type IVa if the Sylow p -subgroup P of F is normal;

(c) $G = \langle x \rangle \rtimes (\langle a \rangle \times R)$, $a^p = 1$, $a^x = a^\zeta$, $c^x = c^\eta$, for any $c \in R$, ζ and η are p -adic integers such that ζ is a primitive q^f -th root of 1 with $0 < f \leq m$, $\eta = \zeta^{1+kq^{f-1}}$ and $0 < k < q$;

(d) $G = (\langle x \rangle R) \rtimes P$, where $\langle x \rangle R$ is a non-abelian 2-group with property T and $F = \langle x \rangle \rtimes P$ is a minimal-non- T group (of type III or IVb).

Moreover soluble minimal-non- T groups of type III are all of type $F = \langle x \rangle \rtimes (\langle a \rangle \times Soc R)$ where $G = \langle x \rangle \rtimes (\langle a \rangle \times R)$ is of type 2c. About case (d) we recall that $\langle x \rangle R$ is a non-abelian T -group if and only if x induces the inversion map on R and $|x| \leq 4$. About case (a) see Lemma 7.

3. *Prüfer-by-finite primary groups which are central-by-finite.* Groups of the form $G = FR$ where F is a finite minimal-non-abelian p -group, R is a Prüfer p -group and $[F, R] = 1$.

4. *Prüfer-by-finite 2-groups which are not central-by-finite.* See groups of types (i) – (x) in the statement of Proposition 13 below.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM A

In this section we will give the proof of our main result. As shorthand notation we shall say that G is an X -group whenever G is an infinite non- T group all of whose infinite proper subgroups are T -groups. We start by noting that it is a trivial fact that a minimal non- T group is a \mathcal{B}_2 -group, i.e. a group in which subnormal subgroups have defect at most 2, and that the same can be said for X -groups.

Proposition 1. *An X -group is a \mathcal{B}_2 -group.*

Proof. Let G be an X -group and S be a non-normal subnormal subgroup of G . Thus S^G is a proper subgroup of G ; if it is infinite then it is a T -group and $S \triangleleft S^G$. If S^G is finite, then the normalizer $N_G(S)$ of S has finite index in G and is contained in a maximal subgroup M . Then applying Lemma 7.3.16 of [5] to the finite group G/M_G one gets $S^G \leq M$ and so $N_G(S)S^G \leq M$. Thus $N_G(S)S^G$ is a T -group and $S \triangleleft S^G$, what we wanted. \square

In hypothesis of solubility, X -groups have a strong finiteness condition; in fact they are Chernikov groups, as we are going to show in Proposition 3. We note that since by [10] a soluble minimal-non- T group is finite we may state: *if G is a soluble X -group, then there is a finite subgroup F of G which is a minimal-non- T group.* This fact will play a major rôle in our arguments and will be stated later in greater details (see Lemma 6).

We need a result from [1] (see Theorem 3.2). Recall that a group all of whose subgroups are T -groups is said to be a \overline{T} -group.

Proposition 2. *Let G be an infinite soluble group. Then G has property \overline{T} and not $\overline{\overline{T}}$ if and only if $G = (S \times E \times B) \rtimes A$, where:*

- (i) A and B are finite abelian groups with coprime odd orders;
- (ii) E is an elementary abelian 2-group;
- (iii) every subgroup of A is normal in G ;
- (iv) either $S = \langle z, R \rangle$, with $R \triangleleft S$, or $S = Q \rtimes R$, where R is a Prüfer 2-group, z has order 2 or 4, Q is isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 8 and $[S, R] \neq 1$.

Proposition 3. *Let G be a soluble X -group. Then G is a Chernikov group whose finite residual has no proper infinite G -subgroups.*

Proof. We first show that G is periodic. For suppose that a is an element of G with infinite order. If G were not finitely generated then for any pair of non-commuting elements x and y of G the subgroup $\langle a, x, y \rangle$ would be an infinite non-abelian T -group, a contradiction (see [8], Theorem 3.3.1). Thus G must be a finitely generated soluble \mathcal{B}_2 -group and hence, by Theorem A of [9], it is finite-by-nilpotent. Then by a well-known property of nilpotent groups there is a normal subgroup N of G such that G/N is infinite cyclic, say $G = \langle x, N \rangle$. Furthermore for any positive integer s the group $\langle x^s, N \rangle$ is finitely generated and so abelian; this implies that G itself is abelian, again a contradiction. Therefore G is periodic.

Assume now that G is not a Chernikov group. Since G is soluble it does not satisfy the minimal condition on abelian subnormal subgroups (see [11]) and so it has a subnormal subgroup A which is the direct product of an infinite family of subgroups with prime order. Since A^G is different from G , it is a T -group generated by subnormal subgroups with prime order and therefore it has the same form as A . Hence we may assume that A is normal in G . Let now F be a finite non- T -subgroup of G . There exists a subgroup B with finite index in A such that $|F| < |A : B|$ and $F \cap B = 1$. If $FA \neq G$ then FA is a T -group and $B \triangleleft FA$. It follows that $F \simeq FB/B$ is a T -group, which is absurd. Then $FA = G$ and B has finite index in G ; moreover $|FB_G : B_G| = |F| < |A : B| \leq |G : B_G|$ shows that $F \simeq FB_G/B_G$ is a T -group, which is impossible. Thus G is a Chernikov group.

Let finally R be the finite residual of G and F as before. To obtain a contradiction we suppose that there is an infinite proper p -subgroup H of R which is normal in G . Of course we may suppose that H is radicable. Then FH is a \tilde{T} -group with a non- T subgroup and so its finite residual H is a 2-group by Proposition 2. It follows that R is a 2-group. Let x be an element of $R \setminus H$ with order 8. Then by the same result we get the contradiction that $\langle x, FH \rangle$ is not a \tilde{T} -group and conclude that R has no infinite proper G -subgroups. \square

We observe that since both soluble T -groups and soluble minimal-non- T groups have bounded derived length by the previous proposition the same holds for soluble X -groups.

Because of Proposition 3 from now on we will be concerned with Chernikov groups; the proof of Theorem A will be split into cases according to the introduction. Before continuing it we recall a useful result due to Robinson which gives a necessary condition for a group to have property T (see [8], Lemma 5.2.2).

Lemma 4. *Let the periodic group G have a Hall normal subgroup N such that every subnormal subgroup of N is normal in G and G/N is a T -group, then G itself is a T -group.*

Case 1 - Non-(Prüfer-by-finite) groups.

We shall show that a soluble (Chernikov) X -group which is not Prüfer-by-finite is a group of type 1. We state first a proposition giving a group-theoretical characterization of the groups we consider in this case.

Proposition 5. *Let the group G be not Prüfer-by-finite and R be its finite residual. Then G is an X -group if and only if $G = \langle x, R \rangle$ and the following hold:*

- (i) x has order q^m , where $m > 0$ and q is a prime;
- (ii) no infinite proper subgroup of R is normalized by x ;
- (iii) every subgroup of R is normalized by x^q ;
- (iv) G is not a 2-group.

Proof. To see the sufficiency of the condition note that if H is an infinite subgroup of G not contained in $M = \langle x^q, R \rangle$ then $G = HR$ and $H \cap R \triangleleft G$. It follows that $R \leq H$ and $H = G$. Thus it suffices to observe that M is a \bar{T} -group. If $p = q$ then M is clearly abelian, for there are no power automorphisms of R with order p as p is odd. If $p \neq q$ then M is a \bar{T} -group by Lemma 4.

Conversely, if G is an X -group then every subgroup of R is normal in each maximal subgroup of G , so that, by Proposition 3, G has only one maximal subgroup and G/R is cyclic with prime-power order. So $G = \langle x, R \rangle$ and (i)-(iii) clearly hold. Moreover if G is a 2-group then x^2 induces by conjugation on R a power automorphism, which is clearly either the identity or the inversion map. In the latter case $\langle x^2, R \rangle$ would not be a \bar{T} -group, by Proposition 2. In the former case, if R_1 is a Prüfer subgroup of R then $R_1^{1+x} = \{aa^x | a \in R_1\}$ is a normal subgroup of G , a contradiction. \square

We want now to give an explicit description of the action by conjugation of x on R and get information on the rank n of R . Let ϑ be the automorphism which x induces by conjugation on R and $R^\# = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty), R)$; regard $V = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R^\#$ as a right $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\vartheta\rangle$ -module in the natural way. It is well-known that V has no proper non-trivial $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\vartheta\rangle$ -submodules if and only if ϑ may be represented by a matrix Θ as in the introduction where $\mu(t) = t^n + \alpha_n t^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1$ is an irreducible polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p (on this matter see [3] and also [7]).

Since ϑ^q is a power automorphism of R , while ϑ is not and has order q^f where $f \leq m$, we have that $\mu(t)$ is an irreducible non-linear factor of $t^q - \lambda$, where λ is the p -adic unit with order q^{f-1} such that $a^{\vartheta^q} = a^\lambda$ for any $a \in R$. Furthermore $q^{f-1} | p - 1$ if p is odd and $q^{f-1} | 2$ if $p = 2$.

If $\lambda = 1$ (and this is the case if $q = p \neq 2$ or, more generally, we force G to have all proper

infinite subgroups abelian) then $f = 1$ and $\mu(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of a primitive q -th root of 1, whose degree n is equal to $p - 1$ if $q = p$ and to the multiplicative order of $p \pmod q$ otherwise. In the former case clearly $\mu(t) = t^{p-1} + t^{p-2} + \dots + 1$ and Θ has entries in \mathbb{Z} . If $\lambda \neq 1$ and λ is a q -th root in \mathbb{Z}_p , or equivalently q^f divides $p - 1$, then $t^q - \lambda$ splits over \mathbb{Z}_p into linear factors, contradicting to $n > 1$. Thus f is the greatest positive integer such that $q^{f-1} | p - 1$ and $\mu(t) = t^q - \lambda$ is irreducible.

Let us observe that the argument in the last lines of the proof of Proposition 5 is actually an application of the facts we have just stated. In fact, if $q = p = 2$ and x^2 centralizes R , then in the above notation ϑ has order 2 and $\lambda = 1$. Therefore $\mu(t)$ divides $t^2 - 1$ and its degree n is 1, a contradiction. By the way we also note that the consideration of the automorphism acting on $\mathbb{Z}(2^\infty) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(2^\infty)$ by means of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

shows that condition (iv) in the statement of the above proposition may not be relaxed.

We have proved that non-(Prüfer-by-finite) \mathbf{X} -groups are of type 1 in the introduction.

Case 2 - Prüfer-by-finite non-primary groups.

In this case we are concerned with Chernikov groups whose finite residual R is a Prüfer r -group (where r is a prime). The next lemma completes an observation we have made before.

Lemma 6. *Let G a soluble \mathbf{X} -group whose finite residual R is a Prüfer group. Then G has a (finite) minimal-non- T subgroup F . Furthermore $G = FR$, unless G is a 2-group and R is non-central. Moreover if R is a Hall subgroup of G we can choose F such that $G = F \rtimes R$.*

Proof. Suppose first that R is a Hall subgroup and let F be a complement of R . Hence $F \simeq G/R$ is not a T group by Lemma 4 and so is a minimal-non- T group. Thus we may assume that R is not a Hall subgroup.

The first part of the statement about the existence of a (finite) non- T subgroup F of G has already been settled. Assume $G \neq FR$. Then FR has property \tilde{T} but not \bar{T} and so, by Proposition 2, R is a Prüfer 2-group not contained in the centre of G . Let D be the set of all elements of G with odd order. If D is not a subgroup then $G = \langle D, R \rangle$, because in a torsion T -group the elements of odd order fill a subgroup. Furthermore, since R has no non-trivial automorphism of odd order, R is central in $G = \langle D, R \rangle$, a contradiction. Thus D is a subgroup of G and $G = S \rtimes D$, where S is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G . Since R is not a Hall subgroup of G , i.e. $R < S$, we have $D \simeq_G DR/R < G/R$; it follows that D is a T -group. Moreover G/D also is a T -group as it is isomorphic to S . If by contradiction G/R were a T -group then by the above G -isomorphism every (sub)normal subgroup of D

would be normal in G and, in view of Lemma 4, G would be a T -group, a contradiction. So G/R is a non-primary minimal-non- T group, $\pi(G/R) = \{p, 2\}$, $D = P$ is the Sylow p -subgroup of G and $S = \langle x, R \rangle$ for some element x . Thus $G = S \rtimes P$, $F_1 = \langle x \rangle \rtimes P$ is a minimal-non- T group and of course every infinite subgroup of S is a T -group. \square

As a consequence of this lemma we see that if G is an X -group then $\pi(G) = \{p, q, r\}$ has at most 3 elements. Let us exploit the action of a non-primary minimal-non- T group on a Prüfer group.

Lemma 7. *Let G be a group such that $G = FR$, where R is a Prüfer r -group and $F = \langle x \rangle \rtimes P$ is a non-primary minimal-non- T group (notation as in the introduction). If G is an X -group then:*

- (i) $[x, R] \neq 1$ implies that either $q \nmid r - 1$ or $q = r = 2$;
- (ii) $[P, R] \neq 1$ implies that F is of type III (therefore $q \mid p - 1$ and $P = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$) and: $a^x = a^\zeta$, where ζ is a q -th primitive root of 1 in \mathbb{Z}_p , $b^x = b$, $[a, R] = 1$.

Proof. Clearly (i) is trivial. If $[P, R] \neq 1$ then $P/C_P(R)$ is a non-trivial cyclic group, hence it has order p . On the one hand, since $C_P(R) \triangleleft F$ we get that P is neither minimal normal in F nor isomorphic with the quaternion group of order 8; in other words F is of type III and $P = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, where $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ are normal in F . On the other hand under these circumstances we have $\langle a \rangle = [x, \langle a \rangle] \leq F' \leq C_F(R)$, as the automorphism group of R is abelian. Thus $C_P(R) = \langle a \rangle$ and $b^{-1+x} = [b, x] \in C_P(R) \cap \langle b \rangle = 1$. The statement now follows. \square

We can now settle this case by the following Proposition.

Proposition 8. *Let the non-primary soluble group G have a Prüfer r -subgroup R with finite index. Then G is an X -group if and only if G is of type 2 in the introduction (where $p = r$).*

Proof. We first show the necessity of the condition, which is trivially verified if R is a Hall subgroup of G (type 2a). So let $r \in \pi(G/R)$. From Lemma 6 we get the existence of a minimal-non- T group F such that $G = FR$. Then F is not a primary group and has the form $F = \langle x \rangle \rtimes P$, where x is a q -element and P is a p -group either isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 8 (type II) or elementary abelian. Recall that in the latter case P is minimal normal in F if F is of type IV, otherwise F is of type III. Obviously either $p = r$ or $q = r$.

If $q = r \neq 2$ then $[x, R] = 1$ and $\langle x \rangle \cap R = F \cap R$, as $\langle x \rangle$ is a Sylow q -subgroup of F . Assume by contradiction that $[P, R] \neq 1$. Then $p \mid r - 1 = q - 1$ and by Lemma 7 we get the contradiction F is of type III and $q \mid p - 1$. Let now $\langle x, R \rangle = \langle y \rangle \times R$ and $F_1 = \langle y \rangle \rtimes P$. Since y acts on P the same way as x does, then F_1 is a minimal-non- T -group and $G = F_1 \times R$ is of type 2b. On the other hand if $q = r = 2$ and still $[x, R] = 1$ arguing as before we get that G again is of type 2b; finally if $[x, R] \neq 1$, i.e. x induces the inversion map on R , then

G must be of type 2d. Let us move now to the case $p = r$.

If $p = r$ it follows $[P, R] = 1$. In fact if $[P, R] \neq 1$ then by Lemma 7 we get that F is of type III and so $p \neq 2$, then it is a trivial fact that P centralizes R . Let us examine all possibilities for F . We see that F is not of type II, because PR is abelian. If F is of type III then $P = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ where $\langle a \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ are normal in G . If, for a contradiction, both $\langle x, a, R \rangle$ and $\langle x, b, R \rangle$ are different from G then they are T -groups and the (universal) power automorphisms induced by x on $\langle a, R \rangle$ and $\langle b, R \rangle$ respectively have the same exponent; it would follow that x induces on $P = \langle a, b \rangle$ a power automorphism and F is a T -group. Thus G is as in 2c, where relations between ζ and η are due to the fact that they hold mod p (see [10]) and there is only one periodic p -adic integer which lifts a non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}(p)$, since $p \neq 2$. If F is of type IVa then $q \nmid p - 1$ and $[x, R] = 1$; on the other hand P is minimal normal in F and $F \cap R = P \cap R = 1$, hence G is again of type 2b. Finally, if by contradiction F is of type IVb and f is the greatest positive integer such that q^{f-1} divides $p - 1$ then x^q induces on R an automorphism of order q^{f-1} , since $G \neq \langle x^q, R \rangle$. It would follow that x induces on R an automorphism of order q^f and $q^f \mid p - 1$, contradicting the choice of f . The necessity of the condition is now shown.

To show the sufficiency we observe that a group of type 2a is trivially an X -group by Lemma 4. Then observe that groups of type 2c are not T -groups because x induces on the abelian normal subgroup $\langle a, R \rangle$ a non-power automorphism and that all other groups described in the statement have a finite factor group (namely G/R) which is not a T -group. Thus, since subgroup with finite index of soluble T -groups are still T -groups (see [4]), we just need to check whether the maximal subgroups of G have property T ; let G_1 be one of them.

Let G be of type 2b and $\pi(F) = \{p, q\}$; then $G_1 = F_1 R$ where F_1 is a maximal subgroup of F . If $q = r$ let $P_1 = G_1 \cap P = F_1 \cap P$, then $G_1/P_1 \simeq G_1 P/P$ is abelian and F_1 (and with it G_1) induces on P_1 a cyclic group of power automorphisms; by Lemma 4 G_1 is a T -group. Similarly if $p = r$ then either $G_1 = \langle x^q, PR \rangle$ or G_1 is conjugate to $\langle x \rangle R$. In both cases it is trivial that G_1 is a T -group.

If G is of type 2c then the maximal subgroups of G are conjugate to either $\langle x \rangle R$ or $\langle x^q, a, R \rangle$. The former group is a T -group by Lemma 4 and the latter has property T as x^q induces on $\langle a, R \rangle$ a power automorphism of exponent $\zeta^q = \eta^q$. Finally if G is of type 2d then $G_1 = S_1 \times P_1$, where S_1 is conjugate to a subgroup of $S = \langle x \rangle R$ (and therefore a T -group) and $P_1 = G_1 \cap P$. Thus G_1 has property T , again by Lemma 4. \square

Case 3 - Prüfer-by-finite primary-groups which are central-by-finite.

In this case we deal with a p -group G whose finite residual R is central. Clearly if $p \neq 2$ then every soluble X -group which is a primary group falls in this case.

Recall that if p is an odd prime for soluble p -groups property T is equivalent to commutativity. Thus a soluble p -group G (p odd) has property X if and only if it is non-abelian but all its infinite proper subgroups are. Furthermore the same hold for soluble 2-groups in

which the largest normal abelian divisible subgroup is central. Case 3 is easily settled by the following proposition.

Proposition 9. *Let G be a Prüfer-by-finite p -group whose finite residual R is central. Then G is an X -group if and only if $G = FR$, where F is a minimal-non-abelian group.*

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition is self-evident. If G is an X -group then by Lemma 6 we have $G = FR$ where F is a minimal-non- T group. If F is not minimal-non-abelian, as we claim, then F is isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 16 and has a subgroup F_1 isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 8, which is minimal-non-abelian. The proof is complete once we observe that $G \neq F_1R$ yields the contradiction that F_1R is a non-abelian T -group. □

Note that if we consider the direct product G of a finite minimal-non-abelian p -group F and a Prüfer p -group R amalgamating the commutator subgroup of F and the socle of R we see that G is an X -group and G/R is abelian, so G does not split over R .

Case 4 - Prüfer-by-finite 2-groups which are not central-by-finite.

This is the final step of the proof of the main result. We are going to deal only with 2-groups whose finite residual R is non-central. Although in [8] a complete description of 2-groups with property T is to be found we recall from that paper a statement which fits for our arguments (see Theorem 3.1.1).

Lemma 10. *Let the group G have an abelian subgroup C with index 2 and an element $x \notin C$ such that $c^x = c^{-1}$, for all $c \in C$. Then G is a T -group if and only if $C^2 \leq \langle x^2, C^4 \rangle$.*

Observe that if G is non-abelian the subgroup C is identified as the Fitting subgroup $Fit G$ of G . As a direct consequence of this lemma we get:

Lemma 11. *Let $G = \langle x, R \rangle$ be a Prüfer-by-cyclic 2-group with finite residual R . Then G is an X -group if and only if*

- (i) $c^x = c^{-1}$, for all $c \in R$;
- (ii) $|x| \geq 8$.

The next lemma shows that the remaining groups form a restricted class.

Lemma 12. *Let the 2-group G have property X and a non-central Prüfer subgroup R such that G/R is a finite non-cyclic group. Then $G = \langle x, \langle y \rangle \times R \rangle$ and the following hold:*

- (i) $y^8 = x^4 = 1, [x^2, y] = 1$
- (ii) $y^x \in \{y^k, y^k a, y^k x^2, y^k x^2 a\}$, where $\langle a \rangle = Soc R, k$ is an odd integer and, if $|y| = 8, k \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. Let $C = C_G(R)$ and $x \in G \setminus C$ (clearly $|G : C| = 2$). Since $R \leq Z(C)$ and C is a T -group, $C = C_1 \times R$ is abelian. Assume by contradiction that for any $c \in C_1$ the

subgroup $\langle x, c, R \rangle$ is proper and so a T -group. Thus G/R has exponent at most 4, $c^x = c^{-1}$ and $c^2 = x^2$ if $|c| = 4$, by results in [8]. By Lemma 10 we get the contradiction that G is a T -group. Therefore $G = \langle x, \langle y \rangle \times R \rangle$, for some $y \in C_1$ chosen of minimal order, and $C = \langle x^2, y, R \rangle$.

Since $\langle x, R \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of G it is a non-abelian T -group and $x^4 = 1$. By the same reason also $G_1 = \langle x, y^2, R \rangle$ is a non-abelian T -group. Thus $y^{2x} = y^{-2}$ and G_1/R has exponent at most 4, hence $y^8 = 1$. From $C \triangleleft G$ it follows $y^x = x^i y^k b$, where k is an integer, $i = 0, 2$ and $b \in R$. From $y^{2x} = y^{-2}$ it follows easily $b^2 = 1$ and the stated condition on k . Furthermore $y^x = x^i b$ means $y = x^i b$ which in turn implies $G = \langle x, R \rangle$, a contradiction. Therefore (ii) holds. □

Because of the previous lemma we introduce some terminology. Let us say that a group G is of type $X(J, i, k)$, where $J \in \{I, II, III\}$, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $k \in \{1, -1, 3\}$ if and only if:

$G = \langle x, \langle y \rangle \times R \rangle$ where $x^4 = 1, |y| = 2^i, R \simeq \mathbb{Z}(2^\infty), \langle a \rangle = Soc R, c^x = c^{-1} \forall c \in R, J = I, II, III$ according to: $y^x = y^k, y^x = y^k a, y^x = y^k x^2 a^e$ (with $e = 0, 1$) $[x^2, y] = 1$ and

$$(\bullet) \quad y^4 \in \langle x^2, R \rangle.$$

If we omit condition (\bullet) from the above definition, Lemma 12 may be restated by saying that a 2-group G with a non-central Prüfer subgroup R such that G/R is a finite non-cyclic group and property **X** is a group of type $X(J, i, k)$ for some admissible 3-tuple (J, i, k) . Observe that the type $X(J, i, k)$ does not identify the isomorphism type of the group.

Proposition 13. *Let G be a Prüfer-by-finite 2-group and R its finite residual. Then G is a **X**-group and R is non-central if and only if G is of one of the following types:*

- (i) $\langle x, R \rangle$ where $c^x = c^{-1}$ for all $c \in C$ and $|x| \geq 8$.
- (ii) $X(I, 2, 1)$
- (iii) $X(I, 2, -1)$ and $y^2 \notin \langle x^2, R \rangle$
- (iv) $X(I, 3, -1)$
- (v) $X(II, 1, *)$
- (vi) $X(II, 2, *)$
- (vii) $X(II, 3, -1)$
- (viii) $X(III, 1, *)$ and $[x, y] \neq 1$
- (ix) $X(III, 2, *)$ and $y^x \neq y^{-1}$
- (x) $X(III, 3, 3)$.

Proof. By the above all we have to do is to show that in the hypotheses and notation of Lemma 12 condition (\bullet) holds and to determine which groups of type $X(J, i, k)$ are **X**-groups. Let $G = \langle x, \langle y \rangle \times R \rangle$ be one of them. Then the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ of G

is $\langle x^2, y^2, R \rangle$, the (three) maximal subgroups of G are $G_0 = C = \langle y, \Phi(G) \rangle = \langle x^2, y, R \rangle$ (which is abelian by construction), $G_1 = \langle x, \Phi(G) \rangle = \langle x, y^2, R \rangle$, $G_2 = \langle xy, \Phi(G) \rangle = \langle xy, y^2, R \rangle$ and $\text{Fit } G_1 = \text{Fit } G_2 = \Phi(G)$. Furthermore $C^2 = \Phi(G)$, $C^4 = \Phi(G)^2 = \langle y^4, R \rangle$, $\Phi(G)^4 = R$. By Lemma 10, G is a not a T -group if and only if:

$$(\dagger) \quad y^x \neq y^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad y^2 \notin \langle x^2, y^4, R \rangle$$

furthermore G_1 and G_2 are T -groups if and only if:

$$(\ddagger) \quad y^{2x} = y^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad y^4 \in \langle x^2, R \rangle \cap \langle x^2 y^x y, R \rangle$$

(to get (\ddagger) we have used the equality $(xy)^2 = x^2 y^x y$). Since we do not have used (\bullet) to get (\dagger) , we can add (\bullet) to the necessary condition of Lemma 12. Moreover, since in a soluble T -group subgroups with finite index are still T -groups (see [4]), a group G as above is an X -group if and only if (\dagger) and (\ddagger) hold. We proceed now by cases (and subcases).

Case $X(I, i, k)$: This is the case $y^x = y^k$. If $i = 1$ then (\dagger) does not hold.

If $i = 2$ then $k \equiv 1$ or $k \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, in the latter eventuality to satisfy (\dagger) we must have $y^2 \notin \langle x^2, R \rangle$. Then we see that both types (ii) and (iii) have X .

If $i = 3$ then $k \equiv -1$ or $k \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. In the former case we have (\ddagger) if and only if $y^4 \in \langle x^2, R \rangle$; once we observe that under these circumstances $y^2 \notin \langle x^2, y^4, R \rangle$ actually follows from $y^4 \in \langle x^2, R \rangle$ we include (iv) in the list. Finally the case $k \equiv 3$ may not occur as $y^4 \in \langle x^2, R \rangle \cap \langle x^2 y^4, R \rangle$ is incompatible with the choice of y .

Case $X(II, i, k)$: This is the case $y^x = y^k a$. Of course (\dagger) holds and if $i = 1, 2$ there is nothing to say. If $i = 3$ proceed as above.

Case $X(III, i, k)$: If $y^x = y^k x^2$ we again have to consider just the case $i = 3$ and (\ddagger) . Since $x^2 y^k y = y^{k+1}$ then (\ddagger) holds if and only if $k = 3$ and $y^4 \in \langle x^2, R \rangle$. Thus we get type (x) . The case $y^x = y^k x^2 a$ is handled similarly. \square

The proof of Theorem A is now complete.

3. NON-ABELIAN GROUPS WITH ALL INFINITE PROPER SUBGROUPS ABELIAN

This last section is a by-product of the previous ones. We note here that using our main result, Proposition 2 and the discussion following Proposition 5, we are able describe non-abelian infinite soluble groups whose all infinite proper subgroups are abelian.

Theorem B. *Let G an infinite soluble non-abelian group. Then all infinite proper subgroups of G are abelian if and only if G has an abelian divisible normal p -subgroup R and one of the following hold:*

- (a) $G = \langle x, R \rangle$, where x has prime power order q^m , $[x^q, R] = 1$ and, if R has rank greater than 1, then G is of type 1 in the introduction with $f = 1$;
- (b) $G = FR$, where R has rank 1, F is a minimal-non-abelian group and $[F, R] = 1$. Moreover F can be chosen such that $G = F \times R$, provided G is not a p -group.

Proof. The sufficiency of the condition is clear because every infinite proper subgroup of G is contained in $\langle x^q \rangle R$ in case (a) or contains R in case (b). To prove the necessity first observe that if G is not a T -group then it is an X -group and we just have to read through the list in the introduction looking for groups having the property we are interested in. Let then G be a T -group. By the same arguments of Proposition 3 we see that G is a Chernikov group whose finite residual has no proper infinite G -subgroups, hence G is Prüfer-by-finite. If G is not a \bar{T} -group then by Proposition 2 we get the result (case (a)). If G is a \bar{T} -group then it has a finite subgroup F which is a minimal-non-abelian group and has property T . Moreover $G = FR$. If G/R has prime-power order we have type (a). Otherwise R is central and, if G is not a p -group, $F = \langle x \rangle \times P$ where P is an elementary abelian non-central primary Sylow subgroup which is minimal normal in F and x has prime-power order. Therefore $P \cap R = 1$. Finally, if $\langle x, R \rangle = \langle y \rangle \times R$, then the subgroup $\langle y, P \rangle$ may be chosen for F . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M.R. CELENTANI, U. DARDANO, *Some sensitivity conditions for infinite groups*, Rend. Mat. (7), **12** (1992).
- [2] P.M. COHN, *Algebra*, 2nd ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York 1989.
- [3] B. HARTLEY, *A dual approach to Chernikov modules*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., **82** (1977), 215-239.
- [4] H. HEINEKEN, J.C. LENNOX, *Subgroups of finite index in T -groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. It. (6), **4-B** (1985), 829-841.
- [5] J.C. LENNOX, S.E. STONEHEWER, *Subnormal Subgroups of Groups*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford 1987.
- [6] G.A. MILLER, H.C. MORENO, *Non-abelian groups in which every subgroup is abelian*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1909), 398-404.
- [7] M.L. NEWELL, *Supplements in abelian-by-nilpotent groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (6), **11** (1975), 74-80.
- [8] D.J.S. ROBINSON, *Groups in which normality is a transitive relation*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **60** (1964), 21-38.
- [9] D.J.S. ROBINSON, *On finitely generated soluble groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **15** (1965), 508-516.
- [10] D.J.S. ROBINSON, *Groups which are minimal with respect to normality being intransitive*, Pac. J. Math., **31** (3) (1969), 777-785.
- [11] D.J.S. ROBINSON, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups*, Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1972.
- [12] D.I. ZAICEV, *The complementation of subgroups in extremal groups. Investigation of groups with prescribed property of subgroups*, Mathematics Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian (SSSR), Kiev, 1974 (in Russian).

Received January 27, 1993 and in revised form September 3, 1993

M.R. Celentani, U. Dardano

Dipartimento di Matematica e Appl. «R. Caccioppoli»

Università di Napoli

Via Cintia, Compl. Monte S. Angelo

I-80126 Napoli

Italy